



Sea-level change in the Dutch Wadden Sea

Vermeersen, Bert L. A.; Slangen, Aimee B. A.; Gerkema, Theo; Baart, Fedor; Cohen, Kim M.; Dangendorf, Sonke; Duran-Matute, Matthias; Frederikse, Thomas; Grinsted, Aslak; Hijma, Marc P.; Jevrejeva, Svetlana; Kiden, Patrick; Kleinherenbrink, Marcel; Meijles, Erik W.; Palmer, Matthew D.; Rietbroek, Roelof; Riva, Riccardo E. M.; Schulz, Elisabeth; Slobbe, D. Cornelis; Simpson, Matthew J. R.; Sterlini, Paul; Stocchi, Paolo; van de Wal, Roderik S. W.; van der Wegen, Mick

Published in:

Netherlands Journal of Geosciences - Geologie en Mijnbouw

DOI:

[10.1017/njg.2018.7](https://doi.org/10.1017/njg.2018.7)

Publication date:

2018

Document version

Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

Citation for published version (APA):

Vermeersen, B. L. A., Slangen, A. B. A., Gerkema, T., Baart, F., Cohen, K. M., Dangendorf, S., Duran-Matute, M., Frederikse, T., Grinsted, A., Hijma, M. P., Jevrejeva, S., Kiden, P., Kleinherenbrink, M., Meijles, E. W., Palmer, M. D., Rietbroek, R., Riva, R. E. M., Schulz, E., Slobbe, D. C., ... van der Wegen, M. (2018). Sea-level change in the Dutch Wadden Sea. *Netherlands Journal of Geosciences - Geologie en Mijnbouw*, 97(3), 79-127. <https://doi.org/10.1017/njg.2018.7>

Sea-level change in the Dutch Wadden Sea

Bert L.A. Vermeersen^{1,2}, Aimée B.A. Slangen¹, Theo Gerkema¹, Fedor Baart³, Kim M. Cohen^{4,3}, Sönke Dangendorf⁵, Matthias Duran-Matute⁶, Thomas Frederikse², Aslak Grinsted⁷, Marc P. Hijma³, Svetlana Jevrejeva⁸, Patrick Kiden⁹, Marcel Kleinherenbrink², Erik W. Meijles¹⁰, Matthew D. Palmer¹¹, Roelof Rietbroek¹², Riccardo E.M. Riva², Elisabeth Schulz¹³, D. Cornelis Slobbe², Matthew J.R. Simpson¹⁴, Paul Sterlini¹⁵, Paolo Stocchi¹⁶, Roderik S.W. van de Wal¹⁷ & Mick van der Wegen^{3,18}

- ¹ Department of Estuarine and Delta Systems, NIOZ Royal Netherlands Institute for Sea Research, and Utrecht University, Yerseke, the Netherlands
- ² Faculty of Civil Engineering and Geosciences, TU Delft, Delft, the Netherlands
- ³ Deltares Research Institute, Delft/Utrecht, the Netherlands
- ⁴ Faculty of Geosciences, Utrecht University, Utrecht, the Netherlands
- ⁵ Research Institute for Water and Environment, University of Siegen, Siegen, Germany
- ⁶ Department of Applied Physics, Eindhoven University of Technology, Eindhoven, the Netherlands
- ⁷ Centre for Ice and Climate, Niels Bohr Institute, University of Copenhagen, Copenhagen, Denmark
- ⁸ National Oceanography Centre, Liverpool, United Kingdom
- ⁹ TNO – Geological Survey of the Netherlands, Utrecht, the Netherlands
- ¹⁰ Faculty of Spatial Sciences & Centre for Landscape Studies, University of Groningen, the Netherlands
- ¹¹ Met Office Hadley Centre, Exeter, United Kingdom
- ¹² Institute of Geodesy and Geoinformation, University of Bonn, Bonn, Germany
- ¹³ Leibniz Institute for Baltic Sea Research Warnemünde (IOW), Department of Physical Oceanography and Instrumentation, Rostock, Germany
- ¹⁴ Geodetic Institute, Norwegian Mapping Authority, 3507 Hønefoss, Norway
- ¹⁵ Royal Netherlands Meteorological Institute, De Bilt, the Netherlands
- ¹⁶ Department of Coastal Systems, NIOZ Royal Netherlands Institute for Sea Research, and Utrecht University, Den Burg, the Netherlands
- ¹⁷ Institute for Marine and Atmospheric research Utrecht, Utrecht University, the Netherlands
- ¹⁸ IHE Delft Institute for Water Education, Delft, the Netherlands

Corresponding author. Email: l.l.a.vermeersen@tudelft.nl

Manuscript received: 1 February 2018, accepted: 18 July 2018

Abstract

Rising sea levels due to climate change can have severe consequences for coastal populations and ecosystems all around the world. Understanding and projecting sea-level rise is especially important for low-lying countries such as the Netherlands. It is of specific interest for vulnerable ecological and morphodynamic regions, such as the Wadden Sea UNESCO World Heritage region.

Here we provide an overview of sea-level projections for the 21st century for the Wadden Sea region and a condensed review of the scientific data, understanding and uncertainties underpinning the projections. The sea-level projections are formulated in the framework of the geological history of the Wadden Sea region and are based on the regional sea-level projections published in the Fifth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC AR5). These IPCC AR5 projections are compared against updates derived from more recent literature and evaluated for the Wadden Sea region. The projections are further put into perspective by including interannual variability based on long-term tide-gauge records from observing stations at Den Helder and Delfzijl.

We consider three climate scenarios, following the Representative Concentration Pathways (RCPs), as defined in IPCC AR5: the RCP2.6 scenario assumes that greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions decline after 2020; the RCP4.5 scenario assumes that GHG emissions peak at 2040 and decline thereafter; and the RCP8.5 scenario represents a continued rise of GHG emissions throughout the 21st century. For RCP8.5, we also evaluate several scenarios from recent literature where the mass loss in Antarctica accelerates at rates exceeding those presented in IPCC AR5.

For the Dutch Wadden Sea, the IPCC AR5-based projected sea-level rise is 0.07 ± 0.06 m for the RCP4.5 scenario for the period 2018–30 (uncertainties representing 5–95%), with the RCP2.6 and RCP8.5 scenarios projecting 0.01 m less and more, respectively. The projected rates of sea-level change in 2030 range between 2.6 mm a^{-1} for the 5th percentile of the RCP2.6 scenario to 9.1 mm a^{-1} for the 95th percentile of the RCP8.5 scenario. For the period 2018–50, the differences between the scenarios increase, with projected changes of 0.16 ± 0.12 m for RCP2.6, 0.19 ± 0.11 m for RCP4.5 and 0.23 ± 0.12 m for RCP8.5. The accompanying rates of change range between 2.3 and 12.4 mm a^{-1} in 2050. The differences between the scenarios amplify for the 2018–2100 period, with projected total changes of 0.41 ± 0.25 m for RCP2.6, 0.52 ± 0.27 m for RCP4.5 and 0.76 ± 0.36 m for RCP8.5. The projections for the RCP8.5 scenario are larger than the high-end projections presented in the 2008 Delta Commission Report (0.74 m for 1990–2100) when the differences in time period are considered. The sea-level change rates range from 2.2 to 18.3 mm a^{-1} for the year 2100.

We also assess the effect of accelerated ice mass loss on the sea-level projections under the RCP8.5 scenario, as recent literature suggests that there may be a larger contribution from Antarctica than presented in IPCC AR5 (potentially exceeding 1 m in 2100). Changes in episodic extreme events, such as storm surges, and periodic (tidal) contributions on (sub-)daily timescales, have not been included in these sea-level projections. However, the potential impacts of these processes on sea-level change rates have been assessed in the report.

Keywords: climate change, regional sea-level scenarios, sea-level rise, Wadden Sea

Introduction

Sea-level change is one of the most well-known consequences of climate change. Rising sea levels will impact coastal populations all around the world (Nicholls & Cazenave, 2010) and increase the frequency and magnitude of high water levels (Wahl et al., 2017). Understanding and projecting sea-level rise is therefore important for low-lying countries such as the Netherlands. It is of specific interest for vulnerable coastal wetland regions, such as the Wadden Sea World Heritage area, since even small external changes may disturb the system's delicate equilibrium (Kirwan & Megonigal, 2013).

Global mean sea level (GMSL) has been rising at a rate of $c. 3 \text{ mm a}^{-1}$ since 1993 (e.g. Chen et al., 2017). GMSL changes are defined as changes in the total volume of the oceans. These changes are ultimately caused by two processes: changes in the total mass of the ocean and changes in the density of ocean waters. Regionally, sea-level change can deviate substantially from the global mean change. These regional changes take place over a wide range of spatial and temporal scales and are driven by many different processes. In the first section of this paper, we discuss the major drivers of sea-level variability in global mean, and in the North Sea and Wadden Sea over decadal to centennial timescales.

In the second section of this paper, we present available observations of sea-level change in the North Sea and Wadden Sea area. This includes sea-level index points which can be used to reconstruct sea-level change on palaeo-timescales, as well as present-day instrumental records of sea-level change by satellites and tide gauges. Observations of global mean sea-level change are discussed in the Appendix.

Projections of global and regional sea-level change in the Wadden Sea area up to the year 2100 are presented in the third section of this paper. The regional sea-level projections from the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change Fifth Assessment Report (IPCC AR5; Church et al., 2013) are taken as the starting point of this assessment. These projections include ocean

steric and dynamic changes, ice sheet and glacier mass changes, changes in land-water storage due to groundwater extraction, atmospheric pressure change and glacial isostatic adjustment (GIA). The influence of recent advances in sea-level change research on the regional projections for the Wadden Sea will be assessed.

Research gaps and potential ways forward to improve understanding and projections of sea-level change in the Wadden Sea area are presented in the Discussion section, followed by a summary of the main findings in the Conclusions section.

Unless indicated otherwise, sea-level changes presented in this paper are so-called *relative* sea-level (RSL) changes, which is the difference between the ocean surface and the ocean floor, i.e. the depth of the water column. This is different from the *absolute* sea-level change, which is the difference between the ocean surface and the Earth's centre of mass.

Causes of global and regional sea-level change

Global mean sea-level change processes

Changes in ocean mass Since the total amount of water at the Earth's surface is roughly constant in time, changes in ocean mass are mirrored by changes in the amount of water stored on land and in the atmosphere (Gregory et al., 2013). A large fraction of land water is stored as ice in glaciers and in the Greenland and Antarctic Ice Sheets. In addition, land water is also stored in lakes and rivers, in underground aquifer systems, and at the surface in the form of soil moisture and snow. The total amount of fresh water stored in the atmosphere is only 0.04% of the fresh water stored on land (Gleick, 1996) and its contribution is usually ignored when assessing long-term ocean mass changes.

At seasonal timescales, ocean mass changes are mainly due to changes in precipitation, river discharge and evaporation. At

Table 1. Ratio of sea-level changes in the North Sea to mass changes from glaciers, Greenland and Antarctica (Fig. 1). For the North Sea, the ratio at 56.25N, 3.75E has been used. For the Wadden Sea, the ratio at 53.25N, 5.25E has been used.

	Ratio North Sea / mass	Ratio Wadden Sea/ mass
Glaciers	0.62	0.57
Greenland Ice Sheet	− 0.07	0.07
Antarctic Ice Sheet	0.96	0.93

annual and longer timescales, the major processes driving mass redistribution between land and the oceans are ice mass changes from glaciers and the ice sheets (Shepherd et al., 2012; Gardner et al., 2013), as well as long-term trends in terrestrial water storage (Wada et al., 2010; Konikow, 2011). The latter is primarily caused by direct human interventions, such as groundwater mining and dam building (Church et al., 2013).

Changes in ocean density Global ocean volume changes are caused by density variations in sea water due to changes in temperature, also known as thermosteric changes (e.g. Johnson & Wijffels, 2011). Since the oceans absorb the vast majority of the heat excess in the Earth system, due to the capacity of water to store large amounts of thermal energy, they are becoming warmer and expanding, which results in GMSL rise. However, the oceans are not static and not warming uniformly: the actual spatial pattern of ocean volume changes is the result of the interaction between insulation, atmospheric temperature, winds, freshwater fluxes and ocean dynamics.

An overview of observations of global mean sea level, from the geological past to the satellite-era present, is given in the Appendix.

Sea-level change processes in the North Sea and Wadden Sea

Mass changes Redistribution of water between land and ocean does not only result in a change of GMSL. Due to deformation of the solid earth as well as changes in the gravity field and the Earth rotation parameters, mass redistribution results in regionally varying sea-level patterns. Those patterns are known as ‘fingerprints’ (Farrell & Clark, 1976; Mitrovica et al., 2001). The different sources of mass loss each have a distinct impact on regional sea level (Fig. 1). The black contours in Figure 1 indicate the global mean value: note that regions closer to the sources of ice-sheet mass loss show a rise below average (even a reduction in sea level in the first 2000 km), while regions further away show an above-average rise. In the North Sea and Wadden Sea, sea-level changes as a result of mass loss of the ice sheets are below the global average (Table 1).

The regional sea-level fingerprints that result from mass redistribution caused by ice mass and land-water storage changes can be used to compute the effect of land-water mass redistribution on sea level in the North Sea (Fig. 2). Mass loss from glaciers and the Greenland Ice Sheet dominates global mean mass change initially, while the Antarctic Ice Sheet has only started to contribute significantly to the budget since the beginning of the 21st century (Fig. 2). However, due to the fact that Greenland and many glacierised regions are relatively close to the North Sea, their impact on local sea level is substantially smaller than their impact on the global mean.

Steric changes and ocean dynamics In the open ocean, the vast majority of the dynamic sea-level signal on interannual and longer timescales is directly linked to local density changes (e.g. Forget & Ponte, 2015), which in the North Atlantic include shallow ocean-water sterics of the Gulf Stream, besides the northbound Southern Ocean intermediate waters and southbound North Atlantic deep waters of the thermohaline circulation. However, it becomes more complicated along a shallow continental shelf: since the water column in shallow water is small, the effect of local density changes becomes small as well. The increasing importance of local density changes when the water column becomes larger leads to lateral sea-level gradients that cause a transport of water from the open ocean onto the shelf (Landerer et al., 2007).

However, sea level often shows coherent variability along the shoreline on interannual and longer timescales, and hence, this aforementioned shelf-sea response to open-ocean steric changes is not always a suitable approximation for on-shelf dynamic sea-level changes (Bingham & Hughes, 2012). Alongshore wind forcing causes a substantial decadal variability signal along the European coast and the North Sea (Sturges & Douglas, 2011). When the longshore wind direction points northward, Ekman transport drives surface waters towards the coast, which subsides at the coast, deepening the thermocline. This deepening of the thermocline results in higher sea level. These sea-level anomalies travel northward along the shelf edge as coastally trapped waves. Therefore, coastal sea level is highly correlated with changes in the alongshore wind, integrated from the equator to the European coast (Calafat et al., 2012). This signal travels northward along the Norwegian coast (Calafat et al., 2013) and also affects the North Sea (Dangendorf et al., 2014b; Frederikse et al., 2016b). This anomaly is also found offshore, as westward-travelling Rossby waves result in open-ocean adjustment (Marcos et al., 2013), which explains the open-ocean correlation with coastal sea level in the temperate-latitude North Atlantic.

At higher latitudes, the dynamic signal follows the topography gradient, resulting in a westward propagation towards the Subpolar North Atlantic (Hughes & Meredith, 2006). Since the coastally trapped waves are predominantly baroclinic in nature (Calafat et al., 2012), the propagated signal can be extracted




Fig. 1. Impact of mass loss on regional sea level from glaciers and each ice sheet assuming a mass loss trend of 362 Gt (or 362 km^3 of fresh water) per year, which equals a Global Mean Sea Level (GMSL) rise of 1 mm a^{-1} . The black line shows the 1 mm a^{-1} contour. The right panels depict a regional inset for the European coast. The impact has been computed using the elastic approximation of the sea-level equation (Tamisiea et al., 2010), together with the rotational feedback (Mitrovica et al., 2005). The regional partitioning of ice mass loss over both ice sheets is based on GRACE observations (Watkins et al., 2015) and for glaciers, based on the modelled regional mass loss from Marzeion et al. (2015).




Fig. 2. Global mean (dashed) and local (solid) sea-level changes in the North Sea (56.25°N , 3.75°E) resulting from present-day mass redistribution processes over 1958–2014. GrIS denotes the Greenland Ice Sheet contribution, AIS the Antarctic Ice Sheet contribution, and TWS the contribution from terrestrial water storage (Frederikse et al., 2017). The shaded areas denote the confidence intervals at the 1σ level. The global and North Sea mean AIS contribution are almost equal (figure based on data from Frederikse et al., 2017)

from temperature and salinity data recorded just offshore the European and Norwegian shelf (Marcos et al., 2013; Dangendorf et al., 2014b; Frederikse et al., 2016a).

The correlation between the decadal sea-level variability from tide gauges with altimetry (Fig. 3) confirms the presence of a large-scale coherent sea-level pattern along the Northwestern

European Shelf. This large-scale coherent pattern can also be extracted from *in situ* temperature and salinity observations (Frederikse et al., 2016a). Density variations sampled at these locations give information not only on the decadal variability signal, related to alongshore wind forcing, but also about longer-term thermal expansion due to the increasing ocean heat content.




Fig. 3. Correlation pattern between decadal variability, observed by tide gauges in the Wadden Sea (blue dot), and sea level observed by satellite altimetry in the North Atlantic. From the tide gauge time series the effects of wind and pressure have been removed, and the altimetry time series (ESA CCI, Legeais et al., 2018) have been corrected for pressure (the inverted barometer effect). The correlation has been computed from detrended and low-pass filtered data using a 25-month moving average filter.

In addition to basin-wide sea-level changes related to wind-driven coastally trapped waves, internal dynamics in the North Sea result in intra-basin differences. These intra-basin variations have been studied qualitatively using a regional ocean model (Sterlini et al., 2017). Similar to the open oceans, to maintain a zero pressure gradient at depth, local changes in the sea surface height in deep waters transmit a signal barotropically to shallower regions. Hence remote steric effects drive changes in the local sea level. These sea-level changes can be calculated by spatially integrating along an averaged density at a given depth from which remote steric changes are assumed to have a local influence (Bingham & Hughes, 2012).

Hence, to obtain the total sea-level change due to steric effects, the local and remote components must be added together. These components are shown in Figure 4. Steric sea-level rise occurs over most of the North Sea (Fig. 5), with highest levels seen off the Norwegian coast ($\sim 1.5 \text{ mm a}^{-1}$), attributable mostly to local thermo- and halosteric processes. Halosteric effects lead to a secondary region of high steric sea-level rise to the north of the Wadden Sea ($\sim 0.9 \text{ mm a}^{-1}$).

Nodal cycle The 18.6-year nodal cycle is caused by a precessional motion of the lunar orbital plane with respect to the ecliptic (the orbital plane of the Earth around the Sun). As a result, the inclination of the lunar plane with respect to the equator varies over a cycle of 18.6 years.

This cycle has two distinct effects. On the one hand, it modulates the amplitude (and phase) of the lunar constituents, notably the principal semidiurnal lunar constituent M2 and lunar declinational diurnal constituents K1 and O1. This modulation has a significant effect on the tidal range and on the diurnal inequality, but it leaves the annual mean sea level unaffected

since high waters are as much higher as low waters are lower, giving a cancellation in the mean. On the other hand, there is a small long-period nodal constituent N, which has no effect on the tidal range but does have a signature in annual mean sea level. This constituent has an equilibrium amplitude of c.7 mm in the Wadden Sea (Woodworth, 2012).

Since sea-level adjustment to changes in the tidal potential happens at substantially shorter timescales than the period of the nodal cycle, it is generally assumed that sea level follows the equilibrium tide at the lowest frequencies (Proudman, 1960). In the North Sea, this nodal signal is indeed found to stay close to tidal equilibrium over the past decades (Frederikse et al., 2016b). Since on decadal and multi-decadal timescales, sea-level variability in the North Sea and Wadden Sea shows strong coherence, the nodal cycle is likely to stay close to the equilibrium amplitude in the Wadden Sea as well.

Glacial isostatic adjustment Glacial isostatic adjustment (GIA) is the process of ongoing changes to the growth and melt of large ice sheets on ice age timescales. Next to the almost-instantaneous elastic deformation of the solid earth following mass redistribution, viscous processes in the inner earth result in an ongoing deformation of the earth surface (McConnell, 1965; Farrell, 1972; Peltier & Andrews, 1976; Lambeck, 1990). During periods of glaciation, the solid earth subsides under the load of ice, and mantle material is pushed radially outwards. As a result, the peripheral area that surrounds the ice-sheet margin experiences uplift and generates the so-called peripheral forebulge. This process is inverted during deglaciations, the last one being the Last Glacial Maximum (LGM), and continues after the disappearance of ice. The rate at which GIA-induced deformation of the solid earth occurs is a function of the Earth's mantle viscosity and of the rigidity of the overlying elastic lithosphere and decay exponentially with time. The GIA process gives rise to regionally varying changes in seabed topography and related RSL changes that strongly deviate from the global mean changes as a function of the distance with respect to the ice sheets (Farrell & Clark, 1976; Mitrovica & Peltier, 1991). Isostatic adjustment, or dynamic topography, also occurs due to mass shifting of ocean and shelf-sea waters, proglacial lake water and ground-water (hydro isostasy) and sedimentation (sediment isostasy).

Throughout the last 15,000 years, palaeo-sea-level indicators show a significant spatial variability of RSL changes across northwestern Europe (Lambeck et al., 1990, 1998; Kiden et al., 2002; Vink et al., 2007). This is a consequence of the growth and melting of the Fennoscandian Ice Sheet in the Last Glacial. In particular, sites from along the Baltic Sea and the Gulf of Bothnia show a significant RSL fall as a function of isostatic crustal uplift and decrease of ice-induced gravitational pull (e.g. Lambeck et al., 1990, 1998).

The North Sea can be considered an ice-proximal area (i.e. near-field) with respect to the mass centres of the large Fennoscandian Ice Sheet, and the smaller ice sheet of the British




Fig. 4. Sea-level response to the thermosteric (left) and halosteric (right) effects in the North Sea, 1993–2013 (mm a^{-1}). Upper: local; Mid: non-local; Lower: total (local + non-local). Data beyond the 600 m depth contour are not plotted. Crosses near the coast show regions where data are unavailable (Sterlini et al., 2017).

Isles to the northwest (Denton & Hughes, 1981; Lambeck et al., 1990; Ehlers & Gibbard, 2003; Peltier, 2004). It is reasonable to assume that, during the LGM, the southerly ice-free areas of the North Sea and surroundings were uplifted as a consequence of the ice-loading that caused Fennoscandia and the British Isles to subside and of the reduction of water loading. Furthermore, the ice-induced gravitational attraction caused the mean sea surface to rise in the vicinity of the ice. Hence, the GIA signal in the North Sea shows considerable variations within the basin.

In the Scottish sector, the melting of the local ice caps has isostatically resulted in local vertical uplift (Lambeck et al., 1990; Lambeck, 1995; Shennan et al., 2006; Bradley et al., 2011). In contrast, along the Dutch coast and on the English coast south of the Humber Estuary, observed RSL shows a monotonic rise that can be expected in subsiding areas (Clark & Lingle, 1977; Stocchi & Spada, 2009).

Since the seminal work of Lambeck (1990), GIA models have been able to satisfactorily reproduce the observed RSL changes




Fig. 5. Total steric sea-level response in the North Sea, 1993–2013 (mm a^{-1}). Data beyond the 600 m depth contour are not plotted. Crosses show regions where data are unavailable (Sterlini et al., 2017).

for the Holocene in the North Sea (Kiden et al., 2002; Shenan et al., 2006; Vink et al., 2007; Bradley et al., 2011; Wahl et al., 2013). However, a comparison between the various GIA modelling studies (Fig. 6) shows that there are still significant differences. There is still room for improvement when it comes to model resolution (in space and time), spatial discretisation of the time-dependent ocean-loading term, the ice-loading term (North Sea deglaciation particularities) and, most importantly, the solid-earth rheology.

Most of the available modelling results for the North Sea are based on one-dimensional (1D) linear rheology, and results are calibrated to fit crust and mantle below the centres of uplift (i.e. Scandinavia and Scotland). In the widely used global ICE-5G(VM2) GIA model (Peltier, 2004), the bulk of the North Sea experiences a RSL rise of $0.1\text{--}0.5 \text{ mm a}^{-1}$ (Fig. 6). RSL fall is modelled towards the northwest (British Isles) and northeast (Fennoscandia). Similar but slightly higher values are computed according to the most recent ICE-6G-VM5a model (Peltier et al., 2015).

However, the first-order assumption of a 1D rheology may not be suitable for the North Sea area. Therefore, regional modelling studies adjust the earth rheology parameters for this specific region. For example, Bradley et al. (2011) show an overall slightly higher RSL rate in the North Sea (Fig. 6). Recent studies show that further differences in local RSL rates can be expected when nonlinear 3D rheologies are used (Steffen & Wu, 2011; Van der Wal et al., 2013).

An alternative class of GIA solutions is represented by the so-called ‘empirical’ models, which are based on the inversion of space-geodetic data, in particular of uplift rates observed by GPS and gravity rates observed by the GRACE mission (see Appendix section ‘The satellite era’). Those models have the advantage of being able to also provide uncertainty estimates, but they are limited by the fact that available observations span only one to two decades, which makes it difficult to remove spurious signals originating from the land hydrological cycle.

High-frequency sea-level variability in the Wadden Sea

The effects of wind and pressure Wind and surface air-pressure changes (also sometimes called the atmospheric loading effect) drive barotropic sea-level changes and cause storm surges as well as sea-level variability on monthly to decadal timescales. Because the Wadden Sea is shallow, the impact of wind climate on annual mean sea level is large. The total energy of the wind is fairly constant on an interannual timescale, but the distribution among individual sectorial directions varies greatly from year to year. For the Wadden Sea, the effects are considerable (Gerkema & Duran-Matute, 2017). For example, in 1996, easterly winds contained more energy than southwesterly winds, whereas they are normally a few times weaker. This is immediately reflected in the annual mean sea level, which was anomalously low in 1996. Years with much energy from westerly winds have the opposite





Fig. 6. Present-day relative sea-level change for the North Sea according to different GIA models. (A) Regional GIA model (Bradley et al., 2011); (B) ice-sheet history generated using a 3D-ice-sheet model (Kuchar et al., 2012); (C) global ICE6G_VM5a model (Peltier et al., 2015); (D) data-driven model (Simon et al., 2018); (E) global ICE5G_VM2 model (Peltier, 2004); (F) global ANU model (Lambeck et al., 1998).

effect, a high annual mean sea level. As a result, annual mean sea level may vary by up to 2 dm from year to year.

The impact of wind and pressure is location-dependent (e.g. Marcos & Tsimplis, 2007; Dangendorf et al., 2013; Frederikse et al., 2016a). For the Wadden Sea, a first-order estimate of the impact of wind and air pressure on sea level using linear regression with data from the JRA55 reanalysis (Kobayashi et al.,

2015) is shown in Figure 7. The figure shows that a substantial fraction of the observed sea-level variability has its origin in wind- and air-pressure changes. Note that the local impact of wind may vary substantially along the Wadden Sea, and the impact at a specific tide gauge may thus deviate from the region-mean impact shown in the figure (Dangendorf et al., 2014a). In particular, the morphology and the direction of the coastline

Fig. 7. Impact of wind and surface air pressure on sea level for the Wadden Sea, estimated using a linear regression with monthly local wind and sea-level pressure (SLP) time series for each individual station, obtained from the JRA55 re-analysis (Kobayashi et al., 2015). Each time series has been low-pass filtered using a 12-month moving average.



with respect to the dominant wind direction affect the sensitivity of sea level to the wind climate, also at an annual timescale (Gerkema & Duran-Matute, 2017).

A substantial part of the interannual variability in wind patterns around the North Sea is driven by the North Atlantic Oscillation (NAO). Changes in the NAO are related to the atmospheric pressure difference between the persistent high-pressure area around the Azores and the low-pressure area around Iceland.

The state of the NAO is often quantified by a NAO index. The NAO affects the direction and strength of the winter-mean wind in the North Sea, with stronger westerly winds in the North Sea when the NAO index is positive, and more easterly winds during a negative phase (Hurrell et al., 2003). The barotropic response of the North Sea results in higher winter-mean sea level during positive NAO phases along the eastern coast (Wakelin et al., 2003). Next to a barotropic response, a small baroclinic contribution of NAO-related variability affects coastal sea level (Chen et al., 2014). The detrended correlation coefficient between the annual winter-mean sea level and the NAO index is 0.61 (Fig. 8).

Recent research has shown that the pressure difference between the Iberian Peninsula and Scandinavia shows a higher correlation with winter sea level in the southeastern North Sea, compared to the traditional NAO index (Dangendorf et al., 2014a). Furthermore, other atmospheric pressure patterns, including the Scandinavia Pattern and East Atlantic Pattern, also affect sea-level variability in the North Sea, and due to the interplay between these atmospheric pressure patterns, the correlation between NAO and sea level is non-stationary (Chafik et al., 2017). Changes in these large-scale atmospheric pressure oscillations may result in an increase in future sea-level variability and extremes.

An indirect atmospheric effect is through freshwater discharge from rivers. De Ronde et al. (2014) found no significant correlation between the river outflow (for which the discharge at Lobith was taken as a proxy) and annual mean sea level at six tide gauge stations in the Netherlands. However, numerical




Fig. 8. Coherence between winter sea-level variability in the Wadden Sea and the North Atlantic Oscillation. The blue line depicts the annual winter-mean sea level (averaged over December, January, February) in the Wadden Sea (DJF Sea Level). The orange line depicts the NAO index, scaled by the ratio of the standard deviations. Both time series have been detrended.

model results and observations from local tide gauges suggest that local effects may be significant. Gerkema & Duran-Matute (2017) showed that annual mean sea level is noticeably higher (by more than 1 dm) in areas adjacent to the freshwater sluices at Den Oever and Kornwerderzand.

Tides The tide enters the North Sea from the Atlantic around the coast of Scotland and via the English Channel. Strictly speaking, tides are also generated inside the North Sea. However, the surface signature of these internal tides is small. Because of resonance characteristics, tidal amplitudes are amplified in the Wadden Sea. Changes in sea level affect tidal propagation so that tidal dynamics in the North Sea and Wadden Sea will change; in the North Sea the change in mean high water can be larger than $\pm 10\%$ of the imposed local SLR (Pickering et al., 2017). Assuming a constant (or: relative to SLR slow varying) bed level, sea-level rise implies a larger water depth. This decreases

the impact of friction and decreases the amount of intertidal area affecting tidal asymmetry (i.e. less generation of overtides) and associated high and low water values (Friedrichs & Aubrey, 1988).

Empirical evidence (Louters & Gerritsen, 1994) suggests that rising sea levels affect high tides more than low tides, with implications for extremes. Morphological changes and subsidence modify the tidal characteristics as well. A final factor is an effect on the tidally generated Stokes' drift (Van der Wegen, 2013) enhancing mean water levels in the Wadden Sea, although this latter effect will probably be small compared to sea-level rise (SLR).

A crucial question is how the bathymetry (and in particular the intertidal area in the Wadden Sea) will react to SLR. The bathymetry may rise or erode in locally varying patterns. This depends on hydrodynamic processes (tides, wind waves, storms), as well as on sediment type, sediment supply, and sediment transport processes filling channels and building up shoals. The aforementioned tidal asymmetry plays a crucial role in tide residual sediment transport mechanisms. However, there may be an inertia in the morphodynamic system so that basin infilling (Dissanayake et al., 2012; Van der Wegen, 2013; Van Maanen et al., 2013) and shoal accretion (Van der Wegen et al., 2017) lags behind anticipated SLR. Further details on the morphology of the Wadden Sea will be discussed in Wang et al. (2018).

Storm surges and mean sea level Storm surges affect the sea level during and immediately after a storm, while on longer timescales they hardly leave a fingerprint on the mean sea level. For example, Gerkema & Duran-Matute (2017) considered a 20-year record of the tide gauge at Den Helder (period 1996–2015), with data at 10-min intervals. During this period, mean high tide was +59 cm, mean low tide –80 cm. The highest level in this record is +271 cm. The cumulative effect of surges higher than or equal to a 'low storm surge' (mean high tide plus 100 cm, i.e. higher than +159 cm) was shown to contribute on average only +0.34 cm to the annual mean sea level, and in none of the years more than +1.0 cm. This is only a minor part of the interannual variability of mean sea level, which can be as much as a few decimetres. Although intense, the extreme events are too short-lived to leave a fingerprint on the annual mean level. Conversely, however, the results of Voudoukas et al. (2017) suggest that changes in mean sea level can result in a change in extremes, both in terms of level and frequency.

Changes in the occurrence and intensity of storm surges due to climatological changes in the atmosphere fall outside the scope of this survey. We refer to a study by De Winter et al. (2013) for the North Sea, which showed on the basis of model projections that maximum wind speeds are not expected to change, or that storminess has an upward trend. On the other hand, extreme wind effects could be more directed from the west.

Regional sea-level change observations in the North Sea and the Wadden Sea

The palaeo-record

Types and qualities of palaeo-observations The nature of palaeo-sea-level observations is predominantly sediment-geological. Certain features in the depositional architecture, sedimentological structure and fossil-bearing and pedological properties of naturally laid sediments are observed. Then, key beds deposited in an intertidal or supratidal coastal, tidal-lagoonal salt marsh, lagoon reed fringe or coastal-deltaic swamp palaeo-environment are identified. Based on properties of these beds and drawing on analogies of deposition of the same type of beds in modern environments, an 'indicative meaning' and associated uncertainty are assigned to the vertical distance of the bed relative to the water level at the time of deposition (e.g. Shennan et al., 2015), usually expressed as an offset relative to mean sea level (MSL) or mean high tide water level (MHW). Using the depth of marker bed as a palaeo-sea-level observation thus requires calculating present depth \pm indicative meaning offset. If MHW is used as a reference level, either a MHW reconstruction through time can be made or, if the relation between MHW and MSL is known or estimated, a MSL reconstruction can be derived as well.

When working with sets of palaeo-sea-level observations, thorough assessment of the associated vertical error is of vital importance as this makes it possible to distinguish between high-quality and medium- or low-quality data points, and to calculate the uncertainty around rates of sea-level changes (see Hijma et al. (2015) for protocols). Furthermore, the marker bed needs to be assigned an age. This can be done by sampling and dating the bed itself or by collecting dates from bracketing beds. Numeric ages (with an associated uncertainty) can be obtained from suitable material using radiometric lab techniques (e.g. on organic fossils in the beds that appear *in situ*). Alternatively, the numeric ages can be transferred by exploiting correlations, for instance based on contained archaeology or the presence of certain invasive biota and pollutants.

When age, elevation and indicative meaning in a sea-level reconstruction context are established (Bennema, 1954; Van Straaten, 1954; Jelgersma, 1961; Van de Plassche, 1982; Denys & Baeteman, 1995; Kiden, 1995; Shennan et al., 2006; Hijma & Cohen, 2010; Vis et al., 2015; Vos, 2015), the palaeo-observation can be used as a sea-level index point. Ideally, multiple sea-level index points are available to construct past sea levels in order to have a dense enough dataset to study past fluctuations in the rate of change, and to assess spatial differences in relative sea-level change. Series of sea-level index points are typically plotted in time-depth diagrams, to reveal past rates of relative sea-level rise and compare palaeo-observations to the modern position. One analyses multiple data from a study area in stratigraphic order and considering spatial position and

assesses whether the palaeo-sea-level indications replicate and if and what age–depth relations exist.

Next to sea-level index points with defined indicative meaning, it is also possible to use limiting data points to constrain past sea level. Limiting data points are obtained from indicators of which the elevational relationship to past sea level cannot be quantified, but for which it is known that they formed either above or below sea level. To be useful, the elevational range in which they formed should not be too far off past sea level. Preferably both the limiting data points and the index points are sampled from indicative beds that overlay a consolidated substrate and hence experienced little post-sedimentary subsidence due to compaction of the underlying sediments. These so-called basal points are preferred above dates from e.g. peat beds higher up in the Holocene coastal sequence, that occur intercalated with clay beds and therefore are difficult to correct for compaction-displaced positions.

In the Netherlands, basal peat is present in vast areas in the subsurface of the Holocene coastal plain, and sampling and dating it has been the focus of a great number of sea-level studies. Basal peats formed when sloping Pleistocene surfaces in the (northern) Netherlands gradually submerged due to rising groundwater levels. Because sea level continued to rise, the zone of basal peat development shifted landwards ('transgressed') into topographically higher areas, while the lower-lying peats were gradually covered by marine deposits (Jelgersma, 1961; Kiden et al., 2008).

The abundance of basal peat in the subsurface of the Netherlands gave the opportunity for an early start of Holocene sea-level reconstructions (Bennema, 1954; Jelgersma, 1961). For basal peat development, it is reasoned that in the temperate humid conditions of the Netherlands in the Holocene, peat formation in the coastal plain took place at or above, but never (much) below, MSL (Van de Plassche, 1982; Roep & Beets, 1988; Van de Plassche & Roep, 1989; Kiden, 1995; Kiden et al., 2002, 2008; Hijma & Cohen, 2010). At inland locations, basal peat also formed at elevations decimetres to 2 m above contemporary water levels of sea and lagoons. Dated samples from such basal peats should be treated as limiting data points rather than as index points, especially where the lower part of the peat bed is dated and when the basal-peat sample comes from a coastal swamp relatively far inland (at the time of its deposition), which is controlled by local groundwater conditions. As part of the screening of larger sets of data points (e.g. Fig. 9), it is important to separate localities where peat formation occurred in response to local groundwater conditions from sites where rising sea levels triggered peat formation (Van de Plassche, 1982; Cohen, 2005). Careful screening and analysis of each individual basal-peat point is needed to arrive at robust insights on the difference in relative sea-level rise from place to place and between regions.

Figure 9 shows the spread of index points available for the Wadden Sea and surroundings for the Last Interglacial and

Holocene periods. The figure combines multiple types of palaeo-observations of vertical position and age. The figure gives an impression of the density of sea-level rise constraining observations as currently available, and the differences in density between different offshore and onshore sectors of the North Sea and the Dutch coastal plain (with the Wadden Sea in the middle). The figure plots the available acclaimed raw index-point data after a first round of screening.

Further scrutiny of data points is needed before they can be used to iterate high-quality sea-level rise reconstructions for use at regional to local scale. Specific attention needs to be paid to differences in the accuracy of sampling and elevation control as standards and level of attention to certain aspects have changed over the years. In addition, care has to be taken as to the fact that sedimentary environments providing index points by their nature have different associated accuracy. It should be noticed that many of the Holocene RSL palaeo-observations originate as by-products of general-purpose geological–geomorphological mapping and archaeological site surveys and excavations. For the Wadden Sea region, with focus on the Holocene, several studies have been exemplary in producing sets of sea-level index points (Roeleveld, 1974; Griede, 1978; Oost, 1995; Van der Spek, 1996; Vos, 2015). The comprehensive studies of Roeleveld (1974) and Griede (1978) and more recently Vos (2015) focused on coastal landscape evolution rather than on sea-level reconstruction. Studies by Oost (1995) and Van der Spek (1996) focus on long-term Wadden Sea sedimentation and morphodynamics, rather than on sea-level reconstruction.

A last reason for careful selection of data points (independent of diversity in research history and sampling biases) is that spatially varying tidal ranges, river discharge and groundwater-flow regimes have influenced the elevation at which basal peats grow and at which regular flood sedimentation occurs (Van de Plassche, 1982; Berendsen et al., 2007; Kiden et al., 2008; Hijma & Cohen, 2010; Baeteman et al., 2011; Vis et al., 2015). A priori (i.e. at the moment of deciding to take a sample in the field and processing it in the lab), it is difficult to estimate for individual samples to what degree the sample will have been subject to the above effects and what the indicative meaning and quality of the index point is. As it happens, at some places basal peats established at positions over 1 m above contemporary sea level, where in other situations it formed just 10–20 cm above it. Likewise, supratidal salt marsh in some areas along the Wadden Sea established above the high water line, c. 1 m above MSL, where in other places it does so at 1.5 m. This means that these effects can only be assessed a posteriori, and one can start this process only once a certain number of data points from a series of locations within a segment of coastal plain have been collected and when insight on palaeo-tide levels is present. For each region where a sea-level curve is wanted, the most seaward, youngest–deepest sampled basal peats should be searched for, as they constrain sea-level reconstructions best (Cohen, 2005; Hijma & Cohen, 2010; Vis et al., 2015). The next section includes a




Fig. 9. Lumped overview of palaeo-observations on sea level in and around the Wadden Sea in the Southern North Sea. Each dot holds a geological sample location from which depth and age of former sea-level positions could be estimated. Accuracy and indicative meaning of such index points differ greatly between samples and suites-of-samples. The data overview figure is compiled from archived materials in institutional databases of TNO Geological Survey of the Netherlands, Utrecht University and Rijksuniversiteit Groningen, as collected by various past (Berendsen, De Groot, Jelgersma, De Jong, Van de Plassche, Törnqvist, Zagwijn and others) and currently active workers (Busschers, Cleveringa, Cohen, Hijma, Kiden, Koster, Makaske, Meijles, Peeters, Pierik, Vos), including recently acquired samples. Outside the Dutch sectors, the figure draws upon overviews from the UK (Shennan et al., 2000), Belgium (Denys & Baeteman, 1995) and Germany (Behre, 2007). Each sample should be screened in detail according to the protocol of Hijma et al. (2015) to be included in a palaeo-sea-level database.

basal-peat index-point screening for the northern Netherlands, based on Kiden & Vos (2012) and Meijles et al. (accepted).

For the Holocene, the types of palaeo-observations included in Figure 9 mainly cover sets of ^{14}C -dates from basal peats sampled along the flanks and tops of buried Pleistocene topography,

encountered underneath younger shallow marine and lagoonal deposits (at depth in the coastal plains of Groningen, Friesland, Holland, Zeeland, Belgium; distribution inland follows buried valleys). Depending on the geographical position and setting, these basal peats date to between 8000 and 4000 years ago.

In offshore areas, submerged basal peats are also encountered, dated between 10,000 and 8000 years before present, when the Wadden Sea and the Netherlands were still terrestrial environments (e.g. Behre & Mencke, 1969; Jelgersma, 1979; Ludwig et al., 1981; Behre et al., 1984; Shennan et al., 2000; Alapat et al., 2010; Wolters et al., 2010). The environments of the Wadden Sea and its barrier system of tidal inlets and the Wadden Islands established 8500–5000 years before present (Oost, 1995; Van der Spek, 1996), as did those of the rest of the southern North Sea coastal-deltaic plain (e.g. Hageman, 1969; Kiden, 1995; Beets & Van der Spek, 2000; Vos, 2015).

The onshore basal peat data cover the Middle Holocene (8000–4000 years before present) relatively well, with dense sampling in multiple subenvironments in the Netherlands, providing fair insight into relative sea-level rise and regional and environmental differences. Sampled basal peats from the Early Holocene (11,000–8000 years before present) from offshore areas are much fewer in number, as these areas are more difficult to survey and sample. For the Late Holocene (the last 4000 years), basal peats are hardly available in the Netherlands, because in great parts of the coastal plain the landscape changed dramatically as people began to use it with increasing intensity. Organic landscape components have decomposed: due to agriculture and drainage water, few survived as preserved deposits. In the absence of basal peat, a variety of other types of observational evidence is used as palaeo-sea-level indicators in the Late Holocene. This includes observations obtained from Late Holocene ‘wadden’ and ‘salt marsh’ depositional environments (inland within the coastal plain), as well as from beach-barrier and coastal dune foot environments (truly coastal).

The Late Holocene of the coastal Netherlands is known for its many neighbouring ingressive tidal systems, each fast evolving (Vos & Knol, 2015; De Haas et al., 2017; Pierik et al., 2017). Along the inland parts of the coastal plain (including former salt marsh areas in Friesland and Groningen: dwelling mount areas, embanked in the last 1000 years), the currently available data for the last 3000 years are insufficiently spatially dense to resolve sea-level change signals from the local tidal changes resulting from opening and/or silting up of tidal channels. Considerably denser sampling of index points would be needed in combination with palaeo-tidal numeric modelling, to disentangle sea-level from tidal change signals. A further approach that could be beneficial to the quality of the palaeo-observational data would be to make use of salt-marsh diatom microfossil records. This method was applied with reasonable success on the English North Sea coast. A few studies exist that used alternative types of sedimentary sea-level indicators in the Holland beach-barrier and coastal-dune complex (e.g. Roep, 1986; Van de Plassche & Roep, 1989). De Groot et al. (1996) explored the records for the Wadden Islands, as discussed below.

Vertical spread of palaeo-observations, general sea-level curves All sea-level index points are relative sea-level index points (RSL in-

dex points). In the setting of the Netherlands, this means that they have subsided since deposition, and have done so at variable rates over space and time. The age–depth distributions of palaeo-observations contain signals of relative sea-level rise in the Holocene and Eemian time intervals (Fig. 10).

The palaeo-observations and sea-level curves for the western and northern Netherlands and northern Germany plot at deeper positions than global far-field datasets for the same periods. This subscribes to the notion of near-field GIA subsidence affecting the study area (introduced in sections above). Within the Netherlands, the Wadden Sea and surroundings show the greatest rates of relative sea-level rise, at least in the first half of the Holocene. Curves for the central and southern parts of the Netherlands plot higher than those for the northern Netherlands. This means that coastal deposits from which the observations have been derived have differentially subsided since their deposition: by a greater amount in the north, relative to the south (Kooi et al., 1998; Kiden et al., 2002; Vink et al., 2007; Koster et al., 2017).


Subsidence since deposition is particularly evident for the RSL index points of the Last Interglacial (Fig. 10). The Eemian sea-level records in the Netherlands are more patchily preserved and are less easily dated, but they are always buried at greater depth than their Holocene counterparts. This is explained by their burial depth, the erosive attacks on the Eemian record during sea-level fall and the return to cold climate conditions in the last glacial.

The northern Netherlands and the Wadden Sea historically have a lower intensity of shallow geological surveying compared to heavily urbanised and industrialised parts of the coastal plain of the western Netherlands (notably the Rotterdam area). In addition, geological differences between the two areas make the areas in the north less suitable for collecting palaeo-sea-level observations than the western Netherlands. Below the northern Netherlands’ coastal plain, patches of basal peat have been preserved in lows in the transgressed surface, such as former valley floors, in the same way as in the western Netherlands. What is lacking, however, are local positive relief features of sufficient height, where one can collect a series of index points spanning a few metres vertically. In the Rhine delta and Flevo lagoon, inherited inland dune topography preservation is much more complete, encapsulated in mud and organics, providing superb sea-level sampling localities (e.g. Van de Plassche, 1995; Makaske et al., 2003; Van de Plassche et al., 2005). In the north, such sites are rare and have yet to be sampled. Hence, to use basal peat from shallow depth intervals as sea-level indicators one has to rely on inland sampling locations, which are more likely to yield data points of the limiting type rather than true sea-level indicators.

The above reasons explain why, until recently, no Holocene sea-level reconstruction was available for the northern Netherlands and the Dutch Wadden Sea area. Based on a critical evaluation of the limited available data, Van de Plassche (1982)

Holocene RSL

Pacing melt of Ice Sheets known; accurate absolute age




Termination I ice-sheet disappearance
 SIS : LIS : AIS - Carlson & Clark 2012

Global far field SLR - Lambeck et al. 2014
 RSL Rotterdam - e.g. Hijma & Cohen 2010
 RSL Wadden Sea - e.g. Vink et al. 2007

Eemian RSL

Pacing melt of Ice Sheets unknown; inaccurate absolute age



Last Interglacial RSL South NL and North NL
 Zagwijn 1983, 1986; Cleveringa 2000;
 Sier et al. 2015; Peeters et al. 2016; Long et al. 2015
 South/North difference: Lambeck et al. 2006 GIA exploration

Holocene and Eemian RSL curves both on same scale.

Fig. 10. The Netherlands' records of relative sea-level rise for the Holocene and the Eemian (Cohen et al., 2016). The left panel shows the Holocene response of sea level to the melt of the large ice sheets (SIS = Scandinavian Ice Sheet; LIS = Laurentide Ice Sheet and AIS = Antarctic Ice Sheet). The right panel shows the position of sea-level indicators and sea-level reconstruction for the Netherlands during the Eemian, supplementing data points from Zagwijn (1983, 1986). Global sea level is estimated to have been 6–9 m higher than the present level (Dutton et al., 2015), but due to subsidence in the last 120,000 years, the sea-level indicators presently lie at –8 m and deeper.

hypothesised that the sea-level reconstruction for the western Netherlands was also representative for sea-level rise in the north. On the other hand, more recent research, combining sea-level data with GIA model results, suggests stronger glacio-hydro-isostatic subsidence and greater rates of relative sea-level rise in the northern Netherlands than in the western Netherlands (Kiden et al., 2002; Vink et al., 2007). Kiden & Vos (2012) and more recently Meijles et al. (accepted) investigate this discrepancy by means of new compilations of palaeo-sea-level data to more accurately reconstruct Holocene relative sea-level rise in the Wadden Sea and the adjacent coastal plain.

Selection and analysis of palaeo-observation data For the Wadden Sea region, an intensive data search for dated peat samples, from literature and from archives of the Geological Survey of the Netherlands and the Centre for Isotope Research, yielded a dataset of more than 250 samples. From this, an initial set of 51 possibly suitable basal-peat dates was selected. Plotting the 51 radiocarbon samples in a time-depth diagram resulted in a distribution of index points with a sharp lower boundary and a diffuse upper limit (Fig. 11). On the basis of the diagram, 26 in-

dex points were considered suitable for sea-level reconstruction (screening details in Meijles et al., accepted).

The selected data and the sea-level curve derived from them (Fig. 11) show a sharp rise 8000–7500 years ago with an average rate of 10 mm a^{-1} . The horizontal and vertical uncertainty in this section is high. The rate decreases to $c. 2.5 \text{ mm a}^{-1}$ between 7500 and 4000 years ago with a total rise of nearly 7.5 m with a temporally variable vertical error envelope. After 4000 to $c. 1500$ years ago the rate of sea-level rise reduced to a relatively stable 0.9 mm a^{-1} . In the most recent section of the curve (1500–600 years ago), the average rate of sea-level rise is in the order of 0.2 mm a^{-1} , but since the vertical uncertainties are high, this merely indicates that the rate of RSLR was low.

The curve for the Wadden Sea has a considerably lower time-depth position than those for Belgium (Denys & Baeteman, 1995) and Zeeland (southwestern Netherlands; Kiden, 1995; Vink et al., 2007), especially in the older part (Fig. 12). The vertical difference decreases from 4–6 m $c. 8000$ years ago to 2 m $c. 6000$ years ago. Note that the error envelope centre lines for Zeeland and Belgium are completely outside the error envelope of the Wadden Sea curve. After 5000–4000 years before present




Fig. 11. Time–depth diagram of the 51 originally selected radiocarbon-dated basal peat samples in the Northern Netherlands coastal area. The age ('cal. ka BP') is in years before present, the altitude in metres below NAP (Normaal Amsterdams Peil or Dutch Ordnance Level), which is within 0.1 m of present-day MSL. Red dots indicate samples from peat beds that were formed above contemporary sea level and thus cannot be used as sea-level indicators. The 26 index points in the lowest time–depth position (black dots) are interpreted to track sea level and are used for the reconstruction of the sea-level curve and error band. Vertical error bars contain primarily errors in altitude determination but no estimate of the indicative meaning of the index points. See [Meijles et al. \(accepted\)](#) for further details on data selection, evaluation and error term treatment.

the respective curve error envelopes slowly converge and in the last 3000 years they merge.

In its older part, the Wadden Sea curve (Fig. 13) also plots lower than recent sea-level reconstructions for the western Netherlands for that period (Fig. 12; Hijma & Cohen, 2010; Van de Plassche et al., 2010). The difference in depth positions of the older parts of the curves is evidence for differential subsidence of the northern Netherlands relative to the southwestern Netherlands and Belgium, and to a lesser extent to the western Netherlands. The rapidity of the drop appears to indicate a larger GIA subsidence towards the north, with the difference in rates decreasing into younger time. The observed difference in subsidence is also greater than that expected from tectonic land movement, pointing to GIA-induced subsidence. This is consis-

tent with the notion of Kiden et al. (2002) that GIA modelling predicts sea-level index points in the north to be encountered at greater depth, at least in the older part of the Holocene. For the period after c.7500 before present, however, no significant difference remains between the Wadden Sea sea-level reconstructions and those for the western Netherlands. The latter is in disagreement with GIA model predictions (in their current iterations) and reproduces the notion of Van de Plassche (1982). This is further explored in the next section.

Sea-level records of the Wadden Sea for the last 2500 years To assess future sea-level rise, knowledge of sea-level change in the recent past is of prime importance. However, similar to other basal-peat-based sea-level studies in the Netherlands (see




Fig. 12. The relative mean sea-level reconstruction for the Wadden Sea compared to relative sea-level curves for neighbouring coastal areas (Meijles *et al.*, accepted).

above), the sea-level reconstruction in Figures 11–13 does not extend to the present day, as the youngest index point has an age of c.600 years before present. Moreover, for the last 3000–2000 years the uncertainty range is relatively large.

In Figure 11, the index points for the period 1800–1000 years ago are from local peat layers sampled in lows in the coastal dune terrains on the Wadden Islands. These peat layers are known to have formed in settings where local freshwater lenses maintain groundwater-table positions that are decimetres to metres above contemporary mean sea level, as observed on the Wadden Islands today (Grootjans *et al.*, 1996; Röper *et al.*, 2012). Such locally raised coastal-dune groundwater tables can be explained by density differences between salt- and fresh water (Drabbe & Badon Ghijben, 1889; Herzberg, 1901).

On the Wadden Isles, raised groundwater levels of over 2 m above MSL have been measured on Spiekeroog in Germany (Troncke *et al.*, 1999) and to 3.5 m above MSL locally on Schiermonnikoog (Grootjans *et al.*, 1996). Peat samples on the Wadden

Islands are indicative of higher groundwater levels due to the freshwater lens effect and as such can only be used as upper limit indicators for sea level. It is thus presumed that the actual sea level during the last 1800 years will have been slightly (c.1 m) below the index points shown in Figure 11 from that period.

Using sea-level indicators from settings other than peat beds (e.g. diatom assemblages in salt marsh muds) would make it possible to more narrowly constrain sea levels in the last 2000 years in the Wadden Islands, but such methods at present have not been applied in the Netherlands.

Given these uncertainties in the sea-level reconstruction of Meijles *et al.* (accepted) for the last 2000 years, it is interesting to compare that part of the Wadden Sea curve with the MHW upper limit curve for that same period by De Groot *et al.* (1996). Their comprehensive study on the Frisian Wadden Islands is one of the few such studies available for this time period in the Netherlands, and hence important in bridging the gap between




Fig. 13. The relative mean sea-level reconstruction for the Wadden Sea compared to the Glacial Isostatic Adjustment (GIA) and tectonics-corrected reference relative MSL error band for Belgium (Denys & Baeteman, 1995); for further explanation see Meijles *et al.* (accepted).

the Holocene geological record and the historical modern instrumental record.

The sea-level reconstruction by De Groot *et al.* (1996) is featured in Figure 14. It is based on radiocarbon-dated coastal sedimentary sequences and associated palaeoecological evidence retrieved from cored boreholes and excavations on the Frisian Wadden Islands of Texel, Vlieland, Terschelling, Ameland and Schiermonnikoog. The fieldwork did not yield data on tidal levels other than MHW, and no estimate of MSL was given. To do so, information on palaeo-tides and a sound understanding of the palaeogeographical situation for the relevant time period are necessary. Another issue with the De Groot *et al.* dataset is that datable organic material was mostly found at a significantly higher level than the sedimentological MHW indications themselves. This means that the ages attached to the index point are (slightly) younger than the corresponding MHW heights. One could thus shift the curves in the figure to the left and raise reconstructed sea levels to a higher position earlier in time (ac-

tual MHW was higher). Doing so lowers the rate of MHW and/or sea-level rise deduced for the last 1000–500 years.

A centre line through the error envelope of De Groot *et al.* (1996), after calibrating the ^{14}C ages over the last 1800 years, gives an average rate of rise of MHW of $c.0.7 \text{ mm a}^{-1}$. Data collected from below the soles of terps on the Frisian mainland (Vis *et al.*, 2015; Vos, 2015) support such a rate to apply to the first millennium BC. This suggests that the Wadden Isles and Frisian mainland data capture the same gross regional trend, but this should not be seen as a proof that relative sea-level rise was spatially uniform and/or temporally semi-linear over shorter time periods. As noted above, actual MHW rise is likely to have been lower than this. The associated error bands are large, however, and allow deducing rates of MHW rise of double the average rate, a near-zero rate or for one showing fluctuations. De Groot *et al.* (1996), for example, note a possible acceleration of MHW rise $c.850$ years ago ($c.800 \text{ }^{14}\text{C yr}$), from $c.0.6 \text{ mm a}^{-1}$ before to 0.9 mm a^{-1} after that date. The authors were unable to




Fig. 14. Reconstruction by De Groot et al. of the MHW trend over the last 2000 years on the Frisian Islands, based on sedimentological and palaeoecological observations and criteria (reproduced from De Groot et al. (1996), timescale in uncalibrated radiocarbon years before present). MSL indicators were not found in the studied sediments so no reconstruction could be made of the MSL trend. Under the (untested) assumption that palaeo-tidal range has remained unchanged over the last 2000 years, MSL may have been 0.7–1.25 m lower than the MHW reconstruction shown here, as present-day tidal range in the Dutch Wadden Sea is between 1.4 and 2.5 m (Oost et al., 2012).

determine whether this is related to short-term accelerated sea-level rise, or to factors such as changes in storm frequency and amplitude or embankment of the tidal marsh land on the Friesland mainland (dike construction). However, considering the large uncertainty around their sea-level data (including the calibration of ^{14}C dates in the particular time interval), the apparent acceleration may be insignificant.

Despite its limitations towards the recent past as noted above, the study of Meijles et al. (accepted) yields much lower rates of MSL rise over a comparable period. Using the centre line of the error envelope of Meijles et al.'s analysis (Figs 11 and 12), an average MSL rise between 0.4 and 0.5 mm a^{-1} from c.3000 years ago to the present can be calculated, decreasing to not more than c.0.2 mm a^{-1} over the last 600 years (extrapolated to

0 m NAP at present). The reasons for the discrepancy between the MHW results of De Groot et al. (1996) and Vos (2015), and the coastal-groundwater MSL results of Meijles et al. (accepted) concerning sea-level rise in the last 3000–2000 years remain to be investigated.

Possible explanations for differences in rates and observed vertical offsets between different types of observational data – if not explained as resulting from uncertainty, noise and error in the observations – would be (i) short-term accelerations and decelerations occurring in the rate of MSL rise; (2) spatio-temporal changes in tidal amplitudes in the Wadden Sea independent of MSL variations; (3) unaccounted spatial differences in land subsidence between the sites. Considering that the error envelope of the MSL curve is more than 1 m wide, it is currently not possible to robustly identify fluctuations in the rate of relative SLR in the last 2000 years, with the exception of the tide-gauge covered last centuries. What can be said is that in general the rates were low (lower than the observations and predictions of ongoing relative sea-level rise). It can be assumed that in the past fluctuations occurred in part as a response to ocean sterics (e.g. Kopp et al., 2015, 2016), but equally due to the three more local effects mentioned above. These undulations must have stayed within the bandwidth indicated by the observational data figures.

The Wadden Sea is no exception Global insights and rules of thumb regarding the availability of records and the opportunity to collect palaeo-observations apply to the Wadden Sea and the Netherlands as well. Its lowland coastal geological setting relates strongly to the global sea-level history of the last and penultimate cycles. It was only at the end of Glacial Termination I, c.8000 years ago, when postglacial sea-level had risen to levels 25–15 m below present, that the shallow North Sea floor began to drown and that chains of embryonic precursors of the coastal barriers and Wadden Islands began to establish at more or less the present coastline position. In other words: sea-level rise records of the last c.8000 years in the Wadden Sea and the Netherlands are preserved inland below the coastal plain and Wadden Sea, at depths shallower than c.25 m below present MSL, where they are not eroded by younger channel scour or human activities.

Records from transgressive stages prior to 8000 years are offshore, at shallow depth, just below the morphologically active seabed. This contrast in place, position, accessibility and degree of preservation between Middle–Late Holocene and Late Glacial – Early Holocene records is shared by the Wadden Sea and the North Sea with shelf-sea, barrier–lagoon, and deltaic coastal plain complexes around the world. This means that relative sea-level records from the last 6000 years, from circumstances of ‘a high stand’ with only modest relative sea-level change, tend to have been collected from more inland positions with slightly different subsidence and mean coastal water level properties than

sites targeting the period 9000–6000 years ago, with some interpretation and data usage caveats.

Once a first Wadden Sea had formed, apart from sea-level rise, several other processes played their role in the further evolution of the Wadden Sea. Sediment from the hinterland by the rivers Rhine, Vecht, Ems and Weser/Elbe had been delivered to the North Sea floor in the Last Glacial and before, and thus was abundantly available for recirculation from the moment the North Sea transgressed the region. Then, beginning c.9000 years ago, tidal and wave-driven currents started shifting these sandy sediments. By 8000–7000 years ago, this resulted in the first barrier islands at positions in the immediate offshore of the present system (Jelgersma, 1979). Then, coeval with barrier development and depending on distance to main sediment feeds to the coastal plain, the tendency of underfilled tidal systems behind the barriers to trap large volumes of sediments in next millennia came into play (Beets & Van der Spek, 2000; Oost et al., 2012; De Haas et al., 2017). By 6000–5000 years ago this had culminated in partially to fully filled tidal basins (switching from ‘transgressive’ to ‘high stand’ system modes). Then, again coeval with barrier formation and back-barrier tidal basin filling processes, the last process to consider is the tendency of marsh and swamp vegetation to create peaty substrates in the most inland parts of the coastal plain, over the pre-transgression substrate (basal peat references earlier in this section) as well as on top of tidal deposits in silted-up basins (Beets & Van der Spek, 2000; Oost et al., 2012; Vos, 2015; Pierik et al., 2017). Altogether this has allowed for a lot of sediment accommodation in back-barrier space. In the Zeeland and Holland sectors, that accommodation left fewer back-barrier waters open, than in the Wadden Sea sectors.

The back-barrier tidal waters and coastal plain deposits in the inland direction overlap a substrate with a morphology owing to glaciation by the edge of the Scandinavian Ice Sheet c.150,000 years ago and river valley activity since then (Busschers et al., 2007, 2008; Hijma et al., 2012; Peeters et al., 2016), with intercalated sedimentary sea-level records from the Last Interglacial (Zagwijn, 1983; Kiden et al., 2002; Long et al., 2015), preserved at nowadays subsided positions. In global data overviews, this lists the Wadden Sea and the Netherlands palaeo-observations, with many other sites along the European, US and Caribbean Atlantic coasts, in the group of so-called ‘Near Field’ sea-level sites. That classification implies that the palaeo-observational vertical positions are affected by GIA and gravitational effects of waxing and waning ice sheet masses, and for that reason deviate from ‘eustatic’ signals and typical vertical positions shown by sites in Far Field regions (coasts in and around the Indian Ocean and Pacific Ocean). Despite this difference with far-field sites, the Wadden Sea and the Netherlands share their coastal plain age and stratigraphy of transgressive drowning units below back-barrier fill units with basically every other barrier–lagoon, delta and estuarine system around the world (e.g. Hori & Saito, 2007; Tamura et al., 2009; Hijma & Cohen,




Fig. 15. Annual sea level from four long-term tide gauge records in the southwestern North Sea. The common mean of the Maassluis, Den Helder and Cuxhaven stations over the last 25 years has been removed. The common mean over the overlapping period between Amsterdam and Den Helder has also been removed. The data were obtained from the Permanent Service for Mean Sea Level (PSMSL, Holgate et al., 2012).




Fig. 16. Annual sea level from four long-term tide-gauge records in the Wadden Sea. The common mean of all signals over the last 25 years has been removed. Note that Den Helder is present both in this and the previous figure.

2011; Wang et al., 2012; Amorosi et al., 2017; Pennington et al., 2017).

The tide-gauge record

Decadal sea-level variability and multi-decadal trends in the Wadden Sea Sea level in the North Sea has been recorded by the Amsterdam tide gauge since 1700. Multiple high-quality records are available since the mid-19th century (Fig. 15). All tide gauge records, corrected for vertical land motion, show a rise in sea level in the 20th-century, although the Cuxhaven record differs from the other records. The Amsterdam record suggests that sea-level rise commenced in the second half of the 19th century. The large interannual variability, present in all records, hinders the detection of a present-day acceleration in sea level at local scales.

Since 1865, multiple tide-gauge records for the Wadden Sea are available (Fig. 16). They mostly show a common trend and variability signal, although the Delfzijl record shows a substantial deviation at the beginning of the 20th century. For all stations, the sea-level trend is positive and significant (Table 2), although significant differences between nearby stations exist.

Table 2. Trends in North Sea and Wadden Sea tide gauge records (1890–2016). Trends computed after the nodal tide has been filtered out (Baart et al., 2012). Sea-level monitor (Version v2017.04). Zenodo. <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.1065964>.

	Trend (mm a ⁻¹)
Delfzijl	1.96 ± 0.09
Den Helder	1.50 ± 0.08
Harlingen	1.32 ± 0.09
Cuxhaven	2.01 ± 0.11

The acceleration is not significant at the 95% confidence level for any of the stations.

It is generally difficult to explain the origins of differences between individual tide gauges, and therefore a possible method to assess regional sea-level changes is to merge multiple records from nearby tide gauges into a regional average. Here, we merge stations from the Wadden Sea and the Dutch North Sea coast into region-mean records using the ‘virtual station’ method (Jevrejeva et al., 2014; Dangendorf et al., 2017; Frederikse et al., 2018). This can be used to obtain an estimate of decadal sea-level variability and multi-decadal trends in the Wadden Sea over the past 50 years, and to assess whether these quantities differ




Fig. 17. Locations of the tide gauges that are merged to compute region-mean estimates of the decadal sea-level variability and multi-decadal trends for the Wadden Sea and the Dutch North Sea coast.

from those in the Dutch North Sea. High-frequency data at 12 Wadden Sea and 13 Dutch North Sea tide gauges (Fig. 17) obtained from the Rijkswaterstaat data portal (live.waterbase.nl) is averaged to compute monthly means. Not all stations have data over the entire analysis period. We assess the period 1958 to 2014, because over this period, estimates of ice-mass loss, density changes and land-water storage are available. These will be used to assess the origins of the observed trends and variability. In the virtual-station method, the two closest stations are merged into a new virtual station halfway between them. The common mean over the overlapping period is removed and the merged stations are removed from the station list. This process is iterated until only one station is left, which is the reconstructed sea level for that region (Fig. 18; Jevrejeva et al., 2014; Dangendorf et al., 2017). The figure shows that sea-level changes in the Dutch North Sea and Wadden Sea are highly coherent on interannual and decadal scales, and the estimated trends are not significantly different.

Monitoring vertical land motion of the Wadden Sea tide gauges As tide gauges measure the sea level relative to a local benchmark on land, the observations also include (local) vertical land motion. In the Dutch Wadden Sea, (local) vertical land motion is introduced by, among others, gas extraction, salt mining and GIA. To be able to correct the observed water levels for these motions, a regular reconnection of the tide gauge zero to the tide gauge benchmark and to a reference level (e.g. Amsterdam Ordnance Datum / Normaal Amsterdams Peil for the Netherlands) is required. For the tide gauges located at the mainland of the Netherlands, the latter is established on a regular basis using spirit levelling. For the tide gauges on the Wadden Islands, spirit levelling cannot be used to establish the connection to the mainland, as spirit levelling cannot cross large water bodies.

The last first-order connection of the Wadden island (and off-shore) tide gauges to the Normaal Amsterdams Peil (NAP) was

established during the fifth precise levelling campaign using hydrostatic levelling between 1996 and 1999. After that there was a large second-order campaign in the Wadden Sea from 2000 until 2002 (the last using hydrostatic levelling). This campaign included the measurement locations at sea, north of the Wadden Islands (Texel Noordzee, Terschelling Noordzee, Wierumergronden and Huibersgat). At Oudeschild, Vlieland Haven and West-Terschelling, the tide gauges are connected to a first-order benchmark, hence their height was kept fixed, but tested in the pseudo-constrained least-squares adjustment.

In 2002, hydrostatic levelling was abandoned because it was believed that in the near future Global Navigation Satellite System (GNSS) techniques could take over. However, state-of-the-art quasi-geoid models covering the Dutch Wadden Sea only have centimetre accuracy (Farahani et al., 2017). Consequently, no relative deformation of the local height networks at the Wadden Islands relative to the mainland NAP network can be observed. Relative vertical motions on the islands with respect to the first-order marks have been checked by local second-order levellings in 2009, though for Ameland and Schiermonnikoog there is no benchmark at the measurement location in the NAP database (second-order benchmarks are close by).

Alternatively, the vertical land motions are estimated from permanent GNSS measurements. However, in general the available GNSS measurement records in the Wadden Sea are too short. The longest record is available at Terschelling. Here, GNSS is available since 1996. Only since 2013/14 have the Wadden Sea tide gauges Oudeschild and Vlieland been equipped with permanent GNSS. Also on Ameland and Schiermonnikoog permanent GNSS is available (though maybe not connected to the tide gauge).

The satellite record

Satellite radar altimetry Satellite radar altimeter observed sea-level variations in the North Sea are available for the TOPEX/Poseidon and Jason satellites with a near 10-day sampling interval. For the European Earth Observation satellites (ERS-1, ERS-2, Envisat), and the SARAL/AltiKa altimetry satellite mission (Envisat series), a total of c.40 tracks are available, with a sampling interval of 35 days. Statistically interpolated sea-level anomaly grids computed from altimetry observations are also available (AVISO, CCI). So far, however, only a limited number of studies (i.e. Madsen et al., 2007; Sterlini et al., 2017) have used the altimeter data record to study long-term variations or trends in the North Sea.

In coastal waters (which applies to the whole Wadden Sea), altimeter-derived sea surface heights become less accurate because (i) the observed waveforms are contaminated by reflections from land (remember that the typical beam-limited footprint size of pulse-limited radars is 7.5–10 km), (ii) due to different sea states, observed waveforms differ from those on open ocean, (iii) the accuracy of the wet troposphere




Fig. 18. Reconstructed region-mean sea-level curves and accompanying linear trends for the Wadden Sea and the Dutch North Sea over 1958–2014. The region-mean sea-level curves have been filtered using a 12-month moving average.

corrections is degraded and (iv) the accuracy of ocean tide corrections obtained from global ocean tide models is degraded (Vignudelli et al., 2011). Conventional altimeters, like those from the TOPEX/Poseidon–Jason and Envisat series – are therefore not suitable to obtain sea surface heights in the Wadden Sea. Dedicated processing techniques might help to get closer to the shore (Passaro et al., 2014; Roscher et al., 2017), but their improvements are marginal or require a specific set of conditions to be able to work.

With the new type of altimeters on board the Cryosat-2 and Sentinel-3 missions, launched in 2010 and 2016 respectively, closed-burst unfocused Synthetic Aperture Radar (SAR) is applied to reduce the footprint in the along-track direction to 300–400 m (Wingham et al., 2006). This makes it possible to observe sea level close to the coast, but in the Wadden Sea it is still problematic. Further enhancements are expected by applying fully focused SAR algorithms, which is possible for Cryosat-2 and Sentinel-3&6. With this technique the along-track footprint is further reduced to ~ 0.5 m. The dynamic ocean surface decorrelates the signal over the ocean, so that effectively the footprint will be at least several tens of metres, but it will help to remove signals from static scatters on the land surface. Eventually, the Surface Water and Ocean Topography (SWOT) mission will provide 120 km swaths of sea surface heights, with a horizontal resolution of several hundred metres. This mission will capture large parts of the Wadden Sea instantaneously.

Currently, even the new altimetry missions are not able to provide reliable sea-level change estimates in the Wadden Sea. Firstly, their records are too short to estimate reliable trends. Secondly, a proper tide model for the Wadden Sea is required to remove the effect of ocean tides, because they alias into the altimetry estimates. Thirdly, not enough research is done to state anything about the performance of altimeters in the Wadden Sea. From reconstructions it appears that sea level in the Wadden Sea closely follows the sea level in the North Sea, so it can act as a proxy. The main contribution of the new altimeter

technologies is the possibility of validating instantaneous sea surface heights in models.

Mass changes from satellite gravimetry Currently, no studies have attempted to directly estimate ocean mass changes from the Gravity Recovery And Climate Experiment (GRACE) satellite alone in the North Sea, although Frederikse et al. (2016a) showed that the mass signal from GRACE correlates with the estimated tide-gauge observations. The limited spatial resolution of GRACE makes it prone to leakage, meaning that land hydrology signals and ocean changes in the North Sea basin are difficult to distinguish. The effect of leakage can be reduced using land hydrology models, but this requires accurate knowledge of the man-controlled water levels in the surrounding regions. Standard gridded ocean bottom pressure data are not suited to determine the mass changes in the North Sea, because they apply 500 km Gaussian filters, which are larger than the North Sea itself (Chambers & Bonin, 2012). A partitioning of the sea level in the North Sea has been done on the basis of a combination of GRACE data and altimetry (Rietbroek et al., 2016). Figure 19 reconfirms the large fluctuations in ocean bottom pressure on the shelf which contaminates the smoother signals originating from glaciers, ice caps and terrestrial hydrology.

A local sea-level budget for the Wadden Sea

If all processes that affect sea-level change in the Wadden Sea are understood well, the sum of these processes should be equal to the observed sea-level change. Here, we combine the effects of wind, pressure, large-scale ocean dynamics, GIA, the nodal cycle, and present-day mass redistribution to reconstruct sea-level change in the Wadden Sea and compare the reconstructed curve to the tide-gauge observations (Fig. 20). The majority of the variability is caused by the effects of winds and the dynamic response to longshore wind, as discussed above, while mass redistribution and the nodal cycle show substantially less variability. When added together, the observed variability and




Fig. 19. Estimated sea-level contributions in the North Sea from a combination of GRACE and Jason-1/2 altimeter data. The variability in the North Sea is mostly dominated by ocean bottom pressure changes, which induce the largest uncertainties in the estimated trends (from Rietbroek et al., 2016, supplement).




Fig. 20. A sea-level budget for the Wadden Sea. (A) Individual contributors to sea-level changes in the Wadden Sea. The dynamic component, taken from Frederikse et al. (2016a), consists of steric changes along the Portuguese coast and the Bay of Biscay. SLP stands for sea-level pressure. The mass redistribution term consists of the sum of all processes in Figure 10. All time series have been low-pass filtered using a 12e. The GIA component is estimated at 0.6 mm a^{-1} , based on the global ICE6G-VM5a model (Peltier et al., 2015). (B) Sum of all components in (A) versus the observed sea-level changes in the Wadden Sea.

trend in the Wadden Sea can be explained by the contributors (Fig. 20).

Regional sea-level change projections for the North Sea and the Wadden Sea

In this section, we provide an overview of sea-level projections for the 21st century, focusing on the Wadden Sea area (Fig. 21). The regional sea-level projections from IPCC AR5 (Church et al., 2013) are taken as the starting point of this assessment. These projections include ocean steric and dynamic changes, ice-sheet and glacier mass changes, changes in land-water storage due to groundwater extraction, atmospheric pressure change and GIA.

While there has been progress in understanding most of the contributions, a recent development is that the dynamic ice-sheet contribution from Antarctica has now been connected to a Representative Concentration Pathway (RCP, Moss et al.,

2010) scenario (e.g. Levermann et al., 2014; Golledge et al., 2015; Ritz et al., 2015; DeConto & Pollard, 2016). We also consider different GIA estimates and evaluate the impact this has on our regional sea-level projections. Subsidence in the Wadden Sea is not included in this section, but discussed in detail in Fokker et al. (2018).

Data and methods

The regional sea-level projections presented here are based on the materials and methods described in the IPCC AR5 (Church et al., 2013) and the regional sea-level projections of Cannaby et al. (2016). Our starting point is the projected contributions to global mean sea level from ice-sheet changes, glacier mass loss, changes in land-water storage due to groundwater extraction and global thermal expansion made available in the IPCC AR5 supplementary data files (available from



Fig. 21. The Dutch part of the Wadden Sea World Heritage area and the location of the two tide-gauge stations used (map adapted from www.waddensea-worldheritage.org).

<http://www.climatechange2013.org/report/full-report>). The time series for ice-sheet, glacier and groundwater storage changes are combined with estimates of the corresponding sea-level ‘fingerprints’ that account for the responses of Earth’s geoid (via gravity and rotational effects) and the lithosphere (Slangen et al., 2014) to obtain the associated regional sea-level change. We also include an estimate of relative sea-level change as a result of the vertical land motion and geoid changes associated with GIA from the ICE-5G (VM2) model (as described in Peltier, 2004). The final step in the regional projections is to account for local changes in the shape of the sea surface that can arise from local changes in circulation and/or density (‘oceanographic’ sea level). Following previous authors (e.g. Perrette et al., 2013; Bilbao et al., 2015) we adopt a pattern-scaling type approach by computing linear regression coefficients between local oceanographic sea level and global thermal expansion (see Cannaby et al., 2016, their fig. A1) using the same set of CMIP5 climate models (phase 5 of the Coupled Model Intercomparison Project, Taylor et al., 2012) as Slangen et al. (2014) and Church et al. (2013) (Table 3). We make the same assumptions about correlation of uncertainties as documented by Church et al. (2013) and Cannaby et al. (2016), except that the variance for global thermal expansion and a variance for the regression slope are estimated separately and combined assuming that there is no correlation between the two. Comparisons of the two approaches for a number of example locations have shown that this is a reasonable assumption (Cannaby et al., 2016).

Sea-level change projections

Time series of the global mean and the North Sea region The global-mean ensemble-mean sea-level projections for 1986–2005

to 2081–2100 (the time periods used in IPCC AR5) based on Church et al. (2013) and Cannaby et al. (2016) are 0.44 ± 0.17 m for RCP2.6, 0.53 ± 0.18 m for RCP4.5 and 0.74 ± 0.23 m for the RCP8.5 scenario. The time series for each of these scenarios is presented in Figure 22A, B and C. In all three scenarios, the thermal expansion contribution is the largest of the individual contributions (in red). The glacier contribution and the ice-sheet surface mass balance contributions are smaller and dependent on the choice of scenario. In IPCC AR5, the ice dynamics contributions and the groundwater contribution were assumed to be scenario-independent, with the exception of Greenland dynamics in the RCP8.5 scenario to represent larger outflow.

On a regional scale, Den Helder (Fig. 22D, E and F) and Delfzijl (Fig. 22G, H and I) are similar to each other, but they differ from the global mean projections. The thermal expansion contribution is now included in the ‘oceanographic’ contribution (red), which also includes changes in ocean dynamics and atmospheric pressure loading. Both the magnitude of the change and the uncertainty in this contribution are larger than in the global mean. The increased uncertainty in the ocean component is mainly caused by larger variability on a regional scale due to the different phasing and larger regional response to annual, interannual and decadal variability (such as the El Niño Southern Oscillation (ENSO)), which is averaged out in the global mean.

The steric component of sea-level rise is larger in the North Atlantic compared to the global mean because, as climate warms up, the mid-latitude oceans become both warmer than high-latitude oceans and fresher due to increased precipitation compared to equatorial oceans. These two effects decrease the density of the water (Bouttes et al., 2014). Additionally, a reduction of the Atlantic Meridional Overturning Circulation (AMOC) strength is found in models participating in CMIP5. This indirect effect tends to reduce the direct effect of surface heating and




Fig. 22. Projected sea-level change in Global Mean (A, B, C), Den Helder (D, E, F) and Delfzijl (G, H, I), for the RCP2.6 (A, D, G), RCP4.5 (B, E, H) and RCP8.5 (C, F, I) scenarios. Shown are the individual contributions (coloured lines) and the total change (black line and shading, 5–95%). The model ensemble is based on CMIP5 models (Table 3).

Table 3. CMIP5 models (Taylor et al., 2012) used for the projections in this paper, indicating the availability of model data per scenario.

Model name	RCP2.6	RCP4.5	RCP8.5	Model name	RCP2.6	RCP4.5	RCP8.5
ACCESS1-0		x	x	IPSL-CM5A-LR	x	x	x
bcc-csm1-1	x	x	x	IPSL-CM5A-MR	x	x	x
CNRM-CM5		x	x	MIROC-ESM	x	x	x
CSIRO-Mk3-6-0	x	x	x	MIROC-ESM-CHEM	x	x	x
CanESM2		x	x	MIROC5	x	x	x
GISS-E2-R	x	x	x	MPI-ESM-LR	x	x	x
GFDL-ESM2M	x	x	x	MPI-ESM-MR	x	x	x
GFDL-ESM2G	x	x	x	MRI-CGCM3	x	x	x
HadGEM2-CC		x	x	NorESM1-M	x	x	x
HadGEM2-ES	x	x	x	NorESM1-ME	x	x	x
inmcm4		x	x				

Table 4. Cumulative sea-level change in metres (5–95%) for the 1986–2005 mean vs the 2081–2100 mean for Den Helder and Delfzijl.

	RCP2.6		RCP4.5		RCP8.5	
	Den Helder	Delfzijl	Den Helder	Delfzijl	Den Helder	Delfzijl
Oceanographic	0.15 ± 0.17	0.15 ± 0.18	0.25 ± 0.18	0.24 ± 0.18	0.42 ± 0.25	0.42 ± 0.24
Glacier	0.07 ± 0.04	0.07 ± 0.04	0.09 ± 0.04	0.08 ± 0.04	0.11 ± 0.05	0.11 ± 0.05
Antarctic SMB	−0.02 ± 0.02	−0.02 ± 0.02	−0.03 ± 0.02	−0.03 ± 0.02	−0.04 ± 0.03	−0.04 ± 0.03
Antarctic dynamics	0.08 ± 0.09	0.08 ± 0.09	0.08 ± 0.09	0.08 ± 0.09	0.08 ± 0.09	0.08 ± 0.09
Greenland SMB	0.00 ± 0.01	0.00 ± 0.00	0.01 ± 0.01	0.00 ± 0.00	0.01 ± 0.01	0.01 ± 0.00
Greenland dynamics	0.01 ± 0.00	0.01 ± 0.00	0.01 ± 0.00	0.01 ± 0.00	0.01 ± 0.01	0.01 ± 0.00
Land water	0.03 ± 0.04	0.03 ± 0.04	0.03 ± 0.04	0.03 ± 0.04	0.03 ± 0.04	0.03 ± 0.04
GIA	0.11	0.12	0.11	0.12	0.11	0.12
Sum	0.44 ± 0.23	0.44 ± 0.23	0.54 ± 0.24	0.54 ± 0.24	0.74 ± 0.31	0.74 ± 0.30

freshening, but it is not dominant (Bouttes et al., 2014). The large-scale ocean circulation system is expected to weaken in a warmer climate (Gregory et al., 2005) and will lead to higher sea levels in the northern North Atlantic (Levermann et al., 2005), although the effect of rising global temperatures on the development of the AMOC is not yet fully quantified, which results in an enhanced uncertainty for future dynamic sea-level changes in the North Sea.

In the future, as the Greenland Ice Sheet continues to melt, the freshwater export will also contribute to sea-level rise in the North Atlantic and in the North Sea. Assuming a modest mass loss from Greenland equivalent to a global mean sea-level rise of 10 cm during the 21st century, Slangen & Lenaerts (2016) showed that this freshwater effect would raise sea level by an additional 5–10 cm locally in the North Sea. This process is not yet included in the CMIP5 models used here to project dynamical sea-level changes (Lenaerts et al., 2015), so that it needs to be added to the numbers given in Table 4.

The regional mass contribution of the Greenland Ice Sheet in the North Sea is nearly zero (as opposed to the density effect mentioned above), which is well below the global mean, as a result of the gravitational effect. Also for the glacier contribution,

the contribution at the Dutch coast is below the global mean due to the gravitational effect, as most glacier areas are located in the Northern Hemisphere. For Antarctica, the gravitational effect works the other way. As the Netherlands are in the far field of Antarctica, the regional contribution is larger than the global mean. Both the glacier and the land water contribution are affected by the gravitational fingerprints, but the regional effect is close to the global average for both. The GIA contribution has a negligible global mean effect on sea-level change, but it can have a distinct regional effect. Using the ICE5G GIA model, for Delfzijl and Den Helder, the effect of GIA is a relative sea-level rise as a result of a sinking solid earth (0.11–0.12 m over the 21st century; Fig. 22; Table 4). See below for a discussion on the differences between GIA models and modelling methods.

The larger uncertainty in the regional oceanographic contribution translates into a larger uncertainty for the summed sea-level projections at the Den Helder and Delfzijl grid points (Fig. 22). The projected changes by 2100 for these two locations are above the global mean projections (+0.04, +0.08 and +0.11 m for the three RCP scenarios, respectively), mainly due to the addition of the GIA contribution in the regional projections.

Table 5. Cumulative sea-level change in metres (5–95%) for three periods, taking the mean of Den Helder and Delfzijl.

	RCP2.6	RCP4.5	RCP8.5
2018–2030	0.06 ± 0.07 m	0.07 ± 0.06 m	0.08 ± 0.06 m
2018–2050	0.16 ± 0.12 m	0.19 ± 0.11 m	0.23 ± 0.12 m
2018–2100	0.41 ± 0.25 m	0.52 ± 0.27 m	0.76 ± 0.36 m

Both globally and regionally, the total sea-level projections show the impact of the RCP scenario (Fig. 23), with RCP2.6 as the low- and RCP8.5 as the high-emission scenario. However, although the projections' means diverge, their uncertainties still overlap by the end of the century, with a larger overlap for the regional projections (Table 5). This is caused by the RCP scenarios that drive the climate models: they do not diverge until the middle of the 21st century.

The projections shown in Figures 22 and 23 are largely based on the IPCC AR5 results (Church et al., 2013). Since IPCC AR5, a lot of research has been done, updating various estimates of the contributions to sea-level change (summarised in e.g. Clark et al., 2015; Slangen et al., 2017b). Estimates of the steric and dynamic contributions have not changed; these are taken from the CMIP5 model archive. New results are expected with the release of the sixth phase of the climate model intercomparison (CMIP6), which will include new developments in the climate models, such as interactive ice sheets, but these are not available yet. Updated glacier models and newly developed models simulate generally lower glacier contributions (Marzeion et al., 2012; Huss & Hock, 2015; Slangen et al., 2017b), although only by a couple of centimetres in 2100.

In recent years, particularly the Antarctic dynamic ice sheet contribution has sparked debate in the scientific community. It is potentially the largest contribution to sea-level rise, but the uncertainties in the processes, timing and magnitude of the contribution are large. So large, in fact, that in IPCC AR5, the state of the science did not allow for a scenario dependence of this contribution. Now, several estimates based on different methods and approaches are available (Fig. 24).

The Sea-level Response to Ice Sheet Evolution (SeaRise) inter-comparison project (Bindschadler et al., 2013; Fig. 24A) specifically aimed at comparing and quantifying the uncertainties in the ice discharge from Antarctica resulting from climate change forcing and oceanic response in a number of ice sheet models (Levermann et al., 2014). They found a median contribution of 0.09 m (0.01–0.37 m, 90%) for the RCP8.5 scenario, which is close to the IPCC values but has a skewed probability distribution to larger values. Using a coupled ice-sheet/ice-shelf model, Golledge et al. (2015) found a reduction of buttressing ice shelves leading to increased discharge and flow acceleration in all scenarios except RCP2.6. Under RCP8.5 (Fig. 24B), they projected a contribution of 0.1–0.39 m by 2100, which is well above the IPCC AR5 estimates. They also showed that a collapse

of the major ice shelves might trigger a much larger commitment to sea-level rise on centennial to millennial timescales. Ritz et al. (2015) used a process-based statistical approach and projected a contribution of up to 0.3 m by 2100 (Fig. 24C), mainly driven by a contribution from marine ice-sheet instability (MISI) in the Amundsen Sea Embayment. The projected contribution is therefore slightly larger than the IPCC AR5 and in addition they found slightly skewed probability distributions to higher values. Using an ice-sheet/ice-shelf model that includes marine ice-cliff instability and hydrofracturing, DeConto & Pollard (2016) projected a much larger contribution of 1.05 ± 0.30 m by 2100 under RCP8.5 (Fig. 24D).

When comparing the effects of the four previously described estimates on the total sea-level projections (focusing on the median values, not the tails which would significantly change the uncertainty band; Fig. 24E), it is clear that even though most of the post-AR5 contributions for Antarctica tend to be larger than in the IPCC AR5, it will be difficult to reconcile the different estimates into a single 'best estimate' because they are too far apart. However, research by Kuipers Munneke et al. (2014) finds that hydrofracturing will take place on longer timescales than suggested by DeConto & Pollard (2016). Further research is needed to determine realistic timescales and magnitudes for the processes involved in dynamic ice sheet mass loss.

As each GIA model is constrained using different ice histories and assuming different solid-earth rheologies, the choice of GIA model can make a difference in local sea-level projections (Fig. 6). Since IPCC AR5, a follow-up of the ICE-5G (VM2) model (Peltier, 2004) has been released: the ICE-6G (VM2a) model (Peltier et al., 2015). This results in a larger sea-level rise contribution along the Dutch coast, with differences in the order of 1 mm a^{-1} . The difference to the ANU model (updated from Lambeck et al., 1998; Fig. 25C) is even larger since the uplift affects a larger region than in the ICE-xG models. Over the 21st century as a whole, this adds up to a considerable 10–30 cm difference between the GIA models. Regional GIA models, as presented in Figure 6, may be better constrained but are not suitable to use in the model set-up here which requires a global sea-level projection framework.

Sea-level change projections for 2100 In IPCC AR5, future global sea-level rise is assessed as likely ($P > 66\%$) to be within the range of the projections. However, not having information on the complete probability distribution of future sea-level change presents a challenge for policy-makers and coastal planners: it is often important to know what the high-end or extreme sea-level change will be, in the upper tails of the uncertainty distribution. Some publications post AR5 have therefore attempted to try and quantify a larger range of the probability distribution, often making use of expert elicitations to quantify the future ice-sheet contribution (e.g. Bamber & Aspinall, 2013; Jevrejeva et al., 2014; Kopp et al., 2014; Grinsted et al., 2015). At the same time there has also been progress in our understanding of




Fig. 23. (A) Global-mean reconstructed sea-level change (black, four different reconstructions) and projections for RCP2.6 (blue) and RCP8.5 (red) (2005–2100, 5–95%); (B) Tide-gauge observations vs local relative sea-level projections for Den Helder (C) Tide-gauge observations vs local relative sea-level projections for Delfzijl.




Fig. 24. Sensitivity of sea-level projections to different RCP8.5-based estimates of the Antarctic contribution. IPCC AR5 Antarctic contribution vs four post-AR5 estimates: (A) Levermann et al. (2014, Ice Dynamics only), (B) Golledge et al. (2015, Surface Mass Balance and Ice Dynamics), (C) Ritz et al. (2015, Ice Dynamics only) and (D) DeConto & Pollard (2016, Surface Mass Balance and Ice Dynamics). (E) Total global mean sea-level projections (RCP8.5) combined with each of the Antarctic estimates.




Fig. 25. Overview of sea-level projections presented in literature since IPCC AR5, sorted by RCP scenario, translated into regional projections for Den Helder (m, 2005–2100), showing median, 17–83% (light inner bars) and 5–95% (dark outer bars). *The 5–95% spread of the IPCC AR5 projections corresponds to the likely range.

sea-level change (e.g. see reviews by Clark et al., 2015; Slangen et al., 2017b) and, most notably, there have been recent developments in the projections of ice-mass changes in Antarctica (e.g. Ritz et al., 2015; DeConto & Pollard, 2016).

Here we compare different types of regional sea-level projections for the Wadden Sea (Fig. 25). We show projections based on IPCC AR5 science and also projections that have been published since the release of IPCC AR5. The projections can be roughly categorised as follows: (i) projections from IPCC AR5 or based on IPCC AR5 science (Slangen et al., 2012, 2014; Cannaby et al., 2016; KNMI, 2017); (ii) projections that we construct by making use of the median of recent projections from ice-sheet models (Levermann et al., 2014; Golledge et al., 2015; Ritz et al., 2015; DeConto & Pollard, 2016) – note that these ice-sheet projections have skewed uncertainty distributions which are not included here; and (iii) projections that make use of expert elicitations

or a semi-empirical approach (Kopp et al., 2014; Grinsted et al., 2015; Jackson & Jevrejeva, 2016; Mengel et al., 2016).

The main difference between these studies is how the ice-sheet contribution is handled. There are, however, also other differences in the methodologies applied which give different results. For example, Mengel et al. (2016) show noticeable differences between their results and those given in IPCC AR5 for the separate contributions to future sea-level rise. The treatment and presentation of uncertainties is also different between the projections. As mentioned, the spread of the IPCC AR5 projections is based on a likely range, whereas most other projections shown here are presented with corresponding 5–95% uncertainties (e.g. Kopp et al., 2014; Grinsted et al., 2015; Jackson & Jevrejeva, 2016; Mengel et al., 2016; Le Bars et al., 2017).

At the request of the Dutch Delta Committee (Kabat et al., 2009), high-end sea-level change along the Dutch coast was

assessed in 2008. The results were presented in a report by Vellinga et al. (2009) and a peer-reviewed publication by Katsman et al. (2011). Their high-end scenario added up to 0.55–1.15 m global mean sea-level change between 1990 and 2100. Their method builds on the IPCC AR4 methodology (Meehl et al., 2007) and KNMI (Koninklijk Nederlands Meteorologisch Instituut) scenarios (Van den Hurk et al., 2006). The projections included steric/dynamic changes based on CMIP3 models, glacier estimates based on volume–area modelling, and ice-sheet estimates based on models, expert estimates and observed changes. In the ‘severe’ scenario, this included a collapse of ice shelves in the Amundsen Sea Embayment and accelerated melting of glaciers on East Antarctica and the Antarctic Peninsula, and a doubling of Greenland tidewater glacier discharge by 2050. The projected change presented in this report is 0.76 ± 0.36 m for the RCP8.5 scenario along the Dutch coast (from 2018 to 2100; Table 5), compared to 0.74 ± 0.35 m from the Delta Committee (from 1990 to 2100). However, if the observed trends between 1990 and 2018 are taken into account, the Delta Committee central estimate of 0.74 m would translate to 0.66–0.70 m for the 2018–2100 period. The differences between the Delta Commission report and this paper are primarily in the steric/dynamic contribution and glacier contribution, which almost double in RCP8.5. The Antarctic contribution of this paper falls within the range of Katsman et al. (2011), but is at the lower bound. However, if the results of DeConto & Pollard (2016) are included in the projections, the total global mean sea-level projection is c. 1.7 m (median value, Fig. 24E), which is considerably larger than the high-end estimate of the Delta Committee. This is in line with other publications focusing on high-end sea-level estimates, such as Jevrejeva et al. (2014), who find high-end global mean change in the order of 1.8–2.5 m by 2100 (high-end only results are not included in Fig. 25).

Variability around projected sea-level rise The projections discussed in the previous sections are all long-term and do not take local small-scale variability into account. Here, we combine the observed variability from the tide-gauge stations with the projections to show the local differences on shorter timescales. We use tide-gauge observations for the period 1865–2015 to estimate the local variability and assume that the distribution of the variability does not change in the future (Church et al., 2013; Sterl et al., 2015).

The rates of change measured at the tide-gauge stations have a wide distribution. Detrended yearly rates vary between -111 and 151 mm a^{-1} for Delfzijl and between -100 and 89 mm a^{-1} for Den Helder. The variability reduces as averages are taken over longer periods: detrended 10-year running means vary between -35 and 41 mm a^{-1} for Delfzijl and -35 and 22 mm a^{-1} for Den Helder (Fig. 26, black bars). The distribution is wider for the Delfzijl tide-gauge station compared to Den Helder.

The observed distribution shifts by $c.2 \text{ mm a}^{-1}$ when the RCP2.6 lower 5th percentile projected sea-level rate is added

(also averaged over 10 years) and it stays relatively constant for the years 2030, 2050 and 2100 (Table 6; Fig. 26, blue). For the RCP8.5 upper 95th percentile, the projected rates double between 2030 and 2100, from $c.9 \text{ mm a}^{-1}$ to $c.18 \text{ mm a}^{-1}$ (Table 6; Fig. 26, red). Given the relatively large spread in the observed rates (standard deviation of 17 mm for Delfzijl and 11 mm for Den Helder), RCP2.6 projected rates cause no significant change in the distribution, but the projected rates of RCP8.5 in 2050 and 2100 cause a more significant shift larger than 1 standard deviation.

A major driver of variability in sea level is the extremes from storm surges. Arns et al. (2015) argued that for the northern part of the German Bight, in the case of a sea-level rise of 54 cm, nonlinear tidal effects lead to an increase of extreme storm-surge sea levels by up to 15 cm (in addition to the mean sea-level change). This conclusion was extended to the Dutch part of the Wadden Sea by Idier et al. (2017), who showed that, for a mean sea-level rise of less than 2 m, extreme sea-level events (annual maximum water level) proportionally increase by an additional 15% of the sea-level rise. This means that for a sea-level rise of 1 m the annual maximum tidal water level increases by 1.15 m.

However, this conclusion only holds for the case where locally the land is not allowed to flood. The increase is mostly cancelled in the case of flooding, showing that coastal protection decisions can have an impact on maximum sea level. Additionally, in regions of depth-limited waves like the Wadden Sea, an increase of the mean sea level also leads to an increase of the wave height. This effect lead Arns et al. (2017) to argue that the design height of coastal protection for the German Bight will need to be 48–56% higher than it would be for only the mean sea-level rise. These numbers are obtained under the assumption that future extreme wind conditions will remain similar to those of the present day, which is what most climate models find (Sterl et al., 2015). One major limitation of these models, however, is that their horizontal resolution is not high enough to solve for hurricanes. Using a high-resolution model, Haarsma et al. (2013) showed that global warming could result in more hurricanes hitting western Europe, which could have large effects on extreme storm surges and wave conditions, and therefore impact the North Sea coast and the Wadden Sea area.

Time-variable sources of uncertainty in the projections There are various sources of uncertainty that determine the total uncertainty in the projections. A main source of uncertainty is the internal climate variability: natural fluctuations in the climate. This includes large-scale phenomena like the ENSO or the NAO, which have an effect on interannual to interdecadal timescales. These fluctuations can be quite large, especially on a regional scale. Therefore, this is a major uncertainty in climate and sea-level projections on decadal timescales (Fig. 27): depending on

Table 6. Projected yearly sea-level rates (mm a^{-1}) for the lower 5% bound of RCP2.6 and the upper 95% bound of RCP8.5 for Den Helder and Delfzijl.

		2030		2050		2100	
		RCP2.6 lower	RCP8.5 upper	RCP2.6 lower	RCP8.5 upper	RCP2.6 lower	RCP8.5 upper
Den Helder	Rate (mm a^{-1})	2.5	9.1	2.3	12.5	2.1	18.5
Delfzijl	Rate (mm a^{-1})	2.6	9.0	2.3	12.3	2.2	18




Fig. 26. Probability distribution of the linearly detrended 10-year running mean tide-gauge rates (mm a^{-1} , 1865–2015, black); combined with local sea-level rise projection rates (mm a^{-1}) of the lower 5% bound of RCP2.6 (red colours) and the upper 95% bound of RCP8.5 (blue colours) for Den Helder (upper row) and Delfzijl (lower row), for the years 2030 (left), 2050 (centre) and 2100 (right).


Fig. 27. Sources of uncertainties in the sea-level projections on different timescales, considering internal variability (yellow), scenario uncertainty (green) and model uncertainty (blue). Decomposition of uncertainties follows the approach of Hawkins and Sutton (2009).

the phasing of, for instance, ENSO, sea-level change can be reduced or amplified significantly (e.g. Boening et al., 2012).

A second source of uncertainty in the projections is the choice of emission scenario, which is largely based on the actions taken by society. In the first few decades of the 21st century, the emission scenarios do not yet have a large effect on the projected sea-level change, as the response of the different processes contributing to sea level is delayed. However, from the mid-21st century onwards the projections as a result of the followed scenarios (RCP2.6, 4.5 or 8.5) start to diverge and therefore have a larger effect on the projected uncertainties (Fig. 27). This effect is expected to continue to increase past 2100, when the majority of the sea-level response will start to emerge.

A third source of uncertainty is the choice of the climate model used for the projections. There are 21 models, each with one model realisation, included in the ensemble of sea-level projections and there is a significant spread within this ensemble. This is due to a number of reasons, such as different model set-up or different parameterisations of sub-gridscale processes. Although in the 21st century initially the internal variability is the major source of uncertainty, the model uncertainty quickly grows to c.40% in 2050 and remains constant for the remainder of the period.

In order to reduce uncertainties in the projections, this analysis clearly shows that it is important to consider the period of interest: for 2030 the internal variability is the dominant source of uncertainty while the choice of scenario is much less relevant. However, reducing the model uncertainty would be a gain throughout the 21st century.

Discussion and way forward

Processes

On decadal and longer timescales, dynamic sea-level changes in the Wadden Sea appear to be coherent with North Sea sea-level changes. Hence, a thorough understanding of the major physical processes that affect sea level in the North Sea are of utmost importance to understand the behaviour of the Wadden Sea on longer timescales. Currently, the response of the North Sea to wind-driven coastally trapped waves is reasonably understood. However, next to this mode of decadal variability, multi-decadal variability due to large-scale oceanic features may reach the North Sea as well. In particular, the North Atlantic Ocean shows distinct multi-decadal variability signals (e.g. McCarthy et al., 2015). However, it is not known whether and how the North Sea responds to these large-scale fluctuations. Furthermore, in a warming climate, the meridional overturning circulation may weaken (Levermann et al., 2005), which may also affect the North Sea and Wadden Sea. Strengthening the causal links between large-scale oceanic variability and local sea-level changes, by combining model results with physical understanding, forms

a key challenge to understand contemporary and future sea-level changes.

On shorter temporal scales, sea-level changes are to a large extent driven by wind. The sea-level response to wind is affected by the local tidal regime, bathymetry, and time-mean sea-level changes. This combination results in wind-driven sea-level variability that is highly spatially variable. To maintain and ensure present and future safety during extreme surge events, knowledge is needed on how all these aforementioned factors affect sea-level changes. This knowledge becomes crucial under future sea-level change scenarios, since extremes may be amplified under rising mean sea level (Arns et al., 2017).

Observations

Palaeo-observations To improve our understanding of past sea-level changes in the Wadden Sea and to be able to use them in improving GIA models and sea-level projections, we identify three focal areas for future research.

- (1) Collecting high-quality sea-level index points and establishing nationwide databases.

The most-recent Wadden Sea sea-level reconstruction (Meijles et al., accepted) is significantly larger than GIA models of the 1990s (Lambeck et al., 1998) and Vink et al. (2007). It is unknown whether this indicates a vertical offset in the index-point data, an offset in the calibration of the GIA models, or both. To investigate and resolve this inconsistency, additional basal-peat radiocarbon dates from carefully selected sites should be collected. The available sea-level index-point data should be kept in a database with national cover (i.e. following the protocols and guidelines in Hijma et al., 2015), to make them more directly and more transparently usable between Holocene geologists and GIA modellers.

- (2) Improving our understanding of the evolution of the Wadden Sea through time.

In the Netherlands most, if not all, sea-level index points are derived from palaeo-environments in dynamic and wide back-barrier settings that often extend for tens of kilometres in a landward direction. This means that for any period of time there is a large spatial variation in tidal parameters and that for any location these parameters can change rapidly due to a change in geometry of the tidal basin. This applies also to the Wadden Sea area, which has experienced rather dramatic changes in configuration during the last 8000 years. Getting a grip on the spatial and temporal changes in the tidal configuration is possible with a palaeo-tidal modelling effort that can help in refining the indicative range and hence can reduce the uncertainty around the relation of a sea-level indicator with past sea level.

- (3) Improving GIA models with upgraded geological sea-level data.

The recent work of Meijles et al. (accepted) highlighted that there are still large discrepancies between the output of the GIA models and the actual sea-level data for the Holocene. Most GIA models find sea-level curves from the Rotterdam area systematically higher than those from the Wadden Sea area. At the same time, the data from Meijles et al. (accepted) could mean that in the last 7000 or 5000 years or so, the differential movement was negligible. This highlights the necessity for improved GIA modelling and upgrading of the observational datasets that it is iterated on, besides scrutiny and national databasing effort. Screening and reassessing the basal-peat index points from the North Sea offshore for clustering around periods of acceleration is a step to perform to reduce the undersampling of the North Sea. Such work has recently started, including a NIOZ *Pelagia* cruise shooting seismics and sampling identified patches of basal peats at critical depths, 10–100 km off Vlieland. Especially the Dutch and German sectors of the southern North Sea hold important suitable records from this time period (Cohen et al., 2017). Sampling in the area between the Dutch mainland and Dogger Bank is important to constrain long-term components of subsidence (tectonic subsidence) and the long-term component of GIA modelling (residual effects of multiple cycles of GIA warping).

Present-day observations Currently there is no operational technique available to connect the Wadden Islands to the NAP height system at the mainland. Consequently, any relative deformation of the local height networks at the Wadden Islands relative to the mainland NAP network cannot be observed. We propose the following recommendations for future research:

- 1) To develop a 3D hydrodynamic model for the Wadden Sea that includes all relevant physical processes and that is properly embedded in the observational network.
- 2) To exploit the possibilities offered by the new generation of SAR altimeters (available on the Cryosat-2 and Sentinel-3 missions) to provide the required resolution of sea surface height measurements in the Wadden Sea, and generating an altimeter-derived dataset of observed water levels that can be used to validate and calibrate the model.
- 3) To estimate the error variance–covariance matrix of the model-based MDT from which the error variance–covariance matrix of the MDT differences can be obtained. The latter information is needed to be able to combine the hydrodynamic levelling data with spirit levelling data.

Furthermore, interferometric Synthetic Aperture Radar (InSAR) may be used to detect relative vertical land motion, possibly aided by the use of strategically positioned corner reflectors and transponders. On a much larger scale, gravimetry missions such as GRACE and its follow-on provide estimates of large-scale mass-driven sea level in the larger North Sea. Optical and microwave satellite images, such as for example the SPOT series and the TerraSAR-X and Tandem-X satellites, respectively, may

provide information on geomorphological features which change over time.

We therefore recommend promotion of pilot studies using remote sensing in the Wadden Sea in order to:

1. Benefit from spaceborne high temporal and spatial resolution information in the interior of the Wadden Sea.
2. Pave the way for operational uses of satellite data (e.g. data assimilation in operational models).
3. Identify the needs and requirements of national and regional stakeholders for future satellite missions.

Projections

The sea-level community requires input from other research communities to make sea-level projections. Large-scale changes in sea level in the long term will be driven by the response of the climate system to enhanced radiative forcing as a result of increased emission of greenhouse gases. Therefore it is important to develop process-based ice-dynamical models, in particular for the Antarctic contribution. This is required to investigate when and where the peak of sea-level rise will be, which in turn is relevant for policy-makers and coastal protection. In addition, the surface mass balance models of the ice sheets (and preferably also ice dynamic models) should be included in climate models such that the impact of additional freshwater forcing on the ocean dynamics can be studied. This is a development that is ongoing in the climate-modelling community.

Another uncertain term in the sea-level projections is vertical land movement. Current GIA models do not agree on the (projected) rate of vertical deformation in the North Sea and Wadden Sea. It is therefore recommended that 3D nonlinear solid-earth rheologies are used to drive models and estimate present-day GIA. As mentioned before, robust palaeo-sea-level data are needed to calibrate and validate the GIA models.

The regional sea-level projections presented in this paper are mostly based on climate models, which have a relatively coarse resolution of $c. 1 \times 1^\circ$ ($\sim 100 \times 100$ km) in the ocean. We use the grid points closest to Den Helder and Delfzijl (at each end of the Dutch Wadden Sea basin, ~ 150 km apart) as a proxy for sea-level change in the Wadden Sea. Currently, it is not well understood how external signals from large-scale sea-level processes propagate into the Wadden Sea and interact with the local conditions. In the Wadden Sea, sediment transport and ecological processes shape the bathymetry together with the oceanic conditions. To better understand changes and the different interactions, a local climate model should be set up, including high-resolution hydro-, sediment- and morphodynamics. This will help to answer questions on physical processes, the effect of large-scale SLR processes and climate changes (e.g. wind climate), interactions between physics and biology, and the influence of local freshwater variability on the basin dynamics.

Conclusions

In this paper, we have provided an overview of sea-level projections for the 21st century for the Wadden Sea region. As a starting point, we presented the different physical processes that contribute to sea-level change on global, regional and local scales. Then, the observed changes of past and present sea-level change were presented to put the projections into perspective.

For the projections, we considered three climate scenarios: the RCP2.6 scenario, which assumes that GHG emissions decline after 2020; the RCP4.5 scenario, which assumes that GHG emissions peak at 2040 and decline thereafter; and the RCP8.5 scenario, which represents a continued rise of GHG emissions throughout the 21st century.

Based on IPCC AR5, the projected sea-level change along the Dutch coast for three different time periods is as follows:

	RCP2.6	RCP4.5	RCP8.5
2018–2030	0.06 ± 0.07 m	0.07 ± 0.06 m	0.08 ± 0.06 m
2018–2050	0.16 ± 0.12 m	0.19 ± 0.11 m	0.23 ± 0.12 m
2018–2100	0.41 ± 0.25 m	0.52 ± 0.27 m	0.76 ± 0.36 m

This translates into the following range of rates of sea-level change:

	Low estimate (RCP2.6, 5th percentile)	High estimate (RCP8.5, 95th percentile)
Rate in 2030	2.6 mm a ⁻¹	9.1 mm a ⁻¹
Rate in 2050	2.3 mm a ⁻¹	12.4 mm a ⁻¹
Rate in 2100	2.2 mm a ⁻¹	18.3 mm a ⁻¹

As recent literature suggests a larger projected contribution of the Antarctic ice sheet to sea-level rise than presented in IPCC AR5, we have also assessed the effect of different estimates of accelerated ice mass loss on the total sea-level projections. However, even without the accelerated Antarctic mass loss, the projections for the RCP8.5 scenarios are larger than the high-end projections presented in the 2008 Delta Commission report. Considering the difference in period, the Delta Commission estimate translates to 0.66–0.70 m for the 2018–2100 period, compared to 0.76 m in this report.

Acknowledgements

We thank three anonymous reviewers for helpful comments and suggestions, NWO for the reviewing process in general and Niels van den Berg and Josef Stuefer in particular, and Willy van Strien for editorial support. The Waddenacademie and Programma naar een Rijke Waddenzee are acknowledged for having initiated and supported this study, notably with financial sup-

port for organising a sea-level workshop on 22–23 June 2017 at Royal NIOZ Yerseke.

References

- Ablain, M., Cazenave, A., Valladeau, G. & Guinehut, S., 2009. A new assessment of the error budget of global mean sea level rate estimated by satellite altimetry over 1993–2008. *Ocean Science* 5: 193–201.
- Alappat, L., Vink, A., Tsukamoto, S. & Frechen, M., 2010. Establishing the Late Pleistocene–Holocene sedimentation boundary in the southern North Sea using OSL dating of shallow continental shelf sediments. *Proceedings of the Geologists' Association* 121: 43–54.
- Amorosi, A., Bruno, L., Cleveland, D.M., Morelli, A. & Hong, W., 2017. Paleosols and associated channel-belt sand bodies from a continuously subsiding late Quaternary system (Po Basin, Italy): new insights into continental sequence stratigraphy. *GSA Bulletin* 129: 449–463.
- Andersen, O.B. & Piccioni, G., 2016. Recent Arctic sea level variations from satellites. *Frontiers in Marine Science* 3: 1–6.
- Arns, A., Wahl, T., Dangendorf, S. & Jensen, J., 2015. The impact of sea level rise on storm surge water levels in the northern part of the German Bight. *Coastal Engineering* 96: 118–131.
- Arns, A., Dangendorf, S., Jensen, J., Talke, S., Bender, J. & Pattiaratchi, C., 2017. Sea-level rise induced amplification of coastal protection design heights. *Scientific Reports* 7: 40171.
- Baart, F., van Gelder, P.H.A.J.M., de Ronde, J., van Koningsveld, M. & Wouters, B., 2012. The effect of the 18.6-year lunar nodal cycle on regional sea-level rise estimates. *Journal of Coastal Research* 28: 511–516.
- Baeteman, C., Waller, M. & Kiden, P., 2011. Reconstructing middle to late Holocene sea-level change: a methodological review with particular reference to 'A new Holocene sea-level curve for the southern North Sea' presented by K.-E. Behre. *Boreas* 40: 557–572.
- Balmaseda, M.A., Hernandez, F., Storto, A., Palmer, M.D., Alves, O., Shi, L., Smith, G.C., Toyoda, T., Valdivieso, M., Barnier, B., Behringer, D., Boyer, T., Chang, Y.-S., Chepurin, G.A., Ferry, N., Forget, G., Fujii, Y., Good, S., Guinehut, S., Haines, K., Ishikawa, Y., Keeley, S., Köhl, A., Lee, T., Martin, M.J., Masina, S., Masuda, S., Meyssignac, B., Mogensen, K., Parent, L., Peterson, K.A., Tang, Y.M., Yin, Y., Vernieres, G., Wang, X., Waters, J., Wedd, R., Wang, O., Xue, Y., Chevallier, M., Lemieux, J.-F., Dupont, F., Kuragano, T., Kamachi, M., Awaji, T., Caltabiano, A., Wilmer-Becker, K. & Gaillard, F., 2015. The Ocean Reanalyses Intercomparison Project (ORA-IP). *Journal of Operational Oceanography*, 8: sup1, s80–s97.
- Bamber, J.L. & Aspinall, W.P., 2013. An expert judgement assessment of future sea level rise from the ice sheets. *Nature Climate Change* 2: 1–4.
- Beckley, B.D., Zelensky, N.P., Holmes, S.A., Lemoine, F.G., Ray, R.D., Mitchum, G.T., Desai, S.D. & Brown, S.T., 2010. Assessment of the Jason-2 Extension to the TOPEX/Poseidon, Jason-1 Sea-Surface Height Time Series for Global Mean Sea Level Monitoring. *Marine Geodesy* 33: sup1, 447–471.
- Beets, D.J. & van der Spek, A.J.F., 2000. The Holocene evolution of the barrier and back-barrier basins of Belgium and the Netherlands as a function of late Weichselian morphology, relative sea-level rise and sediment supply. *Netherlands Journal of Geosciences* 79: 3–16.

- Behre, K.-E.**, 2007. A new Holocene sea-level curve for the southern North Sea. *Boreas* 36: 82–102.
- Behre, K.E. & Mencke, B.**, 1969. Pollenanalytische Untersuchungen an einem Bohrkern der südlichen Doggerbank. In: *Beiträge zur Meereskunde* 24/25. Institut für Meereskunde: 122–129.
- Behre, K.-E., Dörjes, J. & Irion, G.**, 1984. Ein datierter Sedimentkern aus dem Holozän der südlichen Nordsee. *Probl Küstenforsch im südlichen Nordseegebiet* 15: 135–148.
- Bennema, J.**, 1954. Holocene movements of land and sea level in the coastal area of the Netherlands. *Geologie en Mijnbouw* 16: 254–264.
- Berendsen, H.J.A., Makaske, B., Van de Plassche, O., Van Ree, M.H.M., Das, S., Van Dongen, M., Ploumen, S. & Schoenmakers, W.**, 2007. New groundwater-level rise data from the Rhine-Meuse delta – implications for the reconstruction of Holocene relative mean sea-level rise and differential land-level movements. *Netherlands Journal of Geosciences / Geologie en Mijnbouw* 86: 333–354.
- Bilbao, R.A.F., Gregory, J.M. & Bouttes, N.**, 2015. Analysis of the regional pattern of sea level change due to ocean dynamics and density change for 1993–2009 in observations and CMIP5 AOGCM's. *Climate Dynamics* 45: 2647–2666.
- Bindschadler, R.A., Nowicki, S., Abe-Ouchi, A., Aschwanden, A., Choi, H., Fastook, J., Granzow, G., Greve, R., Gutowski, G., Herzfeld, U., Jackson, C., Johnson, J., Khroulev, C., Levermann, A., Lipscomb, W. H., Martin, M.A., Morlighem, M., Parizek, B.R., Pollard, D., Price, S.F., Ren, D., Saito, F., Sato, T., Seddik, H., Seroussi, H., Takahashi, K., Walker, R. & Wang, W.L.**, 2013. Ice-sheet model sensitivities to environmental forcing and their use in projecting future sea level (the SeaRISE project). *Journal of Glaciology* 59: 195–224.
- Bingham, R.J. & Hughes, C.W.**, 2012. Local diagnostics to estimate density-induced sea level variations over topography and along coastlines. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans* 117: C01013.
- Bintanja, R. & van de Wal, R.S.W.**, 2008. North American ice-sheet dynamics and the onset of 100,000-year glacial cycles. *Nature* 454: 869–872.
- Bintanja, R., van de Wal, R.S.W. & Oerlemans, J.**, 2005. Modelled atmospheric temperatures and global sea levels over the past million years. *Nature* 437: 125–128.
- Boening, C., Willis, J.K., Landerer, F.W., Nerem, R.S. & Fasullo, J.**, 2012. The 2011 La Niña: so strong, the oceans fell. *Geophysical Research Letters* 39: L19602.
- Bouttes, N., Gregory, J.M., Kuhlbrodt, T. & Smith, R.S.**, 2014. The drivers of projected North Atlantic sea level change. *Climate Dynamics* 43: 1531–1544.
- Bradley, S.L., Milne, G.A., Shennan, I. & Edwards, R.**, 2011. An improved Glacial Isostatic Adjustment model for the British Isles. *Journal of Quaternary Science* 26: 541–552.
- Broecker, W.S. & Donk, J.**, 1970. Insolation changes, ice volumes, and the O_{18} record in deep-sea cores. *Reviews of Geophysics* 8: 169–198.
- Busschers, F.S., Kasse, C., van Balen, R.T., Vandenbergh, J., Cohen, K.M., Weerts, H.J.T., Wallinga, J., Johns, C., Cleveringa, P. & Bunnik, F.P.M.**, 2007. Late Pleistocene evolution of the Rhine-Meuse system in the southern North Sea basin: imprints of climate change, sea-level oscillation and glacio-isostasy. *Quaternary Science Reviews* 26: 3216–3248.
- Busschers, F.S., van Balen, R.T., Cohen, K.M., Kasse, C., Weerts, H.J.T., Wallinga, J. & Bunnik, F.P.**, 2008. Response of the Rhine-Meuse fluvial system to Saalian ice-sheet dynamics. *Boreas* 37: 377–398.
- Cabanes, C., Grouazel, A., von Schuckmann, K., Hamon, M., Turpin, V., Coatanéo, C., Paris, F., Guinehut, S., Boone, C., Ferry, N., de Boyer Montégut, C., Carval, T., Reverdin, G., Pouliquen, S. & Le Traon, P.-Y.**, 2013. The CORA dataset: validation and diagnostics of in-situ ocean temperature and salinity measurements. *Ocean Science* 9: 1–18.
- Calafat, F.M., Chambers, D.P. & Tsimplis, M.N.**, 2012. Mechanisms of decadal sea level variability in the eastern North Atlantic and the Mediterranean Sea. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans* 117: C09022.
- Calafat, F.M., Chambers, D.P. & Tsimplis, M.N.**, 2013. Inter-annual to decadal sea-level variability in the coastal zones of the Norwegian and Siberian Seas: the role of atmospheric forcing. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans* 118: 1287–1301.
- Cannaby, H., Palmer, M.D., Howard, T., Bricheno, L., Calvert, D., Krijnen, J., Wood, R., Tinker, J., Bunney, C., Harle, J., Saulter, A., O'Neill, C., Bellingham, C. & Lowe, J.**, 2016. Projected sea level rise and changes in extreme storm surge and wave events during the 21st century in the region of Singapore. *Ocean Science* 12: 613–632.
- Carlson, A.E. & Clark, P.U.**, 2012. Ice sheet sources of sea level rise and freshwater discharge during the last deglaciation. *Reviews of Geophysics* 50: RG4007.
- Carret, A., Johannessen, J.A., Andersen, O.B., Ablain, M., Prandi, P., Blazquez, A. & Cazenave, A.**, 2017. Arctic sea level during the satellite altimetry era. *Surveys in Geophysics* 38: 251–275.
- Carson, M., Köhl, A., Stammer, D. et al.**, 2016. Coastal sea level changes, observed and projected during the 20th and 21st century. *Climatic Change* 134: 269–281.
- Cazenave, A., Henry, O., Munier, S., Delcroix, T., Gordon, A., Meyssignac, B., Llovel, W., Palanisamy, H. & Becker, M.**, 2012. Estimating ENSO influence on the global mean sea level, 1993–2010. *Marine Geodesy* 35: 82–97.
- Cazenave, A., Dieng, H.B., Meyssignac, B., von Schuckmann, K., Decharme, B. & Berthier, E.**, 2014. The rate of sea-level rise. *Nature Climate Change* 4: 358–361.
- Chafik, L., Nilsen, J.E.Ø. & Dangendorf, S.**, 2017. Impact of North Atlantic Teleconnection Patterns on Northern European Sea Level. *Journal of Marine Science and Engineering* 5: 43.
- Chambers, D.P. & Bonin, J.A.**, 2012. Evaluation of Release 05 time-variable gravity coefficients over the ocean. *Ocean Science* 8: 859–868.
- Chambers, D.P., Cazenave, A., Champollion, N., Dieng, H., Llovel, W., Forsberg, R., von Schuckmann, K. & Wada, Y.**, 2017. Evaluation of the global mean sea level budget between 1993 and 2014. *Surveys in Geophysics* 38: 309–327.
- Chen, J., Wilson, C. & Tapley, B.**, 2013. Contribution of ice sheet and mountain glacier melt to recent sea level rise. *Nature Geoscience* 6: 549–552.
- Chen, X., Dangendorf, S., Narayan, N., O'Driscoll, K., Tsimplis, M.N., Su, J. & Pohlmann, T.**, 2014. On sea level change in the North Sea influenced by the North Atlantic Oscillation: local and remote steric effects. *Estuarine, Coastal and Shelf Science* 151: 186–195.
- Chen, X., Zhang, X., Church, J.A., Watson, C.S., King, M.A., Monselesan, D., Legresy, B. & Harig, C.**, 2017. The increasing rate of global mean sea-level rise during 1993–2014. *Nature Climate Change* 7: 492–495.
- Cheng, M., Tapley, B. D. & Ries, J. C.**, 2013. Deceleration in the Earth's oblateness. *Journal of Geophysical Research Solid Earth* 118: 740–747.
- Church, J.A. & White, N.J.**, 2011. Sea-level rise from the late 19th to the early 21st century. *Surveys in Geophysics* 32: 585–602.

- Church, J.A., Clark, P.U., Cazenave, A., Gregory, J.M., Jevrejeva, S., Levermann, A., Merrifield, M.A., Milne, G.A., Nerem, R.S., Nunn, P.D., Payne, A.J., Pfeffer, W.T., Stammer, D. & Unnikrishnan, A.S., 2013. Sea Level Change. In: Stocker, T.F., Qin, D., Plattner, G.-K., Tignor, M., Allen, S.K., Boschung, J., Nauels, A., Xia, Y., Bex, V. & Midgley, P.M. (eds): Climate change 2013: the physical science basis. Contribution of Working Group I to the Fifth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change. Cambridge University Press (Cambridge): 1137–1216.
- Clark, J.A. & Lingle, C.S., 1977. Future sea-level changes due to West Antarctic ice sheet fluctuations. *Nature* 269: 206–209.
- Clark, P.U., Church, J.A., Gregory, J.M. & Payne, A.J., 2015. Recent progress in understanding and projecting regional and global mean sea-level change. *Current Climate Change Reports* 1: 224–246.
- Cleveringa, P., 2000. Reconstruction and modelling of Holocene coastal evolution of the Western Netherlands. Dissertation. Available at <https://dspace.library.uu.nl/handle/1874/526>.
- Cohen, K.M., 2005. 3D geostatistical interpolation and geological interpretation of palaeo-groundwater rise in the coastal prism in the Netherlands. In: Giosan, L. & Bhattacharaya, J.P. (eds): River deltas: concepts, models, and examples. Society for Sedimentary Geology (SEPM) (Tulsa, Oklahoma): 341–364.
- Cohen, K.M., Peeters, J., Sier, M.J., Hijma, M.P. & Busschers, F.S., 2016. Intercomparing the boundaries of the youngest two interglacials: Base MIS1 vs Base MIS5e, Base Holocene vs Base Last Interglacial, Base Middle Holocene vs Base Eemian. 35th International Geological Congress Abstracts, Paper Number 4176.
- Cohen, K.M., Westley, K., Erkens, G., Hijma, M.P. & Weerts, H.J.T., 2017. The North Sea. In: Flemming, N.C., Harff, J., Moura, D., Burgess, A. & Bailey, G. (eds): Submerged Landscapes of the European Continental Shelf – Volume 1 Quaternary Paleoenvironments. John Wiley & Sons Ltd. (New York): 147–186.
- Collilieux, X., Altamimi, Z., Ray, J., van Dam, T. & Wu, X., 2009. Effect of the satellite laser ranging network distribution on geocenter motion estimation. *Journal of Geophysical Research* 114: B04402. doi: [10.1029/2008JB005727](https://doi.org/10.1029/2008JB005727).
- Dangendorf, S., Mudersbach, C., Wahl, T. & Jensen, J., 2013. Characteristics of intra-, inter-annual and decadal sea-level variability and the role of meteorological forcing: the long record of Cuxhaven. *Ocean Dynamics* 63: 209–224.
- Dangendorf, S., Calafat, F.M., Arns, A., Wahl, T., Haigh, I.D. & Jensen, J., 2014a. Mean sea level variability in the North Sea: processes and implications. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans* 119: 6820–6841.
- Dangendorf, S., Wahl, T., Nilson, E., Klein, B. & Jensen, J., 2014b. A new atmospheric proxy for sea level variability in the southeastern North Sea: observations and future ensemble projections. *Climate Dynamics* 43: 447–467.
- Dangendorf, S., Marcos, M., Wöppelmann, G., Conrad, C.P., Frederikse, T. & Riva, R., 2017. Reassessment of 20th century global mean sea level rise. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 114: 5946–5951.
- DeConto, R. & Pollard, D., 2016. Contribution of Antarctica to past and future sea-level rise. *Nature* 531: 591–597.
- De Groot, T.M., Westerhoff, W.E. & Bosch, J.H.A., 1996. Sea-level rise during the last 2000 years as recorded on the Frisian Islands (the Netherlands). *Mededelingen Rijks Geologische Dienst* 57: 69–78.
- De Haas, T., Pierik, H.J., van der Spek, A.J.F., Cohen, K.M., van Maanen, B. & Kleinhans, M.G., 2017. Holocene evolution of tidal systems in the Netherlands: effects of rivers, coastal boundary conditions, eco-engineering species, inherited relief and human interference. *Earth-Science Reviews* 177: 139–163.
- Denton, G.H. & Hughes, T.J., 1981. The last great ice sheets. J. Wiley (New York), 484 pp.
- Denys, L. & Baeteman, C., 1995. Holocene evolution of relative sea level and local mean high water spring tides in Belgium – a first assessment. *Marine Geology* 124: 1–19.
- De Ronde, J.G., Baart, F., Katsman, C.A. & Vuik, V., 2014. Zeespiegelmonitor. Deltareport 1208712-000-ZKS-0010.
- De Winter, R.C., Sterl, A. & Ruessink, B.G., 2013. Wind extremes in the North Sea Basin under climate change: an ensemble study of 12 CMIP5 GCMs. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres* 118: 1601–1612.
- Dieng, H.B., Cazenave, A., Meyssignac, B. & Ablain, M., 2017. New estimate of the current rate of sea level rise from a sea level budget approach. *Geophysical Research Letters* 44: 3744–3751.
- Dissanayake, D.M.P.K., Ranasinghe, R. & Roelvink, J.A., 2012. The morphological response of large tidal inlet/basin systems to relative sea level rise. *Climatic Change* 113: 253–276.
- Drabbe, J. & Badon Ghijben, W., 1889. Nota in verband met de voorgenomen putboring nabij Amsterdam. Tijdschrift van het Koninklijk Instituut van Ingenieurs, Verhandelingen 1888/1889: 8–22.
- Dutton, A., Carlson, A.E., Long, A.J., Milne, G.A., Clark, P.U., DeConto, R., Horton, B.P., Rahmstorf, S. & Raymo, M.E., 2015. Sea-level rise due to polar ice-sheet mass loss during past warm periods. *Science* 349: aaa4019.
- Ehlers, J. & Gibbard, P.L., 2003. Extent and chronology of glaciations. *Quaternary Science Reviews* 22: 1561–1568.
- Farahani, H.H., Slobbe, D.C., Klees, R. & Seitz, K., 2017. Impact of accounting for coloured noise in radar altimetry data in regional quasi-geoid modelling. *Journal of Geodesy* 91: 97–112.
- Farrell, W.E., 1972. Deformation of the Earth by surface loads. *Reviews of Geophysics* 10: 761–797.
- Farrell, W.E. & Clark, J.A., 1976. On postglacial sea level. *Geophysical Journal International* 46: 647–667.
- Fasullo, J.T., Nerem, R.S. & Hamlington, B., 2016. Is the detection of accelerated sea level rise imminent? *Scientific Reports* 6: 31245.
- Flechtner, F., Morton, P., Watkins, M. & Webb, F., 2014. Status of the GRACE follow-on mission. In: Marti, U. (ed.): Gravity, geoid and height systems. International Association of Geodesy Symposia, vol. 141. Springer (Cham): 117–121.
- Flechtner, F., Neumayer, K.-H., Dahle, C., Döbslaw, H., Fagiolini, E., Raimondo, J.-C. & Güntner, A., 2016. What can be expected from the GRACE-FO Laser Ranging Interferometer for Earth Science applications? *Surveys in Geophysics* 37: 453–470.
- Fokker, P.A., Van Leijen, F.J., Orlic, B., Van der Manel, H. & Hanssen, R.F., 2018. Subsidence in the Dutch Wadden Sea. *Netherlands Journal of Geosciences / Geologie en Mijnbouw*. This issue.
- Forget, G. & Ponte, R.M., 2015. The partition of regional sea level variability. *Progress in Oceanography* 137: 173–195.
- Frederikse, T., Riva, R., Kleinhans, M., Wada, Y., Broeke, M. & Marzeion, B., 2016a. Closing the sea level budget on a regional scale: Trends and variability on the Northwestern European continental shelf. *Geophysical Research Letters* 43: 10,864–10,872.
- Frederikse, T., Riva, R., Slobbe, C., Broerse, T. & Verlaan, M., 2016b. Estimating decadal variability in sea level from tide gauge records: an application to the North Sea. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans* 121: 1529–1545.

- Frederikse, T., Simon, K., Katsman, C.A. & Riva, R.**, 2017. The sea-level budget along the Northwest Atlantic coast: GIA, mass changes and large-scale ocean dynamics. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans* 122: 5486–5501.
- Frederikse, T., Jevrejeva, S., Riva, R.E.M. & Dangendorf, S.**, 2018. A consistent sea-level reconstruction and its budget on basin and global scales over 1958–2014. *Journal of Climate* 31: 1267–1280.
- Friedrichs, C.T. & Aubrey, D.G.**, 1988. Non-linear tidal distortion in shallow well-mixed estuaries: a synthesis. *Estuarine, Coastal and Shelf Science* 27: 521–545.
- Gardner, A.S., Moholdt, G., Cogley, J.G., Wouters, B., Arendt, A.A., Wahr, J. & Ligtenberg, S.R.**, 2013. A reconciled estimate of glacier contributions to sea level rise: 2003 to 2009. *Science* 340: 852–857.
- Gerkema, T. & Duran-Matute, M.**, 2017. Inter-annual variability of mean sea level and its sensitivity to wind climate in an inter-tidal basin. *Earth System Dynamics* 8: 1223–1235.
- Gibbard, P. & Cohen, K.M.**, 2008. Global chronostratigraphical correlation table for the last 2.7 million years. *Episodes* 31: 243–247.
- Gleick, P.H.**, 1996. Water resources. *Encyclopedia of climate and weather* 2: 817–823.
- Golledge, N.R., Kowalewski, D.E., Naish, T.R., Levy, R.H., Fogwill, C.J. & Gasson, E.G.W.**, 2015. The multi-millennial Antarctic commitment to future sea-level rise. *Nature* 526: 421–425.
- Good, S.A., Martin, M.J. & Rayner, N.A.**, 2013. EN4: Quality controlled ocean temperature and salinity profiles and monthly objective analyses with uncertainty estimates. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans* 118: 6704–6716.
- Goodwin, P., Haigh, I.D., Rohling, E.J. & Slangen, A.**, 2017. A new approach to projecting 21st-century sea-level changes and extremes. Available at <https://agupubs.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1002/2016EF000508>.
- Gouretski, V. & Koltermann, K.P.**, 2007. How much is the ocean really warming? *Geophysical Research Letters* 34: L01610. doi: [10.1029/2006GL027834](https://doi.org/10.1029/2006GL027834).
- Greatbatch, R.J.**, 1994. A note on the representation of steric sea level in models that conserve volume rather than mass. *Journal of Geophysical Research* 99: 12767–12771.
- Gregory, J.M., Dixon, K.W., Stouffer, R.J., Weaver, A.J., Driesschaert, E., Eby, M., Fichet, T., Hasumi, H., Hu, A., Jungclaus, J.H., Kamenkovich, J.V., Levermann, A., Montoya, M., Murakami, S., Nawrath, S., Oka, A., Sokolov, A.P. & Thorpe, R.B.**, 2005. A model intercomparison of changes in the Atlantic thermohaline circulation in response to increasing atmospheric CO₂ concentration. *Geophysical Research Letters* 32: L12703. doi: [10.1029/2005GL023209](https://doi.org/10.1029/2005GL023209).
- Gregory, J.M., White, N.J., Church, J.A., Bierkens, M.F.P., Box, J.E., van den Broeke, M.R., Cogley, J.G., Fettweis, X., Hanna, E., Huybrechts, P., Konikow, L.F., Leclercq, P.W., Marzeion, B., Oerlemans, J., Tamisiea, M.E., Wada, Y., Wake, L.M. & van de Wal, R.S.W.**, 2013. Twentieth-century global-mean sea level rise: is the whole greater than the sum of the parts? *Journal of Climate* 26: 4476–4499.
- Griede, J.W.**, 1978. Het ontstaan van Friesland's noordhoek. PhD Thesis. VU Amsterdam (Amsterdam).
- Grinsted, A., Jevrejeva, S., Riva, R.E.M. & Dahl-Jensen, D.**, 2015. Sea level rise projections for northern Europe under RCP8.5. *Climate Research* 64: 15–23.
- Grootjans, A.P., Sival, F.P. & Stuyfzand, P.J.**, 1996. Hydro-geochemical analysis of a degraded dune slack. *Vegetation* 126: 27–38.
- Haarsma, R.J., Hazeleger, W., Severijns, C., de Vries, H., Sterl, A., Bintanja, R., van Oldenborgh, G.J. & van den Brink, H.W.**, 2013. More hurricanes to hit western Europe due to global warming. *Geophysical Research Letters* 40: 1783–1788.
- Hageman, I.B.**, 1969. Development of the western part of the Netherlands during the Holocene. *Geologie en Mijnbouw* 48: 373–388.
- Hay, C.C., Morrow, E., Kopp, R.E. & Mitrovica, J.X.**, 2015. Probabilistic reanalysis of twentieth-century sea-level rise. *Nature* 517: 481–484.
- Henry, O., Ablain, M., Meyssignac, B., Cazenave, A., Masters, D., Nerem, S. & Garic, G.**, 2014. Effect of the processing methodology on satellite altimetry-based global mean sea level rise over the Jason-1 operating period. *Journal of Geodesy* 88: 351–361.
- Herzberg, A.**, 1901. Die Wasserversorgung einiger Nordseebäder. *Journal für Gasbeleuchtung und Wasserversorgung* 44: 815–819, 842–844.
- Hijma, M.P. & Cohen, K.M.**, 2010. Timing and magnitude of the sea-level jump preluding the 8200 yr event. *Geology* 38: 275–278.
- Hijma, M.P. & Cohen, K.M.**, 2011. Holocene transgression of the Rhine river mouth area, The Netherlands/Southern North Sea: palaeogeography and sequence stratigraphy. *Sedimentology* 58: 1453–1485.
- Hijma, M.P., Cohen, K.M., Roebroeks, W., Westerhoff, W.E. & Busschers, F.S.**, 2012. Pleistocene Rhine-Thames landscapes: geological background for hominin occupation of the southern North Sea region. *Journal of Quaternary Science* 27: 17–39.
- Hijma, M., Engelhart, S.E., Törnqvist, T.E., Horton, B.P., Hu, P. & Hill, D.F.**, 2015. A protocol for a geological sea-level database. In: Shennan, I., Long, A.J. & Horton, B.P. (eds): *Handbook of sea-level research*. Wiley Blackwell (Hoboken, New Jersey): 536–553.
- Hogarth, P.**, 2014. Preliminary analysis of acceleration of sea level rise through the twentieth century using extended tide gauge data sets. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans* 119: 7645–7659.
- Holgate, S.J., Matthews, A., Woodworth, P.L., Rickards, L.J., Tamisiea, M.E., Bradshaw, E., Foden, P.R., Gordon, K.M., Jevrejeva, S. & Pugh, J.**, 2012. New data systems and products at the permanent service for mean sea level. *Journal of Coastal Research* 18: 493–504.
- Hori, K. & Saito, Y.**, 2007. An early Holocene sea-level jump and delta initiation. *Geophysical Research Letters* 34: L18401. doi: [10.1029/2007GL031029](https://doi.org/10.1029/2007GL031029).
- Hosoda, S., Ohira, T. & Nakamura, T.**, 2008. A monthly mean dataset of global oceanic temperature and salinity derived from Argo float observations. *JAM-STECC Report of Research and Development* 8: 47–59.
- Hughes, C.W. & Meredith, M.P.**, 2006. Coherent sea-level fluctuations along the global continental slope. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society of London A: Mathematical, Physical and Engineering Sciences* 364: 885–901.
- Hurrell, J.W., Kushnir, Y., Ottersen, G. & Visbeck, M.**, 2003. An overview of the North Atlantic oscillation. In: Hurrell, J.W., Kushnir, Y., Ottersen, G. & Visbeck, M. (eds): *The North Atlantic Oscillation: climatic significance and environmental impact*. American Geophysical Union (Washington, DC): 1–35.
- Huss, M. & Hock, R.**, 2015. A new model for global glacier change and sea-level rise. *Frontiers of Earth Science* 3: 1–22.
- Idier, D., Paris, F., le Cozannet, G., Boulahya, F. & Dumas, F.**, 2017. Sea-level rise impacts on the tides of the European Shelf. *Continental Shelf Research* 137: 56–71.
- Imbrie, J. & Imbrie, J.Z.**, 1980. Modeling the climatic response to orbital variations. *Science* 207: 943–953.

- Ishii, M. & Kimoto, M., 2009. Reevaluation of historical ocean heat content variations with time-varying XBT and MBT depth bias corrections. *Journal of Oceanography* 65: 287–299.
- Jackson, L.P. & Jevrejeva, S., 2016. A probabilistic approach to 21st century regional sea-level projections using RCP and high-end scenarios. *Global and Planetary Change* 146: 179–189.
- Jelgersma, S., 1961. Holocene sea-level changes in the Netherlands. PhD Thesis. Leiden University (Leiden). Mededelingen Geologische Stichting C VI 7.
- Jelgersma, S., 1979. Sea-level changes in the North Sea basin. In: *The Quaternary history of the North Sea 2*. University of Uppsala (Uppsala): 233–248.
- Jevrejeva, S., Moore, J.C., Grinsted, A. & Woodworth, P.L., 2008. Recent global sea level acceleration started over 200 years ago? *Geophysical Research Letters* 35: L08715. doi: [10.1029/2008GL033611](https://doi.org/10.1029/2008GL033611).
- Jevrejeva, S., Moore, J.C., Grinsted, A., Matthews, A.P. & Spada, G., 2014. Trends and acceleration in global and regional sea levels since 1807. *Global and Planetary Change* 113: 11–22.
- Johnson, G.C. & Wijffels, S.E., 2011. Ocean density change contributions to sea level rise. *Oceanography* 24: 112–121.
- Kabat, P., Jacobs, C.M.J., Hutjes, R.W.A., Hazeleger, W., Engelmoer, M., Witte, J.P.M., Roggema, R., Lammerts, E.J., Bessembinder, J., Hoekstra, P. & van den Berg, M., 2009. *Klimaatverandering en het Waddengebied*; position paper Klimaat en Water. Waddenacademie (Leeuwarden).
- Katsman, C.A., Sterl, A., Beersma, J.J., van den Brink, H.W., Church, J.A., Hazeleger, W., Kopp, R.E., Kroon, D., Kwadijk, J., Lammersen, R., Lowe, J., Oppenheimer, M., Plag, H.-P., Ridley, J., von Storch, H., Vaughan, D.G., Vellinga, P., Vermeersen, L.L.A., van de Wal, R.S.W. & Weisse, R., 2011. Exploring high-end scenarios for local sea level rise to develop flood protection strategies for a low-lying delta – the Netherlands as an example. *Climatic Change* 109: 617–645.
- Kiden, P., 1995. Holocene relative sea-level change and crustal movement in the southwestern Netherlands. *Marine Geology* 124: 21–41.
- Kiden, P. & Vos, P.C., 2012. Holocene relative sea-level change and land movements in the northern Netherlands – a first assessment. In: 3rd ICGP588-Conference ‘Preparing for Coastal Change’ Conference Program – Book of Abstracts. Christian-Albrechts-Universität zu Kiel (Kiel): 22.
- Kiden, P., Denys, L. & Johnston, P., 2002. Late Quaternary sea-level change and isostatic and tectonic land movements along the Belgian–Dutch North Sea coast: geological data and model results. *Journal of Quaternary Science* 17: 535–546.
- Kiden, P., Makaske, B. & van de Plassche, O., 2008. Waarom verschillen de zeespiegelreconstructies voor Nederland? *Grondboor en Hamer* 3/4: 54–61.
- Kirwan, M.L. & Megonigal, J.P., 2013. Tidal wetland stability in the face of human impacts and sea-level rise. *Nature* 504: 53–60.
- Kleinherenbrink, M., Riva, R. & Sun, Y., 2016. Sub-basin-scale sea level budgets from satellite altimetry, Argo floats and satellite gravimetry: a case study in the North Atlantic Ocean. *Ocean Science* 12: 1179–1203.
- Kleinherenbrink, M., Riva, R., Frederikse, T., Merrifield, M. & Wada, Y., 2017. Trends and interannual variability of mass and steric sea level in the Tropical Asian Seas. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans* 122: 6254–6276.
- KNMI (Koninklijk Nederlands Meteorologisch Instituut), 2017. Extreme zeespiegelstijging in de 21e eeuw. News item, 6 April. Available at <http://knmi.nl/over-het-knmi/nieuws/extreme-zeespiegelstijging-in-de-21e-eeuw>.
- Kobayashi, S., Ota, Y., Harada, Y., Ebata, A., Moriya, M., Onoda, H. & Miyaoka, K., 2015. The JRA-55 reanalysis: general specifications and basic characteristics. *Journal of the Meteorological Society of Japan Ser. II* 93: 5–48.
- Konikow, L. F., 2011. Contribution of global groundwater depletion since 1900 to sea-level rise. *Geophysical Research Letters* 38: L17401. doi: [10.1029/2011GL048604](https://doi.org/10.1029/2011GL048604).
- Kooi, H., Johnston, P., Lambeck, K., Smither, C. & Molendijk, R., 1998. Geological causes of recent (~100 yr) vertical land movement in the Netherlands. *Tectonophysics* 299: 297–316.
- Kopp, R.E., Horton, R.M., Little, C.M., Jerry, X., Oppenheimer, M., Rasmussen, D.J., Strauss, B.H. & Tebaldi, C., 2014. Probabilistic 21st and 22nd century sea-level projections at a global network of tide gauge sites. *Earth's Future* 2: 383–407.
- Kopp, R.E., Horton, B.P., Kemp, A.C. & Tebaldi, C., 2015. Past and future sea-level rise along the coast of North Carolina, USA. *Climatic Change* 132: 693–707.
- Kopp, R.E., Kemp, A.C., Bittermann, K., Horton, B.P., Donnelly, J.P., Gehrels, W.R., Hay, C.C., Mitrovica, J.X., Morrow, E.D. & Rahmstorf, S., 2016. Temperature-driven global sea-level variability in the Common Era. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 113: E1434–1441.
- Kopp, R.E. 2017. Evolving understanding of the Antarctic Ice Sheet physics and ambiguity in probabilistic sea-level projections. Available at <https://agupubs.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1002/2017EF000663>.
- Koster, K., Stafleu, J. & Cohen, K.M., 2017. Generic 3D interpolation of Holocene base-level rise and provision of accommodation space, developed for the Netherlands coastal plain and infilled palaeovalleys. *Basin Research* 29: 775–797.
- Kouketsu, S., Doi, T., Kawano, T., Masuda, S., Sugiura, N., Sasaki, Y., Toyoda, T., Igarashi, H., Kawai, Y., Katsumata, K., Uchida, H., Fukasawa, M. & Awaji, T., 2011. Deep ocean heat content changes estimated from observation and reanalysis product and their influence on sea level change. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans* 116: C03012. doi: [10.1029/2010JC006464](https://doi.org/10.1029/2010JC006464).
- Kuchar, J., Milne, G., Hubbard, A., Patton, H., Bradley, S., Shennan, I. & Edwards, R., 2012. Evaluation of a numerical model of the British–Irish ice sheet using relative sea-level data: implications for the interpretation of trim-line observations. *Journal of Quaternary Science* 27: 597–605.
- Kuipers Munneke, P., Ligtenberg, S.R.M., van Den Broeke, M.R. & Vaughan, D.G., 2014. Firn air depletion as a precursor of Antarctic ice-shelf collapse. *Journal of Glaciology* 60: 205–214.
- Lambeck, K., 1990. Glacial rebound, sea-level change and mantle viscosity. *Quaternary Journal of the Royal Astronomical Society* 31: 1–30.
- Lambeck, K., 1995. Late Devensian and Holocene shorelines of the British Isles and North Sea from models of glacio-hydro-isostatic rebound. *Journal of the Geological Society* 152: 437–448.
- Lambeck, K., Johnston, P. & Nakada, M., 1990. Holocene glacial rebound and sea-level change in NW Europe. *Geophysical Journal International* 103: 451–468.
- Lambeck, K., Smither, C. & Johnston, P., 1998. Sea-level change, glacial rebound and mantle viscosity for northern Europe. *Geophysical Journal International* 134: 102–144.
- Lambeck, K., Purcell, A., Funder, S., Kjær, K.H., Larsen, E. & Möller, P., 2006. Constraints on the Late Saalian to Middle Weichselian ice sheet of Eurasia from field data and rebound modelling. Available at <https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1080/03009480600781875>.

- Lambeck, K., Rouby, H., Purcell, A., Sun, Y. & Sambridge, M., 2014. Sea level and global ice volumes from the Last Glacial Maximum to the Holocene. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 111: 15,296–15,303.
- Landerer, F.W., Jungclauss, J.H. & Marotzke, J., 2007. Ocean bottom pressure changes lead to a decreasing length-of-day in a warming climate. *Geophysical Research Letters* 34: L06307. doi: [10.1029/2006GL029106](https://doi.org/10.1029/2006GL029106).
- Laskar, J., Robutel, P., Joutel, F., Gastineau, M., Correia, A.C.M. & Levrard, B., 2004. A long-term numerical solution for the insolation quantities of the Earth. *Astronomy & Astrophysics* 428: 261–285.
- Le Bars, D., Drijfhout, S. & de Vries, H., 2017. A high-end sea level rise probabilistic projection including rapid Antarctic ice sheet mass loss. *Environmental Research Letters* 12: 44013.
- Legeais, J.F., Ablain, M., Zawadzki, L., Zuo, H., Johannessen, J.A., Scharffenberg, M.G., Fenoglio-Marc, L., Fernandes, M.J., Andersen, O., Rudenko, S., Cipollini, P., Quartly, G.D., Passaro, M., Cazenave, A. & Benveniste, J., 2018. An improved and homogeneous altimeter sea level record from the ESA Climate Change Initiative. *Earth System Science Data* 10: 281–301.
- Lenaerts, J.T.M., Le Bars, D., van Kampenhout, L., Vizcaino, M., Enderlin, E.M. & van den Broeke, M.R., 2015. Representing Greenland ice sheet freshwater fluxes in climate models. *Geophysical Research Letters* 42: 6373–6381.
- Leuliette, E.W., 2015. The balancing of the sea-level budget. *Current Climate Change Reports* 1: 185–191.
- Leuliette, E.W. & Scharroo, R., 2010. Integrating Jason-2 into a multiple-altimeter climate data record. *Marine Geodesy* 33: 504–517.
- Leuliette, E.W. & Willis, J.K., 2011. Balancing the sea level budget. *Oceanography* 24: 122–129.
- Levermann, A., Griesel, A., Hofmann, M., Montoya, M. & Rahmstorf, S., 2005. Dynamic sea level changes following changes in the thermohaline circulation. *Climate Dynamics* 24: 347–354.
- Levermann, A., Winkelmann, R., Nowicki, S., Fastook, J.L., Frieler, K., Greve, R., Hellmer, H.H., Martin, M.A., Meinshausen, M., Mengel, M., Payne, A.J., Pollard, D., Sato, T., Timmermann, R., Wang, W.L. & Bindshadler, R.A., 2014. Projecting Antarctic ice discharge using response functions from SeaRISE ice-sheet models. *Earth System Dynamics* 5: 271–293.
- Lisiecki, L.E. & Raymo, M.E., 2005. A Pliocene-Pleistocene stack of 57 globally distributed benthic $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ records. *Paleoceanography* 20: PA1003.
- Llovel, W., Willis, J.K., Landerer, F.W. & Fukumori, I., 2014. Deep-ocean contribution to sea level and energy budget not detectable over the past decade. *Nature Climate Change* 4: 1031–1035.
- Locarnini, R.A., Mishonov, A.V., Antonov, J.I., Boyer, T.P., Garcia, H.E., Baranova, O.K., Zweng, M.M., Paver, C.R., Reagan, J.R., Johnson, D.R., Hamilton, M. & Seidov, D., 2013. World ocean atlas 2013. Volume 1, Temperature. US Department of Commerce, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, National Environmental Satellite, Data and Information Service (Washington, DC).
- Long, A.J., Barlow, N.L.M., Busschers, F.S., Cohen, K.M., Gehrels, W.R. & Wake, L.M., 2015. Near-field sea-level variability in northwest Europe and ice sheet stability during the last interglacial. *Quaternary Science Reviews* 126: 26–40.
- Louters, T. & Gerritsen, F., 1994. Het mysterie van de wadden: hoe een getijdensysteem inspeelt op de zeespiegelstijging. Rapport RIKZ-94.040. Rijksinstituut voor Kust en Zee (The Hague).
- Ludwig, G., Müller, H. & Streif, H., 1981. New dates on Holocene sea-level changes in the German Bight. *International Association of Sedimentologists, Special Publication* 5: 211–219.
- Madsen, A.T., Murray, A.S., Andersen, T.J. & Pejrup, M., 2007. Temporal changes of accretion rates on an estuarine salt marsh during the late Holocene – reflection of local sea level changes? The Wadden Sea, Denmark. *Marine Geology* 242: 221–233.
- Makaske, B., van Smeerdijk, D.G., Peeters, H., Mulder, J.R. & Spek, T., 2003. Relative water-level rise in the Flevo lagoon (The Netherlands), 5300–2000 cal. yr BC: an evaluation of new and existing basal peat time-depth data. *Netherlands Journal of Geosciences* 82: 115–131.
- Marcos, M. & Tsimplis, M.N., 2007. Forcing of coastal sea level rise patterns in the North Atlantic and the Mediterranean Sea. *Geophysical Research Letters* 34: L18604. doi: [10.1029/2007GL030641](https://doi.org/10.1029/2007GL030641).
- Marcos, M., Puyol, B., Calafat, F.M. & Woppelmann, G., 2013. Sea level changes at Tenerife island (ne tropical atlantic) since 1927. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans* 118: 4899–4910.
- Martinson, D.G., Pisias, N.G., Hays, J.D., Imbrie, J.D., Moore, T.C. Jr & Shackleton, N.J., 1987. Age dating and the orbital theory of the ice ages: development of a high-resolution 0 to 30,000-year chronostratigraphy. Available at <https://www.cambridge.org/core/journals/quaternary-research/article/age-dating-and-the-orbital-theory-of-the-ice-ages-development-of-a-highresolution-0-to-30000year-chronostratigraphy-1/057D5EDADC9D16A353C9E48DC3A5A5D7>.
- Marzeion, B., Jarosch, A.H. & Hofer, M., 2012. Past and future sea-level change from the surface mass balance of glaciers. *The Cryosphere* 6: 1295–1322.
- Marzeion, B., Leclercq, P.W., Cogley, J.G. & Jarosch, A.H., 2015. Brief Communication: Global reconstructions of glacier mass change during the 20th century are consistent. *The Cryosphere* 9: 2399–2404.
- Masters, D., Nerem, R.S., Choe, C., Leuliette, E., Beckley, B., White, N. & Ablain, M., 2012. Comparison of Global Mean Sea Level Time Series from TOPEX/Poseidon, Jason-1, and Jason-2. *Marine Geodesy* 35: sup1, 20–41.
- McCarthy, G.D., Haigh, I.D., Hirschi, I.J.J.M., Grist, J.P. & Smeed, D.A., 2015. Ocean impact on decadal Atlantic climate variability revealed by sea-level observations. *Nature* 521: 508–510.
- McConnell, R.K., 1965. Isostatic adjustment in a layered Earth. *Journal of Geophysical Research* 70: 5171–5188.
- Meehl, G., Stocker, T.F., Collins, W.D., Friedlingstein, P., Gaye, A.T., Gregory, J.M., Kitoh, A., Knutti, R., Murphy, J.M., Noda, A., Raper, S.C.B., Watterson, I.G., Weaver, J. & Zhao, Z.C., 2007. Global climate projections. In: Solomon, S., Qin, D., Manning, M., Chen, Z., Marquis, M., Averyt, K.B., Tignor, M. & Mille, H.L. (eds): *Climate change 2007: the physical science basis. Contribution of Working Group I to the Fourth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change*. Cambridge University Press (Cambridge): 747–845.
- Meijles, E.W., Kiden, P., Streurman, H.J., van der Plicht, J., Vos, P.C., Gehrels, W.R. & Kopp, R.E. (accepted). Holocene relative mean sea-level changes in the Wadden Sea area, northern Netherlands. *Journal of Quaternary Sciences*.
- Mengel, M., Levermann, A., Frieler, K., Robinson, A., Marzeion, B. & Winkelmann, R., 2016. Future sea level rise constrained by observations and long-term commitment. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 113: 2597–2602.

- Milankovitch, M.M.**, 1941. Canon of insolation and the ice-age problems. Koniglich Serbische Akademie (Beograd) (English translation by the Israel Program for Scientific Translations, published for the United States Department of Commerce and the National Science Foundation (Washington, DC))
- Mitchum, G.T.**, 1998. Monitoring the stability of satellite altimeters with tide gauges. *Journal of Atmospheric and Oceanic Technology* 15: 721–730.
- Mitchum, G.T.**, 2000. An improved calibration of satellite altimetric heights using tide gauge sea levels with adjustment for land motion. *Marine Geodesy* 23: 145–166.
- Mitrovica, J.X. & Peltier, W.R.**, 1991. On post-glacial subsidence over the equatorial oceans. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth* 96: 20,053–20,071.
- Mitrovica, J.X., Tamisiea, M.E., Davis, J.L. & Milne, G.A.**, 2001. Recent mass balance of polar ice sheets inferred from patterns of global sea-level change. *Nature* 409: 1026–1030.
- Mitrovica, J., Wahr, J., Matsuyama, I. & Paulson, A.**, 2005. The rotational stability of an ice-age earth. *Geophysical Journal International* 161: 491–506.
- Moss, R.H., Edmonds, J.A., Hibaard, K.A., Manning, M.R., Rose, S.K., van Vuren, D.P., Carter, T.R., Emori, S., Kainuma, M., Kram, T., Meehl, G.A., Mitchell, J.F.B., Nakicenovic, N., Riahi, K., Smith, S.J., Stouffer, R.J., Thomson, A.M., Weyant, J.P. & Wilbanks, T.J.**, 2010. The next generation of scenarios for climate change research and assessment. *Nature* 463: 747–756.
- Nerem, R., Chambers, D., Choe, C. & Mitchum, G.**, 2010. Estimating mean sea level change from the TOPEX and Jason altimeter missions. *Marine Geodesy* 33: 435–446.
- Nicholls, R.J. & Cazenave, A.**, 2010. Sea-level rise and its impact on coastal zones. *Science* 328: 1517–1520.
- Oost, A.P.**, 1995. Dynamics and sedimentary developments of the Dutch Wadden Sea with a special emphasis on the Frisian Inlet: a study of the barrier islands, ebb-tidal deltas, inlets and drainage basins. PhD Thesis. Utrecht University (Utrecht). *Geological Ultralectia* 126.
- Oost, A.P., Hoekstra, P., Wiersma, A., Flemming, B., Lammerts, E.J., Pejrup, M., Hofstede, J., van der Valk, B., Kiden, P., Bartholdy, J. & van der Berg, M.W.**, 2012. Barrier island management: lessons from the past and directions for the future. *Ocean & Coastal Management* 68: 18–38.
- Passaro, M., Cipollini, P., Vignudelli, S., Quartly, G.D. & Snaith, H.M.**, 2014. ALES: a multi-mission adaptive subwaveform retracker for coastal and open ocean altimetry. *Remote Sensing of Environment* 145: 173–189.
- Peeters, J., Busschers, F.S., Stouthamer, E., Bosch, J.H.A., van den Berg, M.W., Wallinga, J., Versendaal, A.J., Bunnik, F.P.M. & Middelkoop, H.**, 2016. Sedimentary architecture and chronostratigraphy of a late Quaternary incised-valley fill: a case study of the late Middle and Late Pleistocene Rhine system in the Netherlands. *Quaternary Science Reviews* 131: 211–236.
- Peltier, W.R.**, 2004. Global glacial isostasy and the surface of the ice-age earth: the ICE-5G (VM2) model and GRACE. *Annual Review of Earth and Planetary Sciences* 32: 111–149.
- Peltier, W.R. & Andrews, J.T.**, 1976. Glacial isostatic adjustment – I: The forward problem. *Geophysical Journal of the Royal Astronomical Society* 46: 605–646.
- Peltier, W.R. & Fairbanks, R. G.**, 2006. Global glacial ice volume and Last Glacial Maximum duration from an extended Barbados sea level record. *Quaternary Science Reviews* 25: 3322–3337.
- Peltier, W.R., Argus, D.F. & Drummond, R.**, 2015. Space geodesy constrains ice-age terminal deglaciation: the global ICE-6G_C (VM5a) model. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth* 120: 450–487.
- Pennington, B.T., Sturt, F., Wilson, P., Rowland, J. & Brown, A.G.**, 2017. The fluvial evolution of the Holocene Nile Delta. *Quaternary Science Reviews* 170: 212–231.
- Perrette, M., Landerer, F., Riva, R., Frieler, K. & Meinshausen, M.**, 2013. A scaling approach to project regional sea level rise and its uncertainties. *Earth System Dynamics* 4: 11–29.
- Pickering, M.D., Horsburgh, K.J., Blundell, J.R., Hirschi, J.M., Nicholls, R.J., Verlaan, M. & Wells, N.C.**, 2017. The impact of future sea-level rise on the global tides. *Continental Shelf Research* 142: 50–68.
- Piecuch, C.G. & Quinn, K.J.**, 2016. El Niño, La Niña, and the global sea level budget. *Ocean Science* 12: 1165–1177.
- Pierik, H.J., Cohen, K.M., Vos, P.C., van der Spek, A.J.F. & Stouthamer, E.**, 2017. Late Holocene coastal-plain evolution of the Netherlands: the role of natural preconditions in human-induced sea ingressions. *Proceedings of the Geologists' Association* 128: 180–197.
- Proudman, J.**, 1960. The condition that a long-period tide shall follow the equilibrium-law. *Geophysical Journal International* 3: 244–249.
- Purkey, S.G. & Johnson, G.C.**, 2010. Warming of global abyssal and deep Southern Ocean waters between the 1990s and 2000s: contributions to global heat and sea level rise budgets. *Journal of Climate* 23: 6336–6351.
- Railsback, L.B., Gibbard, P.L., Head, M.J., Voarintsoa, N.R.G. & Toucanne, S.**, 2015. An optimized scheme of lettered marine isotope substages for the last 1.0 million years, and the climatostratigraphic nature of isotope stages and substages. *Quaternary Science Reviews* 111: 94–106.
- Ray, R.D. & Douglas, B.C.**, 2011. Experiments in reconstructing twentieth-century sea levels. *Progress in Oceanography* 91: 496–515.
- Riddell, A.R., King, M.A., Watson, C.S., Sun, Y., Riva, R.E.M. & Rietbroek, R.**, 2017. Uncertainty in geocenter estimates in the context of ITRF2014. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth* 122: 4020–4032.
- Rietbroek, R., Fritsche, M., Brunnabend, S.E., Daras, I., Kusche, J., Schröter, J., Flechtner, F. & Dietrich, R.**, 2012a. Global surface mass from a new combination of GRACE, modelled OBP and reprocessed GPS data. *Journal of Geodynamics* 59–60: 64–71.
- Rietbroek, R., Brunnabend, S.E., Kusche, J. & Schröter, J.**, 2012b. Resolving sea level contributions by identifying fingerprints in time-variable gravity and altimetry. *Journal of Geodynamics* 59: 72–81.
- Rietbroek, R., Brunnabend, S.E., Kusche, J., Schröter, J. & Dahle, C.**, 2016. Revisiting the contemporary sea-level budget on global and regional scales. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 113: 1504–1509.
- Ritz, C., Edwards, T.L., Durand, G., Payne, A.J., Peyaud, V. & Hindmarsh, R.C.A.**, 2015. Potential sea-level rise from Antarctic ice-sheet instability constrained by observations. *Nature* 58: 115–118.
- Roeleveld, W.**, 1974. The Groningen coastal area: a study in Holocene geology and low-land physical geography. PhD Thesis. Vrije Universiteit Amsterdam (Amsterdam).
- Roemmich, D. & Gilson, J.**, 2009. The 2004–2008 mean and annual cycle of temperature, salinity, and steric height in the global ocean from the Argo Program. *Progress in Oceanography* 82: 81–100.
- Roep, T.B.**, 1986. Sea-level markers in coastal barrier sands: examples from the North Sea coast. In: van de Plassche, O. (ed.): *Sea-level research – a manual for the collection and evaluation of data*. Springer (Dordrecht): 97–128.

- Roep, T.B. & Beets, D.J.**, 1988. Sea level rise and palaeotidal levels from sedimentary structures in the coastal barriers in the western Netherlands since 5600 BP. *Geologie en Mijnbouw* 67: 53–61.
- Röper, T., Kröger, K.F., Meyer, H., Sültenfuss, J., Greskowiak, J. & Massmann, G.**, 2012. Groundwater ages, recharge conditions and hydrochemical evolution of a barrier island freshwater lens (Spiekeroog, Northern Germany). *Journal of Hydrology* 454–455: 173–186.
- Roscher, R., Uebbing, B. & Kusche, J.**, 2017. STAR: Spatio-temporal altimeter waveform retracking using sparse representation and conditional random fields. *Remote Sensing of Environment* 201: 148–164.
- Shackleton, N.J.**, 1969. The last interglacial in the marine and terrestrial record. *Proceedings of the Royal Society London, Series B* 174: 135–154.
- Shennan, I., Lambeck, K., Horton, B., Innes, J., Lloyd, J., McArthur, J. & Rutherford, M.**, 2000. Holocene isostasy and relative sea-level changes on the east coast of England. *Geological Society, London, Special Publication* 166: 275–298.
- Shennan, I., Bradley, S., Milne, G., Brooks, A., Bassett, S. & Hamilton, S.**, 2006. Relative sea-level changes, glacial isostatic modeling and ice-sheet reconstructions from the British Isles since the Last Glacial Maximum. *Journal of Quaternary Science* 21: 585–599.
- Shennan, I., Long, A. & Horton, B.P.** (eds.), 2015. *Handbook of sea-level research*. John Wiley & Sons, Ltd (Hoboken, New Jersey): 581 pp.
- Shepherd, A. et al.**, 2012. A reconciled estimate of ice-sheet mass balance. *Science* 338: 1183–1189.
- Sier, M.J., Peeters, J., Dekkers, M.J. et al.**, 2015. The Blake Event recorded near the Eemian type locality. A diachronic onset of the Eemian in Europe. *Quaternary Geochronology* 28: 12–28.
- Simon, K.M., Riva, R.E.M., Kleinherenbrink, M. & Frederikse, T.**, 2018. The glacial isostatic adjustment signal at present day in northern Europe and the British Isles estimated from geodetic observations and geophysical models. *Solid Earth* 9: 777–795.
- Slangen, A.B.A. & Lenaerts, J.T.M.**, 2016. The sea level response to ice sheet freshwater forcing in the Community Earth System Model. *Environmental Research Letters* 11: 104002.
- Slangen, A.B.A., Katsman, C.A., van de Wal, R.S.W., Vermeersen, L.L.A. & Riva, R.E.M.**, 2012. Towards regional projections of twenty-first century sea-level change based on IPCC SRES scenarios. *Climate Dynamics* 38: 1191–1209.
- Slangen, A.B.A., Carson, M., Katsman, C.A., van de Wal, R.S.W., Koehl, A., Vermeersen, L.L.A. & Stammer, D.**, 2014. Projecting twenty-first century regional sea-level changes. *Climatic Change* 124: 317–332.
- Slangen, A.B.A., Church, J.A., Agosta, C., Fettweis, X., Marzeion, B. & Richter, K.**, 2016. Anthropogenic forcing dominates global mean sea-level rise since 1970. *Nature Climate Change* 6: 701–705.
- Slangen, A.B.A., Meyssignac, B., Agosta, C., Champollion, N., Church, J.A., Fettweis, X., Ligtenberg, S.R.M., Marzeion, B., Melet, A., Palmer, M.D., Richter, K., Roberts, C.D. & Spada, G.**, 2017a. Evaluating model simulations of 20th century sea-level rise. Part 1: Global mean sea-level change. *Journal of Climate* 30: 8539–8564.
- Slangen, A.B.A., Adloff, F., Jevrejeva, S., Leclercq, P.W., Marzeion, B., Wada, Y. & Winkelmann, R.**, 2017b. A review of recent updates of sea-level projections at global and regional scales. *Surveys in Geophysics* 38: 385–406.
- Steffen, H. & Wu, P.**, 2011. Glacial isostatic adjustment in Fennoscandia – a review of data and modeling. *Journal of Geodynamics* 52: 169–204.
- Sterl, A., Bakker, A.M.R., van den Brink, H.W., Haarsma, R., Stepek, A., Wijnant, I.L. & de Winter, R.C.**, 2015. Large-scale winds in the southern North Sea region: the wind part of the KNMI'14 climate change scenarios. *Environmental Research Letters* 10: 035004.
- Sterlini, P., Le Bars, D., de Vries, H. & Ridder, N.**, 2017. Understanding the spatial variation of sea level rise in the North Sea using satellite altimetry. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans* 122: 6498–6511.
- Stocchi, P. & Spada, G.**, 2009. Glacio and hydro-isostasy in the Mediterranean Sea: Clark's zones and role of remote ice sheets. *Annals of Geophysics* 50: 741–761.
- Storto, A., Yang, C. & Masina, S.**, 2016. Sensitivity of global ocean heat content from reanalyses to the atmospheric reanalysis forcing: a comparative study. *Geophysical Research Letters* 43: 5261–5270.
- Sturges, W. & Douglas, B.C.**, 2011. Wind effects on estimates of sea level rise. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans* 116: C06008. doi: [10.1029/2010JC006492](https://doi.org/10.1029/2010JC006492).
- Sun, Y., Ditmar, P. & Riva, R.**, 2017. Statistically optimal estimation of degree-1 and C_{20} coefficients based on GRACE data and an ocean bottom pressure model. *Geophysical Journal International* 210: 1305–1322.
- Swenson, S., Chambers, D. & Wahr, J.**, 2008. Estimating geocenter variations from a combination of GRACE and ocean model output. *Journal of Geophysical Research* 113: B08410. doi: [10.1029/2007JB005338](https://doi.org/10.1029/2007JB005338).
- Tamisiea, M.E., Hill, E.M., Ponte, R.M., Davis, J.L., Velicogna, I. & Vinogradova, N.T.**, 2010. Impact of self-attraction and loading on the annual cycle in sea level. *Journal of Geophysical Research* 115: C07004.
- Tamura, T., Saito, Y., Sieng, S., Ben, B., Kong, M., Sim, I., Choup, S. & Akiba, F.**, 2009. Initiation of the Mekong River delta at 8 ka: evidence from the sedimentary succession in the Cambodian lowland. *Quaternary Science Reviews* 28: 327–344.
- Tapley, B.D., Bettadpur, S., Watkins, M. & Reigber, C.**, 2004. The Gravity Recovery and Climate Experiment: mission overview and early results. *Geophysical Research Letters* 31: L09607.
- Taylor, K.E., Stouffer, R.J. & Meehl, G.A.**, 2012. An overview of CMIP5 and the experiment design. *Bulletin of the American Meteorological Society* 93: 485–498.
- Thompson, P.R., Hamlington, B.D., Landerer, F.W. & Adhikari, S.**, 2016. Are long tide gauge records in the wrong place to measure global mean sea level rise? *Geophysical Research Letters* 43: 10,403–10,411.
- Tronicke, J., Blindow, N., Groß, R. & Lange, M.A.**, 1999. Joint application of surface electrical resistivity- and GPR-measurements for groundwater exploration on the island of Spiekeroog – northern Germany. *Journal of Hydrology* 223: 44–53.
- Van de Plassche, O.**, 1982. Sea-level changes and water-level movements in the Netherlands during the Holocene. PhD Thesis. Vrije Universiteit Amsterdam (Amsterdam). Mededelingen Rijks Geologische Dienst 36-1.
- Van de Plassche, O.**, 1986. *Sea-level research: a manual for the collection and evaluation of data*. Springer (Dordrecht).
- Van de Plassche, O.**, 1995. Evolution of the intra-coastal tidal range in the Rhine-Meuse delta and Flevo Lagoon, 5700–3000 yrs cal BC. *Marine Geology* 124: 113–128.
- Van de Plassche, O. & Roep, T.B.**, 1989. Sea-level changes in the Netherlands during the last 6500 years: basal peat vs. coastal barrier data. In: Scott, D.B., Pirazolli, P.A. & Honig, C.A. (eds): *Late Quaternary sea-level correlation and applications*. Springer Netherlands (Dordrecht): 41–56.

- Van de Plassche, O., Bohncke, S.J.P., Makaske, B. & van der Plicht, J., 2005. Water-level changes in the Flevo area, central Netherlands (5300–1500 BC): implications for relative mean sea-level rise in the Western Netherlands. *Quaternary International* 133: 77–93.
- Van de Plassche, O., Makaske, B., Hoek, W.Z., Konert, M. & van der Plicht, J., 2010. Mid-Holocene water-level changes in the lower Rhine-Meuse delta (western Netherlands): implications for the reconstruction of relative mean sea-level rise, palaeoriver-gradients and coastal evolution. *Netherlands Journal of Geosciences* 89: 3–20.
- Van den Hurk, B., Klein Tank, A., Lenderink, G., van Ulden, A., van Oldenborgh, G.J., Katsman, C., van den Brink, H., Keller, F., Bessembinder, J., Burgers, G., Komen, G., Hazeleger, W. & Drijfhout, S., 2006. KNMI Climate Change Scenarios 2006 for the Netherlands KNMI Scientific Report WR 2006-01. Koninklijk Nederlands Meteorologisch Instituut (De Bilt).
- Van der Spek, A.J.F., 1996. Holocene depositional sequences in the Dutch Wadden Sea south of the island of Ameland. *Mededelingen Rijks Geologische Dienst* 57: 41–69.
- Van der Wal, W., Barnhoorn, A., Stocchi, P., Gradman, S., Wu, P., Drury, M. & Vermeersen, L.L.A., 2013. Glacial isostatic adjustment model with composite 3-D Earth rheology for Fennoscandia. *Geophysical Journal International* 194: 61–77.
- Van der Wegen, M., 2013. Numerical modeling of the impact of sea level rise on tidal basin morphodynamics. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Earth Surface* 118: 447–460.
- Van der Wegen, M., Jaffe, B., Foxgrover, A. & Roelvink, D., 2017. Mudflat morphodynamics and the impact of sea level rise in South San Francisco Bay. *Estuaries and Coasts* 40: 37–49.
- Van Maanen, B., Coco, G., Bryan, K.R. & Friedrichs, C.T., 2013. Modeling the morphodynamic response of tidal embayments to sea-level rise. *Ocean Dynamics* 63: 1249–1262.
- Van Straaten, L.M.J.U., 1954. Radiocarbon datings and changes of sea level at Velzen (Netherlands). *Geologie en Mijnbouw* 16: 247–253.
- Van Veen, J., 1954. Tide-gauges, subsidence-gauges and flood-stones in the Netherlands. *Geologie en Mijnbouw* 16: 214–219.
- Vellinga, P., Katsman, C., Sterl, A., Beersma, J., Hazeleger, W., Church, J., Kopp, R., Kroon, D., Oppenheimer, M., Plag, H.-P., Rahmstorf, S., Lowe, J., Ridley, J., von Storch, H., Vaughan, D., van de Wal, R., Weisse, R., Kwadijk, J., Lammersen, R. & Marinova, N., 2009. Exploring high-end climate change scenarios for flood protection of the Netherlands. KNMI Scientific Report 2009-5. Koninklijk Nederlands Meteorologisch Instituut (De Bilt).
- Vignudelli, S., Kostianoy, A.G., Cipollini, P. & Benveniste, J. (eds.), 2011. Coastal altimetry. Springer Science & Business Media (Dordrecht): 566 pp.
- Vink, A., Steffen, H., Reinhardt, L. & Kaufmann, G., 2007. Holocene relative sea-level change, isostatic subsidence and the radial viscosity structure of the mantle of northwest Europe (Belgium, the Netherlands, Germany, southern North Sea). *Quaternary Science Reviews* 26: 3249–3275.
- Vis, G.J., Cohen, K.M., Westerhoff, W.E., Veen, J.H.T., Hijma, M.P., van der Spek, A.J. & Vos, P.C., 2015. Paleogeography. In: Shennan, I., Long, A.J. & Horton, B.P. (eds): *Handbook of sea-level research*. Wiley Blackwell (Hoboken, New Jersey): 514–535.
- Volkov, D.L., Lee, S.K., Landerer, F.W. & Lumpkin, R., 2017. Decade-long deep-ocean warming detected in the subtropical South Pacific. *Geophysical Research Letters* 44: 927–936.
- Von Schuckmann, K., Sallée, J.B., Chambers, D., Le Traon, P.Y., Cabanes, C., Gaillard, F., Speich, S. & Hamon, M., 2014. Consistency of the current global ocean observing systems from an Argo perspective. *Ocean Science* 10: 547–557.
- Vos, P.C., 2015. Origin of the Dutch coastal landscape. Long-term landscape evolution of the Netherlands during the Holocene, described and visualized in national, regional and local palaeogeographical map series. PhD Thesis. Utrecht University (Utrecht).
- Vos, P.C. & Knol, E., 2015. Holocene landscape reconstruction of the Wadden Sea area between Marsdiep and Weser: explanation of the coastal evolution and visualisation of the landscape development of the northern Netherlands and Niedersachsen in five palaeogeographical maps from 500 BC to present. *Netherlands Journal of Geosciences* 94: 157–183.
- Vousdoukas, M.I., Mentaschi, L., Voukouvalas, E., Verlaan, M. & Feyen, L., 2017. Extreme sea levels on the rise along Europe's coasts. *Earth's Future* 5: 304–323.
- Wada, Y., van Beek, L.P.H., van Kempen, C.M., Reckman, J.W.T.M., Vasak, S. & Bierkens, M.F.P., 2010. Global depletion of groundwater resources. *Geophysical Research Letters* 37: L20402. doi: [10.1029/2010GL045711](https://doi.org/10.1029/2010GL045711).
- Wagner, C., McAdoo, D., Klokočník, J. & Kostecký, J., 2006. Degradation of geopotential recovery from short repeat-cycle orbits: application to GRACE monthly fields. *Journal of Geodesy* 80: 94–103.
- Wahl, T., Haigh, I.D., Woodworth, P.L., Albrecht, F., Dillingh, D., Jensen, J., Nicholls, R.J., Weisse, R. & Wöppelmann, G., 2013. Observed mean sea level changes around the North Sea coastline from 1800 to present. *Earth Science Reviews* 124: 51–67.
- Wahl, T., Haigh, I.D., Nicholls, R.J., Arns, A., Dangendorf, S., Hinkel, J. & Slangen, A.B.A., 2017. Understanding extreme sea levels for broad-scale coastal impact and adaptation analysis. *Nature Communications* 8: 16075.
- Wahr, J., Nerem, R.S. & Bettadpur, S.V., 2015. The pole tide and its effect on GRACE time-variable gravity measurements: implications for estimates of surface mass variations. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth* 120: 4597–4615.
- Wakelin, S.L., Woodworth, P.L., Flather, R.A. & Williams, J.A., 2003. Sea-level dependence on the NAO over the NW European Continental Shelf. *Geophysical Research Letters* 30: 1403.
- Wang, Z., Zhan, Q., Long, H., Saito, Y., Gao, X., Wu, X., Li, L. & Zhao, Y., 2012. Early to mid-Holocene rapid sea-level rise and coastal response on the southern Yangtze delta plain, China. *Journal of Quaternary Science* 28: 659–672.
- Wang, Z.B., Elias, E.P.L., Van der Spek, A.J.F. & Lodder, Q.J., 2018. Sediment budget and morphological development of the Dutch Wadden Sea: impact of accelerated sea-level rise and subsidence until 2100. *Netherlands Journal of Geosciences / Geologie en Mijnbouw*. This issue.
- Watkins, M.M., Wiese, D.N., Yuan, D.N., Boening, C. & Landerer, F.W., 2015. Improved methods for observing Earth's time variable mass distribution with GRACE using spherical cap mascons. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth* 120: 2648–2671.
- Watson, C.S., White, N.J., Church, J.A., King, M.A., Burgette, R.J. & Legresy, B., 2015. Unabated global mean sea-level rise over the satellite altimeter era. *Nature Climate Change* 5: 565–568.
- Wingham, D.J., Francis, C.R., Baker, S., Bouzinac, C., Brockley, D., Cullen, R., de Chateau-Thierry, P., Laxon, S.W., Mallow, U., Mavrocordatos, C., Phalippou,

- L., Ratier, G., Rey, L., Rostan, F. & Viau, P., 2006. CryoSat: a mission to determine the fluctuations in Earth's land and marine ice fields. *Advances in Space Research* 37: 841–871.
- Wolters, S., Zeiler, M. & Bungenstock, F., 2010. Early Holocene environmental history of sunken landscapes: pollen, plant macrofossil and geochemical analyses from the Borkum Riffgrund, southern North Sea. *International Journal of Earth Sciences* 99: 1707–1719.
- Woodworth, P.L., 2012. A note on the nodal tide in sea level records. *Journal of Coastal Research* 28: 316–323.
- Zagwijn, W.H., 1983. Sea-level changes in the Netherlands during the Eemian. *Geologie en Mijnbouw* 62: 437–450.
- Zagwijn, W.H., 1986. Nederland in het Holoceen. Geological Survey of The Netherlands (Haarlem): 46 pp.

Appendix : Global mean sea-level change: observations

The palaeo-record

The current position of the coastlines around the world ocean and its shelf seas is, from the perspective of rocks and sediments, the outcome of a long and diverse geological history. From the perspective of water masses and sea surface elevations, however, all these records show a globally common signal. This is due to the world climate having been in glacial–interglacial oscillating mode, as is evident from great amounts of deep sea, shallow marine, and continental biogeochemical, sedimentary and palaeobiological evidence collected and integrated since the 1950s (e.g. Shackleton, 1969; Lisiecki & Raymo, 2005; Gibbard & Cohen, 2008), and of which the cyclicity is orbitally forced (e.g. Milankovitch, 1941; Imbrie & Imbrie, 1980; Laskar et al., 2004).

For the last c.1 million years, in cycles lasting 100,000 years each, the distribution of solar irradiation energy between the atmospheric, oceanic, cryospheric and terrestrial components of the Earth's climate system (e.g. Bintanja et al., 2005; Bintanja & Van de Wal, 2008) has periodically allowed major masses of land ice to build up at higher latitudes on the North American (with a main mass centre on the modern Hudson Bay) and Eurasian continents (main mass centre in the NE of the Baltic Sea). Such withdrawal of water from the oceans pulled down sea levels globally, exposing shallow shelf seas subaerially and allowing terrestrial sedimentary, floral and faunal activity in these areas. At stages of maximum global land-ice mass build up, the sea level in the world ocean stood some 120–150 m below where it does today.

Around the world ocean, geological records record sea-level change in various ways. It is seen in the marine oxygen isotope ratio ($\delta^{18}\text{O}$) as recorded in coral- and foraminifera carbonates, as well as in the elevations at which shallow water deposition occurred, and in the positions inland on the shelf where one finds deposits of estuaries, lagoons and river mouths. The $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ signal is such (Broecker & Donk, 1970; Martinson et al., 1987) that it allows discrimination between odd-numbered stages of relatively minor Northern Hemisphere ice-sheet water storage, and even-numbered stages with more sizable ice-sheets (Marine Isotope Stages (MIS); e.g. Rainsack et al., 2015).

The last time that a glacial maximum occurred was between 26,000 and 20,000 years ago (e.g. Peltier & Fairbanks, 2006; Lambeck et al., 2014). By that time, some 80,000 years into that glacial cycle, the northern hemisphere ice-sheets had extended southward from their northerly inception regions ($>65^\circ\text{N}$) towards temperate latitudes ($50\text{--}65^\circ\text{N}$) such as the North American Great Lakes and Europe's North Sea and Polish–German lowlands. Orbital forcing entered a warming limb of its cyclicity and Earth system feedbacks kicked in, helping global climatic amelioration out of the deep glacial maximum and rapidly terminating the 'glacial' condition. In some 10,000–15,000 years, a much shorter time than it took to build up the land ice masses, the warmed-up climate made most of the Northern Hemisphere ice storage melt away: only the ice sheet over Greenland remained. Smaller contributions and modest lead–lag times exist between maximum meltwater production from the four main ice-mass centres (Laurentide, Antarctica, Europe, Greenland) during the 'Termination' interval.

The termination signal of the last glacial period is recorded in ice-core records on Antarctica and Greenland, in speleothem records at monsoonal tropical and subtropical latitudes, and in terrestrial records of vegetation succession and soil formation. This has offered important cross-validation opportunities for dating the changes and for calibration and validation of geophysical models: for atmospheric climate properties, ocean properties, ice-sheet properties, vegetation cover, river runoff, sediment production – and such models are increasingly combined or interlinked as Earth-system models. The idea is that these models, when calibrated on palaeo-observations and validated, describe Earth-system states from the recent past to the present and the future.

Because GMSL has not fallen since the time of the last major post-glacial sea-level rise (19,000–7000 years ago, peaking at 14,500 years ago), records of post-glacial sea-level rise have remained relatively complete (Carlson & Clark, 2012; Lambeck et al., 2014). This has made it possible to collect geological data and compile a globally distributed palaeo-observational record of high quality for the post-glacial transgression period (the end of the Last Glacial and first part of the Holocene), as well as for the high-stand period that was the last 7000 years (the rest of the Holocene). Thus, a fair global coverage of palaeo-observational records exists from the last glacial termination (Termination I; MIS2/1 transition). Palaeo-observational records for next older periods are less completely preserved, because, once exposed to sea-level fall, originally submerged and buried records have become terrestrially exposed and subject to various forms of erosion, shrinking the volume. Nevertheless, patches remain in many places around the world, and a globally distributed insight into palaeo-observations of sea-levels for earlier terminations and high stands too (e.g. for the Last Interglacial/Termination II, MIS6/5 transition; Dutton et al., 2015). Having such globally distributed insights over multiple glacial–interglacial cycles is important because it allows resolution of spatial differences in sea-level change, known to affect the water surfaces regionally and locally (see earlier sections).

Changes of land surface owing to vertical movement (uplift, subsidence, warping) or sedimentation and erosion complicate the use of palaeo-observations. Good quality palaeo-observations are those that depict a sea-level position 1000–10,000 years ago with dm accuracy, which is dated to 25–100 years accurate (^{14}C dating with some scrutiny can reach this) and is part of a vertical series of data points from a small re-

gion so that cross-validation is possible. Fair quality observations indicate the palaeo-sea level to within 1–5 m. Opportunities and problems vary between climatic zones (coastal reefs restricted to tropics/subtropics), type of coast (cliffs, mudflats, mangroves, beaches, deltaic, estuarine, lagoonal), distance to past ice sheets (deglaciated, near field, far-field), position to modern coastline (offshore, onshore), position to open ocean (exposed, protected), range of tides, and length of research history (e.g. Van de Plassche, 1986; Shennan et al., 2015).

The tide-gauge record

Tide-gauge instruments have been measuring sea level for the last few centuries. The world's oldest written sea-level record is from a tide gauge at Amsterdam, in place since the year 1700 (Van Veen, 1954). From the mid-19th century onwards, multiple tide-gauge records are available, from places spread over the world. Tide gauges are primarily installed to monitor the local tidal regime at ports to facilitate shipping, but have also been used to study long-term sea-level change. Such applications require, however, a careful logging of on-site local datum shifts, and instrument changes, which are not always available.

The Permanent Service for Mean Sea Level (PSMSL) has a large collection of quality checked tide-gauge records all over the world, but does not contain all existing records (Hogarth, 2014). The tide-gauge records have been used to estimate GMSL changes. Since sea-level changes differ from place to place, reconstructing GMSL changes from the limited set of tide-gauge records remains challenging. The number of available tide gauge records varies strongly over time: in the beginning of the record (1860s) only 7–14 tide gauge records are available, while over the last few decades hundreds of records are available. Furthermore, most of the longer records are only available from the Northern Hemisphere, in particular from the European and North American coastlines. Multiple techniques and corrections have been proposed to better reconstruct GMSL, although this topic is still under active debate. The sparse sampling of tide gauges in the early part of the record, leads to larger uncertainties especially before 1960 (e.g. Church & White, 2011; Jevrejeva et al., 2014; Slangen et al., 2016; Dangendorf et al., 2017). Recent reconstructions try to better reflect errors introduced by the sampling, and better account for local sea-level effects as induced by the non-uniform response of sea level from glaciers and ice sheets (Hay et al., 2015; Thompson et al., 2016; Dangendorf et al., 2017).

In general, tide-gauge based reconstruction studies agree that sea level over the 20th century has risen by c.11–18 cm, and that the rate of sea-level rise is accelerating (Fig. A1), although the magnitude of the total change and of the acceleration varies significantly between individual reconstructions. The Jevrejeva et al. (2008) sea-level reconstruction from 1700 to 2003 indicates an acceleration of 0.01 mm a^{-2} . Similarly, Church & White (2011) found $1.7 \pm 0.4 \text{ mm a}^{-1}$ sea-level rise over the period 1880 to 2009, with a significant acceleration of $0.009 \pm 0.003 \text{ mm a}^{-2}$. Hay et al. (2015) introduced two probabilistic reconstruction approaches, which both resulted in an overall lower trend of $1.3 \pm 0.2 \text{ mm a}^{-1}$ (1901–2010), and a stronger acceleration of $0.017 \pm 0.003 \text{ mm a}^{-2}$. These were confirmed by Dangendorf et al. (2017), who developed and applied an area-weighting approach and corrections for local vertical land motion. With that method, they found a trend of

$1.3 \pm 0.2 \text{ mm a}^{-1}$ over the years 1902–2012, and an associated acceleration of $0.018 \pm 0.008 \text{ mm a}^{-2}$.

The satellite era

In the satellite era, geocentric sea level, ocean mass and steric sea level can be observed separately using independent observation systems. Geocentric sea level is observed with satellite radar altimeters. It uses the two-way travel time of a radar pulse to estimate the range between the satellite and the earth's surface, which are then converted to a height above a reference ellipsoid by using the precisely determined orbits of the altimeters. Variations in the mass component are derived from gravity fields estimated from the GRACE twin satellites, which have been in orbit since 2002. The steric sea level is estimated from temperature and salinity (T/S) measurements, which are primarily obtained from instruments deployed from ships and an autonomous system of Argo floats.

Satellite radar altimetry The high-quality radar altimeter record that allows us to quantify long-term sea level variations started with the launch of the TOPEX/Poseidon satellite in 1992. The satellite was launched in a 66° inclination, 10-day repeat orbit, which causes an equatorial ground-track spacing of c.315 km (along-track sampling spacing is c.300 m, but often averaged over 6–7 km in large databases). TOPEX/Poseidon was succeeded by the Jason-1, -2 and -3 missions, resulting in a continuous record till now.

Apart from this, long-term sea-level variations can be derived from the radar altimeters on board several European Earth observation satellites (ERS-1, ERS-2, Envisat) and the dedicated SARAL/AltiKa altimetry satellite mission. During their nominal mission phase, these satellite orbits have an 82° inclination, and a 35-day ground-track repeat period. Hence, they cover a larger part of the world's oceans, such as the high-latitude Arctic. Compared to the TOPEX/Poseidon – Jason series, the data acquired by these satellites provide a higher spatial resolution; the equatorial ground-track spacing is c.80 km. Note that there is a data gap of c.1 year between the Envisat and the SARAL missions (in 2012–13). The data acquired by the other satellite radar altimeter missions (Sentinel-3, Cryosat-2, HY-2A and GeoSat Follow-On) are generally not yet used to monitor long-term sea-level variations.

Regular estimates of the GMSL variations are produced by five research groups, including the University of Colorado (CU; Nerem et al., 2010), Goddard Space Flight Center (GSFC; Beckley et al., 2010), the US National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA; Leuliette & Scharroo, 2010), LEGOS/CNES/CLS (AVISO; Ablain et al., 2009), and CSIRO (Church & White, 2011). Apart from AVISO, no group includes the data acquired by the ERS-1, ERS-2, Envisat and SARAL/AltiKa satellites. All GMSL time series reveal significant interannual variability; in particular, the larger El Niño (1998, 2015) and the La Niña events (2011) are detectable (Boening et al., 2012; Cazenave et al., 2012). Due to different processing methods, the time series differ at monthly to interannual timescales (Masters et al., 2012). The obtained trends are, however, statistically equal: $c.3.3 \pm 0.4 \text{ mm a}^{-1}$ over the period 1993–2016. This trend includes a correction for GIA of 0.3 mm a^{-1} (e.g. Nerem et al., 2010), which is due to the mean subsidence of the ocean floor.

Though the estimated trends presented by the five research groups are statistically equal, they might all be contaminated




Fig. A1. Global Mean Sea Level (GMSL) reconstructions based on tide gauges using different reconstruction techniques compared to climate model results. First three reconstructions from Dangendorf et al. (2017) (black, black dash, red). Mean of three reconstructions (CW11 Church & White (2011), RD11 Ray & Douglas (2011), J14 Jevrejeva et al. (2014)) (yellow). Reconstruction H15 from Hay et al. (2015). Individual climate model results (light blue) and model ensemble (dark blue) from Slangen et al. (2017a). Figure from Dangendorf et al. (2017).

by systematic errors and hence deviate from the actual trend in GMSL. The stability of the GMSL record is monitored by a comparison to *in situ* tide gauge measurements (Mitchum, 1998, 2000). An in-depth comparison with tide gauges corrected for vertical land motion revealed a significant drift in the TOPEX/Poseidon phase A (Watson et al., 2015), which is probably related to the internal path delay calibration of the instrument. After accounting for this drift, the GMSL trend drops by $c.0.4 \text{ mm a}^{-1}$ (Watson et al., 2015).

A second source of systematic error might be introduced by omission of the polar regions (Henry et al., 2014). GMSL trends estimated from the TOPEX/Poseidon – Jason series only include observations below 66° latitude. In a recent study, Carret et al. (2017) estimated a trend of $2.10 \pm 0.63 \text{ mm a}^{-1}$ over the period 1992 to 2014 for the high-latitude and Arctic Ocean ($66\text{--}80^\circ\text{N}$) based on data from the ERS-1/2, Envisat and Cryosat-2 altimeter missions. As their estimate is lower than the GMSL trend, it suggests that the GMSL trends are probably too high. At the same time, the bias might be well within the error bars of the GMSL trend given the fact that the considered oceanic area is small.

Recent studies showed that there is likely a positive acceleration in the altimeter-derived GMSL time series (Watson et al., 2015; Fasullo et al., 2016). Previously, such an acceleration had not been detectable (Cazenave et al., 2014), which can be at-

tributed to (i) the aforementioned drift in the TOPEX phase A record (Watson et al., 2015; Chen et al., 2017), and (ii) the recovery from a dip in global ocean heat content at the beginning of the altimeter record due to the eruption of Mount Pinatubo in 1991 (Fasullo et al., 2016).

Global Ocean Mass changes from satellite gravimetry Most Global Ocean Mass (GOM) time series are derived from the official GRACE-based (Tapley et al., 2004) gravity field solutions produced by either NASA's Jet Propulsion Laboratory (JPL), the German Research Center for Geosciences (GFZ) or the Center for Space Research (CSR) of the University of Texas. These solutions are provided as sets of monthly mean Stokes coefficients and are available over the period 2002–17. As the GRACE solutions are provided in the instantaneous centre of common mass frame, so-called 'geocentre motion' corrections need to be applied to compute GOM variations. These corrections can be indirectly inferred from GRACE data and geophysical models (Swenson et al., 2008; Sun et al., 2017) or can be computed from terrestrial network deformations derived from Global Navigation Satellite Systems (GNSS) and/or satellite laser ranging data (Collilieux et al., 2009; Rietbroek et al., 2012a; Riddell et al., 2017). Additionally, the poorly constrained C20 spherical harmonic coefficient representing the variation in the Earth's oblateness is often

Table A1. Estimated trends in global mean sea level and components for the period 1993–2015 (table 1 from Chambers et al., 2017). Exact time period for each representative time series is given. Uncertainty is 90% confidence, except for the thermosteric below 2000 m, which is 95% as estimated by Purkey & Johnson (2010).

Quantity	Period	Trend (mm a^{-1})	Temporal averaging	Effective DOF
GMSL Thermosteric	1993–2015	3.19 ± 0.63^a	3-year running means	5
0–700 m	1992–2014	0.85 ± 0.2	3 years	5
700–2000 m	1992–2014	0.24 ± 0.07	5 years	3
Below 2000 m	~1995–2005	0.11 ± 0.1	Trend only	N/A
Total thermosteric	~1992–~2014	1.20 ± 0.23	Sum of component trends	N/A
Antarctica	1992–2011	0.22 ± 0.14	3 years	4
Greenland	1992–2011	0.37 ± 0.28	3 years	4
Glaciers/ice caps	1992–2013	0.76 ± 0.30	3 years	5
Hydrology	1992–2013	0.45 ± 0.16	3 years	5
Total mass	~1992–~2013	1.8 ± 0.46		
Sum of components		3.00 ± 0.52		

^a Includes uncertainty in knowing systematic drifts of $\pm 0.6 \text{ mm a}^{-1}$ (added as RSS).

replaced by an estimate from satellite laser ranging (Cheng et al., 2013).

Chambers et al. (2017) estimated a GOM sea-level equivalent trend of $2.1 \pm 0.4 \text{ mm a}^{-1}$ over the period 2005–15 from the three official GRACE-based gravity field solutions. These ocean mean mass time series were also used to compute trends of the GOM component in Dieng et al. (2017) and Piecuch & Quinn (2016). The latter provided a GOM sea-level equivalent trend of $2.2 \pm 0.4 \text{ mm a}^{-1}$ over the period 2005–16, while including the Multivariate ENSO Index (MEI) in the regression, arguing that about half of the interannual sea level due to El Niño–Southern Oscillation (ENSO) is associated with GOM variations. The estimated GOM trend based on the JPL mascon solution (Watkins et al., 2015) is slightly smaller: $1.8 \pm 0.2 \text{ mm a}^{-1}$ sea-level equivalent over the period 2002.5–2016.5. By using an iterative method applied to GRACE data, Chen et al. (2013) estimated a larger rate of $2.39 \pm 0.48 \text{ mm a}^{-1}$ over the shorter period (2005–2011). Based on a joint inversion of radar altimetry and GRACE data, Rietbroek et al. (2016) found a lower GOM trend of $1.08 \pm 0.3 \text{ mm a}^{-1}$ sea-level equivalent over the period 2002–14.

Several problems exist that may introduce biases or limit the usability of the GRACE-based GOM trend estimates. First, in the first two years of the GRACE mission and after 2012 there are many gaps in the GRACE data record. Moreover, there is a degraded performance of GRACE associated with technical problems and operational decisions such as the switching-off of instruments for battery saving (Flechtner et al., 2016). Additionally, the quality of the GRACE monthly mean gravity field solutions is lower in several periods due to data gaps (since 2011; see Flechtner et al., 2014) or unfavourable orbit sampling (Wagner et al., 2006). Second, the spatial resolution of GRACE is c.250–300 km, which makes GOM variation estimates prone to leakage from land hydrology signals into the ocean. To mitigate leakage, often all grid points within 300 km of the coasts are excluded. As shown by Kleinherenbrink et al. (2016), however, this introduces small biases. Finally, GRACE-based GOM estimates do not include the effects of Earth rotation. This primarily affects the spherical harmonic components C21 and S21, related to polar motion. Wahr et al. (2015) argued that the full polar motion (C21/S21)

signal should be removed, except for the trend in it caused by GIA. In doing so, part of the ocean mass is removed. On the other hand, the GRACE-based GOM estimates become more consistent to altimetry.

Temperature and salinity observations Steric sea-level changes, i.e. changes due to variations in sea water density, are inferred from salinity and temperature measurements. Together with the ocean mass changes, they contribute to sea level change.

Before 2000, observational estimates of steric sea-level change relied mainly on sporadic shipboard measurements using Expendable BathyThermograph (XBT) or Conductivity, Temperature, Depth (CTD) devices. The largest fraction of the observations originates from XBTs. These rely on fall velocities calibrated in a laboratory, which might induce time-variable biases in the estimated temperature profiles (Gouretski & Koltermann, 2007). In current databases these biases are typically corrected for by a comparison with the CTDs. Even though biases in fall speed are corrected for, substantial biases still occur due to inhomogeneous sampling: the northern hemisphere is substantially better sampled than the southern hemisphere, but nevertheless contains large gaps. This makes gridded T/S data products such as the World Ocean Atlas (Locarnini et al., 2013) less accurate in the undersampled parts of the ocean.

Since 2000, Argo floats have been deployed, which sample the ocean T/S up to 2000 m depth every 10 days. The Argo programme was designed to recover temperature and salinity variations beyond mesoscale, which required one float per $3 \times 3^\circ$ box, i.e. c.3000 floats (Roemmich & Gilson, 2009; Leuliette & Willis, 2011). This objective was achieved in November 2007 (Leuliette & Willis, 2011). Estimates of steric height based on Argo data are typically made from 2004 to 2005, when a significant number of observations are present (Cabanes et al., 2013). Most of the gridded data products are based on data from all types of T/S measurements. This applies to the commonly used EN4 (Good et al., 2013) and Ishii & Kimoto (2009) datasets. The data products from the Japan Agency for Marine–Earth Science and Technology (JAMSTEC) (Hosoda et al., 2008) and Scripps (Roemmich & Gilson, 2009) are, however, solely based on Argo




Fig. A2. Global mean relative sea level, divided into different contributions. Annual and semiannual harmonics have been fitted and removed and the resulting curves are smoothed with a 3-month running mean (trends are derived from the unsmoothed data). The curves have been offset for clarity. The component denoted 'other' reflects large-scale sea-level signals in altimetry that are not explained by the other contributions (Rietbroek et al., 2016). Figure from Rietbroek et al. (2016, fig. 1).

data. Another type of gridded T/S data product is obtained from ocean reanalyses. Here, *in situ* T/S observations, altimetry data and sea surface temperature measurements are assimilated into an ocean model (e.g. Balmaseda et al., 2015). Note that these models often make use of the Boussinesq approximation (i.e. they conserve volume rather than mass), which introduces mass consistency problems when estimating trends (Greatbatch, 1994).

A comparison of steric time series computed from reanalyses and gridded data products by Storto et al. (2016) showed significant differences. The steric trends computed over the period 1993–2010 differed between 0 and 3 mm a^{-1} , with an average of $c.1 \text{ mm a}^{-1}$, which is primarily a thermosteric effect. The spread between the products is significantly larger before 1998. Several studies computed trends over the Argo period. The trends appeared to be unchanged with respect to the 1993–2010 period, with estimates of $0.8 \pm 0.2 \text{ mm a}^{-1}$ over 2004–2015.5 (Llovel et al., 2014), $1.0 \pm 0.5 \text{ mm a}^{-1}$ over 2005–2013 (Leuliette, 2015), and $1.0 \pm 0.2 \text{ mm a}^{-1}$ over 2005–2016 (Piecuch & Quinn, 2016). Piecuch & Quinn (2016) also demonstrated that approximately half of the GMSL variability is explained by the steric water level variations.

There are several systematic error sources that can bias the estimated steric trends. First, steric sea level in ice-covered polar waters cannot be properly determined from grids produced by statistically optimal interpolation. Since most GMSL time series also include radar altimeter observations up to 66° latitude only (see above), the polar waters are often omitted. According to Andersen & Piccioni (2016), the trend in mean steric sea level is statistically insignificant after 2005, while another study estimated a positive trend of $c.1 \text{ mm a}^{-1}$ between 2003 and 2010 (Carret et al., 2017), comparable to the global average. The omission of the polar waters will therefore not cause a significant bias on the steric trend estimates over the considered period.

A second bias in steric sea level could be introduced by warming of the deep ocean, which is not sampled by Argo

even though the newest floats reach a depth of 4000 m. Several studies investigated the possibility of deep ocean warming, but the effect on global mean steric change appeared to be insignificant (Llovel et al., 2014; Von Schuckmann et al., 2014). However, it might be significant on a local scale (Von Schuckmann et al., 2014; Volkov et al., 2017). Albeit sparse, measurements in the deep ocean (below 4000 m) indicated trends of $0.053 \pm 0.017 \text{ mm a}^{-1}$ augmented by an additional contribution of $0.093 \pm 0.081 \text{ mm a}^{-1}$ from the Southern Ocean between 1000 and 4000 m depth (Purkey & Johnson, 2010). A study based on hydrographic measurements also indicated a trend of 0.095 mm a^{-1} for depths below 3000 m (Kouketsu et al., 2011). Finally, the continental shelves and several small sea basins are not sampled by Argo. The most important area that introduces biases is the Tropical Asian Seas (Von Schuckmann et al., 2014). To get an estimate of the steric sea level change due to temperature and salinity in these waters, reanalysis data is used. Dieng et al. (2017) and Kleinharenbrink et al. (2017) showed that the omission of these waters results in trends that are $0.2\text{--}0.3 \text{ mm a}^{-1}$ too low.

Global mean sea-level budget

The intercomparison between mass-driven sea level from gravimetry, steric sea level from models or temperature and salinity data, and total (geocentric) sea level as measured by radar altimetry, allows the closure of the sea-level budget (Leuliette, 2015). For example, using radar altimetry with gravimetry allows the retrieval of the steric sea level component which can then be validated using independent estimates from models and/or observations. The GMSL consists of mass contributions and a steric contribution, but a finer delineation is also possible.

In the IPCC AR5 report (Church et al., 2013), the contributions to the GMSL budget from both modelling and observational

sources have been tabulated (their table 13.1) for the time periods 1901–90, 1971–2010 and 1993–2010 (satellite era). From both models and observations it is clear that thermosteric sea level rise and the contributions from glaciers and ice sheets have been increasing over the years. A more up-to-date GMSL budget over the satellite era is provided in Table A1 (Chambers et al., 2017, their table 1).

Although the GMSL budget can now be closed within uncertainties (e.g. Gregory et al., 2013), the estimates of different contributions produced by various research groups can still show appreciable differences, depending on the time period considered and the data origin. Von Schuckmann et al. (2014) assessed the GMSL budget using Argo measurements, GRACE gravimetry and radar altimetry. They warned that an incomplete sampling for Argo (especially in the region around the tropical Asian Archipelago) may be one of the reasons for biases in steric sea level variations.

Recently, the use of joint inversion methods which allow a direct estimation of the GMSL budget components from GRACE and radar altimetry have been discussed (Rietbroek et al., 2012b, 2016). These methods use the full error-covariance information from the observations and differ in the way that the sea-level contributions are parameterised. In contrast to using spherical harmonic coefficients, patterns of (non-uniform) sea level changes are prescribed for several contributors (i.e. sea-level response to changes of glaciers, ice sheet, terrestrial hydrology, GIA and steric sea-level changes), whose time variations are then solved for in a single adjustment. Using a joint inversion method, Rietbroek et al. (2016) found a GMSL trend of $2.74 \pm 0.58 \text{ mm a}^{-1}$ over the period 2002–14, which was explained by a relatively large steric sea level contribution of $1.38 \pm 0.16 \text{ mm a}^{-1}$ and a relatively low mass contribution of $1.08 \pm 0.09 \text{ mm a}^{-1}$ ($1.37 \pm 0.09 \text{ mm a}^{-1}$ excluding hydrology) (Fig. A2).